# CHAPTER 75-02-04.1 CHILD SUPPORT GUIDELINES ANNOTATED Revised April 15, 2022

Section	•
75-02-04.1-01	Definitions
75-02-04.1-02	Determination of Support Amount - General Instructions
75-02-04.1-03	Determination of Child Support Obligation - Split Custody or Primary Residential Responsibility
75-02-04.1-04	Minimum Support Level [Repealed]
75-02-04.1-05	Determination of Net Income From Self-Employment
75-02-04.1-06	Determining the Cost of Supporting a Child Living With the Obligor
75-02-04.1-06.1	Determination of Support Amount in Multiple-Family Cases
75-02-04.1-07	Imputing Income Based on Earning Capacity
75-02-04.1-08	Income of Spouse
75-02-04.1-08.1	Adjustment for Extended Parenting Time
75-02-04.1-08.2	Equal Residential Responsibility - Determination of Child Support Obligation
75-02-04.1-09	Criteria for Rebuttal of Guideline Amount
75-02-04.1-10	Child Support Amount
75-02-04.1-11	Parental Responsibility for Children in Foster Care or Guardianship Care
75-02-04.1-12	Uncontested Proceedings
75-02-04.1-12	Application
10-02-04.1-10	Application

#### 75-02-04.1-01. Definitions.

- 1. "Child" means any child, by birth or adoption, to whom a parent owes a duty of support.
- 2. "Child living with the obligor" means the obligor's child who lives with the obligor most of the year.
- 3. "Children's benefits" means a payment, to or on behalf of a child of the person whose income is being determined, made by a government, insurance company, trust, pension fund, or similar entity, derivative of the parent's benefits or a result of the relationship of parent and child between such person and such child. Children's benefits do not mean benefits received from public assistance programs that are means tested or provided in the form of subsidy payments made to adoptive parents.
- 4. a. "Gross income" means income from any source, in any form, but does not mean:
  - (1) Benefits received from public assistance programs that are means tested such as the temporary assistance for needy families, supplemental security income, and supplemental

- nutrition assistance programs, or that are provided in the form of subsidy payments made to adoptive parents;
- (2) Employee benefits over which the employee does not have significant influence or control over the nature or amount unless:
  - (a) That benefit may be liquidated; and
  - (b) Liquidation of that benefit does not result in the employee incurring an income tax penalty;
- (3) Child support payments; or
- (4) Atypical overtime wages or nonrecurring bonuses over which the employee does not have significant influence or control.
- (5) Overseas housing-related allowances paid to an obligor who is in the military to the extent those housing-related allowances exceed the housing allowance in effect at the Minot air force base; or
- (6) Nonrecurring capital gains.
- Examples of gross income include salaries, wages, overtime b. wages, commissions, bonuses, employee benefits, currently deferred income, dividends, severance pay, pensions, interest, trust income, annuities income, gains, social security benefits, workers' compensation benefits, unemployment insurance benefits, distributions of retirement benefits, receipt of previously deferred income to the extent not previously considered in determining a child support obligation for the child whose support is under consideration, veterans' benefits (including gratuitous benefits), gifts and prizes to the extent they annually exceed one thousand dollars in value, spousal support payments received, refundable tax credits, value of in-kind income received on a regular basis, children's benefits, income imputed based upon earning capacity, military subsistence payments, and net income from self-employment.
- c. For purposes of this subsection, income tax due or paid is not an income tax penalty.
- 5. "In-kind income" means the receipt from employment or income-producing activity of any valuable right, property or property interest, other than money or money's worth, including forgiveness of debt (other than through bankruptcy), use of property, including living guarters at no charge or less than the customary charge, and

the use of consumable property or services at no charge or less than the customary charge.

- 6. "Net income" means total gross annual income less:
  - a. A hypothetical federal income tax obligation based on the obligor's gross income, reduced by that part of the obligor's gross income that is not subject to federal income tax and reduced by deductions allowed in arriving at adjusted gross income under the Internal Revenue Code, and applying:
    - (1) The standard deduction for the tax filing status of single; and
    - (2) Tax tables for a single individual for the most recent year published by the internal revenue service;
  - b. A hypothetical state income tax obligation equal to eleven percent of the amount determined under subdivision a;
  - c. A hypothetical obligation for Federal Insurance Contributions Act (FICA), Railroad Retirement Tax Act (RRTA) tier I and tier II, medicare, and self-employment tax obligations based on that part of the obligor's gross income that is subject to FICA, RRTA, medicare, or self-employment tax under the Internal Revenue Code;
  - d. A portion of premium payments, made by the person whose income is being determined, for health insurance policies or health service contracts, including coverage for dental and vision care, intended to afford coverage for the child or children for whom support is being sought, determined by:
    - (1) If the cost of single coverage for the obligor and the number of persons associated with the premium payment are known:
      - (a) Reducing the premium payment by the cost for single coverage for the obligor;
      - (b) Dividing the difference by the total number of persons, exclusive of the obligor, associated with the premium payment; and
      - (c) Multiplying the result times the number of insured children for whom support is being sought; or
    - (2) If the cost of single coverage for the obligor is not known:

- (a) Dividing the payment by the total number of persons covered; and
- (b) Multiplying the result times the number of insured children for whom support is being sought;
- e. Payments made on actual medical expenses of the child or children for whom support is sought to the extent it is reasonably likely similar expenses will continue;
- f. Union dues and occupational license fees if required as a condition of employment;
- g. Employee retirement contributions, deducted from the employee's compensation and not otherwise deducted under this subsection, to the extent required as a condition of employment;
- h. Subject to documentation, unreimbursed employee expenses for:
  - (1) Special equipment or clothing required as a condition of employment;
  - (2) Lodging expenses, not exceeding ninety-three dollars per night, incurred when engaged in travel required as a condition of employment; or
  - (3) Non-commuting mileage incurred for driving a personal vehicle between work locations when required as a condition of employment, computed at the rate of fifty-six cents per mile, less any actual mileage reimbursement from the employer; and
- i. Employer reimbursed out-of-pocket expenses of employment, if included in gross income, but excluded from adjusted gross income on the obligor's federal income tax return.
- 7. "Obligee" includes, for purposes of this chapter, an obligee as defined in North Dakota Century Code section 14-09-09.10 and a person who is alleged to be owed a duty of support on behalf of a child.
- 8. "Obligor" includes, for purposes of this chapter, an obligor as defined in North Dakota Century Code section 14-09-09.10 and a person who is alleged to owe a duty of support.
- 9. "Parent with primary residential responsibility" means a parent who acts as the primary caregiver on a regular basis for a proportion of time greater than the obligor, regardless of descriptions such as "shared" or "joint"

parental rights and responsibilities given in relevant judgments, decrees, or orders.

- 10. "Self-employment" means employment that results in an obligor earning income from any business organization or entity which the obligor is, to a significant extent, able to directly or indirectly control. For purposes of this chapter, it also includes any activity that generates income from rental property, royalties, business gains, partnerships, trusts, corporations, and any other organization or entity regardless of form and regardless of whether such activity would be considered self-employment activity under the Internal Revenue Code.
- 11. "Split parental rights and responsibilities" means a situation where the parents have more than one child in common, and where each parent has primary residential responsibility for at least one child.

**History:** Effective February 1, 1991; amended effective January 1, 1995; August 1, 1999; August 1, 2003; October 1, 2008; April 1, 2010; July 1, 2011; September 1, 2015; January 1, 2019.

**General Authority:** NDCC 50-06-16, 50-09-25

Law Implemented: NDCC 14-09-09.7, 50-09-02(16); 42 USC 667

# **Equitable Adoption**

The child support guidelines do not preclude the imposition of a child support obligation on one who has equitably adopted a child. *Johnson v. Johnson*, 2000 ND 170, 617 N.W.2d 97.

#### **Gross Income**

The guidelines definition of income is very broad and includes income from any source. *Shipley v. Shipley*, 509 N.W.2d 49 (N.D. 1993); *Helbling v. Helbling*, 541 N.W.2d 443 (N.D. 1995); *Longtine v. Yeado*, 1997 ND 166, 567 N.W.2d 819.

The definition of gross income under the child support guidelines is very broad and specifically includes "gifts and prizes to the extent they annually exceed one thousand dollars in value." *Lohstreter v. Lohstreter*, 2001 ND 45, 623 N.W.2d 350.

Gross income includes all income, including temporary work. *Dufner v. Dufner*, 2002 ND 47, 640 N.W.2d 694.

Under the guidelines, a court must first calculate an obligor's gross income. *Richter v. Houser*, 1999 ND 147, 598 N.W.2d 193.

Income under the guidelines is broad and is meant to include any form of payment to the obligor, regardless of source, as long as such payment is not specifically excluded under the guidelines. *Entzie v. Entzie*, 2010 ND 194, 789 N.W.2d 550; *Knudson v. Knudson*, 2018 ND 199, 916 N.W.2d 793.

Trial court erred as a matter of law when it included the obligor's roommate's share of their joint rental expense as gross income to the obligor; the rental obligation is owed to the landlord, not to the obligor. *Eubanks v. Fisketjon*, 2021 ND 124, 962 N.W.2d 427.

#### Commissions

While commissions are generally included in gross wages from employment, the earning of commissions does not necessarily indicate whether the income is from employment or self-employment; instead, the determination of self-employment is based on whether the obligor directly or indirectly controls the organization providing him or her employment. *Quamme v. Quamme*, 2021 ND 208, 967 N.W.2d 452.

#### **Deductions**

There is no deduction from gross income for nonrecurrent payments. *Helbling v. Helbling*, 541 N.W.2d 443 (N.D. 1995).

# **Employee Benefits**

Trial court did not err in failing to include obligor's employer's contributions to obligor's retirement account in obligor's gross income where obligee did not show that obligor had significant influence or control over the nature or amount of the contributions or that they could be liquidated without a tax penalty. *Oldham v. Oldham*, 2004 ND 62, 677 N.W.2d 196.

Trial court erred by not considering employment benefits and in-kind income received by obligor where obligor's corporation pays many of his personal expenses and provides benefits including payment of his personal legal expenses and medical and life insurance. *Halberg v. Halberg*, 2010 ND 20, 777 N.W.2d 872.

#### Loans

Loans are not included in the definition of gross income. *Lohstreter v. Lohstreter*, 2001 ND 45, 623 N.W.2d 350.

# Moving Expenses

Employer reimbursement of moving expenses is a source of income that should be included in child support calculations. *Helbling v. Helbling*, 541 N.W.2d 443 (N.D. 1995).

Relocation expense reimbursement is not proper measure of moving expense deduction in calculating net income where obligor failed to prove moving expenses. *Id*.

#### Overtime

It is reversible error for court not to consider overtime in calculation of gross income. **Shaver v. Kopp**, 545 N.W.2d 170 (N.D. 1996).

# **Personal Injury Awards**

Personal injury settlement is includable in gross income. Guidelines do not authorize a deduction for nonrecurrent payments and our law and public policy dictate that children should share in the obligor's receipt of such payment. *Otterson v. Otterson*, 1997 ND 232, 571 N.W.2d 648.

Trial court did not abuse its discretion in allocating obligor's lump sum personal injury settlement when it divided the net settlement amount by the number of months remaining in the child's minority and found that the resulting amount was deemed to be available to the obligor for child support purposes. **Dupay v. Dupay**, 2010 ND 87, 782 N.W.2d 42.

# **Spousal Support**

For purposes of computing child support, gross income includes spousal support payments received by the obligor. *Mahoney v. Mahoney*, 1997 ND 149, 567 N.W.2d 206; *Corbett v. Corbett*, 2001 ND 113, 628 N.W.2d 312; *Heinz v. Heinz*, 2001 ND 147, 632 N.W.2d 443.

If a noncustodial parent is entitled to spousal support, the child support guidelines necessarily contemplate that trial courts decide the spousal support issue before deciding the spousal support recipient's child support obligation. When the child support guidelines are considered in the context of a spousal support award, an award of spousal support calculated primarily to offset and negate a child support obligation is inappropriate. *Corbett v. Corbett*, 2001 ND 113, 628 N.W.2d 312.

#### In-Kind Income

In-kind income from a spouse may not be included in the obligor's income. The 1995 amendments to the guidelines were intended to replace consideration of in-kind income from a spouse with the process of imputing income based on earning capacity under § 75-02-04.1-07. *Otterson v. Otterson*, 1997 ND 232, 571 N.W.2d 648.

A party seeking to have items included as in-kind income must give evidence of the value of the items before the court may include those items in calculating an obligor's gross income. *Wilhelm v. Wilhelm*, 1998 ND 140, 582 N.W.2d 6; *Schiff v. Schiff*, 2000 ND 113, 611 N.W.2d 191.

Trial court erred by not considering employment benefits and in-kind income received by obligor where obligor's corporation pays many of his personal expenses and provides benefits including payment of his personal legal expenses and medical and life insurance. *Halberg v. Halberg*, 2010 ND 20, 777 N.W.2d 872.

Finding that bookkeeping system used by obligor's self-employment enterprise is "lacking to nonexistent" and that obligor clearly used business accounts to pay non-business expenses and also bartered by trading services for non-monetary compensation, trial court did not err by calculating a five-year

average of self-employment income and then adding estimated income from obligor's personal use of the company's property. *Conzemius v. Conzemius*, 2014 ND 5, 841 N.W.2d 719.

Trial court did not err in imputing in-kind income to obligor for military housing even though obligor lived in a barracks and did not receive an actual allowance in his pay stub or leave and earnings statement. Guidelines clearly contemplate that gross income would include an amount for housing, either in-kind or an actual allowance if provided by an employer. *Ferguson v. Ferguson*, 2018 ND 122, 911 N.W.2d 324.

Amounts regularly forgiven from employment loans constitute in-kind income and are includible in gross income. *Quamme v. Quamme*, 2021 ND 208, 967 N.W.2d 452.

# Self-employment

Definition of "self-employment" describes activities that are considered self-employment but calculation to determine net income from self-employment is governed under § 75-02-04.1-05. **Wolt v. Wolt**, 2019 ND 155, 930 N.W.2d 589.

#### **Net Income**

A proper finding of net income is essential to a determination of the correct amount of child support under the guidelines. *Schleicher v. Schleicher*, 551 N.W.2d 766 (N.D. 1996); *Schumacher v. Schumacher*, 1999 ND 149, 598 N.W.2d 131; *Olson v. Olson*, 2002 ND 30, 639 N.W.2d 701.

#### **Deferred Income**

Guidelines drafters specifically declined to define net income by reference to federal income tax policies for deferred income. *Longtine v. Yeado*, 1997 ND 166, 567 N.W.2d 819.

#### **Depreciation**

Depreciation deductions may not be added back in when determining an obligor's net income from self-employment under the guidelines. *Torgerson v. Torgerson*, 2003 ND 150, 669 N.W.2d 98, *Kobs v. Jacobson*, 2005 ND 222, 707 N.W.2d 803; *Clark v. Clark*, 2006 ND 182, 721 N.W.2d 6.

# **Discretionary Retirement Contributions**

Discretionary contributions to retirement plans are not deductible from gross income. *Hallock v. Mickels*, 507 N.W.2d 541 (N.D. 1993).

#### Health Insurance

Definition of net income does not include a deduction for the obligor's payments for health insurance premiums for the obligor's own insurance. *Rath v. Rath*, 2017 ND 138, 895 N.W.2d 315.

Where the obligee provides health insurance coverage for the children through her employment-based policy and the obligor is required to pay a portion

of the premiums, the obligor is entitled to deduct that amount from his gross income. **Boldt v. Boldt**, 2021 ND 213, 966 N.W.2d 897.

# Medical Expenses

Payments by the obligor for the children's actual medical expenses are deductible from the obligor's gross income. *Withey v. Hager*, 1997 ND 225, 571 N.W.2d 142; *Donarski v. Donarski*, 1998 ND 128, 581 N.W.2d 130.

#### **Taxes**

The child support guidelines mandate the application of the standard deductions and tax tables to calculate the obligor's income, regardless of the obligor's actual practices in withholding or filing taxes. *Hallock v. Mickels*, 507 N.W.2d 541 (N.D. 1993); *Hoverson v. Hoverson*, 2001 ND 124, 629 N.W.2d 573.

# **Primary Residential Responsibility**

Language in parties' divorce judgment awarding primary residential responsibility to mother controls, even though child is not living in either parent's home, and the court did not err in ordering father to pay child support. **Schiele v. Schiele**, 2015 ND 169, 865 N.W.2d 433.

# **Decisions Under Prior Law**

Obligor could not calculate standard deduction and use tax tables under fictitious filing status for purposes of determining net income. *Smith v. Smith*, 538 N.W.2d 222 (N.D. 1995).

Employer's contributions to an obligor's pension plan, family health insurance premiums provided by the employer, and the employer's contribution to a tax deferred savings plan are properly included as gross income. **Shaver v. Kopp**, 545 N.W.2d 170 (N.D. 1996).

An employer's contribution to an obligor's pension plan and health insurance must be included in the obligor's income for determining child support. *Hendrickson v. Hendrickson*, 553 N.W.2d 215 (N.D. 1996).

Gross income includes employer-paid benefits, including contribution to a 401(k) plan, medical insurance premiums, dental insurance premiums, life insurance premiums, accidental death and disability insurance premiums, long-term disability premiums, and pension fund contributions. *Lawrence v. Delkamp*, 1998 ND 178, 584 N.W.2d 515.

Capital gain realized from insurance proceeds from a house fire are gross income. *Longtine v. Yeado*, 1997 ND 166, 567 N.W.2d 819.

Court erred by failing to include capital gains from farm and equipment sales in calculating obligor's net income. **Shae v. Shae**, 2014 ND 149, 849 N.W.2d 173.

Court erred by failing to include military obligor's overseas housing allowance and cost of living adjustment pay in calculating gross income. *Wilson v. Wilson*, 2014 ND 199, 855 N.W.2d 105.

# **Depreciation**

Depreciation and other business expenses not requiring actual expenditures are part of an obligor's net income for purposes of computing child support obligations under the guidelines. *Houmann v. Houmann*, 499 N.W.2d 593 (N.D. 1993).

#### In-Kind Income

In determining child support, the trial court must consider all sources of the obligor's income, including imputed earnings, in-kind income received on a regular basis, and gifts exceeding a value of \$1,000. *Cook v. Eggers*, 1999 ND 97, 593 N.W. 781.

# 75-02-04.1-02. Determination of support amount - General instructions.

- 1. Except as provided in section 75-02-04.1-08.2, calculations of child support obligations provided for under this chapter consider and assume that one parent acts as a primary caregiver and the other parent contributes a payment of child support to the child's care. Calculation of a child support obligation under section 75-02-04.1-08.2 does not preclude a court from apportioning specific expenses related to the care of the child, such as child care expenses and school activity fees, between the parents. An apportionment under this subsection is in addition to the child support amount determined by application of this chapter.
- 2. Calculations assume that the care given to the child during temporary periods when the child resides with the obligor or the obligor's relatives do not substitute for the child support obligation.
- 3. Net income received by an obligor from all sources must be considered in the determination of available money for child support.
- 4. The result of all calculations which determine a monetary amount ending in fifty cents or more must be rounded up to the nearest whole dollar, and must otherwise be rounded down to the nearest whole dollar.
- 5. In applying the child support guidelines, an obligor's monthly net income amount ending in fifty dollars or more must be rounded up to the nearest one hundred dollars, and must otherwise be rounded down to the nearest one hundred dollars.
- 6. The annual total of all income considered in determining a child support obligation must be determined and then divided by twelve in order to determine the obligor's monthly net income.

- 7. Income must be sufficiently documented through the use of tax returns, current wage statements, and other information to fully apprise the court of all gross income. Where gross income is subject to fluctuation, regardless of whether the obligor is employed or self-employed, information reflecting and covering a period of time sufficient to reveal the likely extent of fluctuations must be provided.
- 8. Calculations made under this chapter are ordinarily based upon recent past circumstances because past circumstances are typically a reliable indicator of future circumstances, particularly circumstances concerning income. If circumstances that materially affect the child support obligation have changed in the recent past or are very likely to change in the near future, consideration may be given to the new or likely future circumstances.
- 9. Each child support order must include a statement of the net income of the obligor used to determine the child support obligation, and how that net income was determined. If a child support order includes an adjustment for extended parenting time under section 75-02-04.1-08.1, the order must specify the number of parenting time overnights.
- 10. A payment of children's benefits made to or on behalf of a child who is not living with the obligor must be credited as a payment toward the obligor's child support obligation in the month (or other period) the payment is intended to cover, but may not be credited as a payment toward the child support obligation for any other month or period. The court may order the obligee to reimburse the obligor for any overpayment that results from the credit provided in this subsection.
- 11. No amount may be deducted to determine net income unless that amount is included in gross income.

History: Effective February 1, 1991; amended effective January 1, 1995; August 1,

1999; August 1, 2003; October 1, 2008; July 1, 2011; January 1, 2019.

General Authority: NDCC 50-06-16, 50-09-25

Law Implemented: NDCC 14-09-09.7, 50-09-02(16); 42 USC 667

\_\_\_\_\_

# **Duty of Trial Court**

The task of the trial court in setting an amount for child support is to balance the needs of the children and the ability of the parent to pay. *Montgomery v. Montgomery*, 481 N.W.2d 234 (N.D. 1992).

# Findings and Standard of Review

Supreme Court will not upset an award of child support merely because the findings could have been more complete. *Montgomery v. Montgomery*, 481 N.W.2d 234 (N.D. 1992).

A mere recitation that the guidelines have been considered in arriving at the amount of a child support obligation is insufficient to show compliance with the guidelines. *Spilovoy v. Spilovoy*, 488 N.W.2d 873 (N.D. 1992).

Where it was unclear how the trial court arrived at the monthly child support obligation, a mere recitation that the guidelines had been considered in arriving at the amount of a child support obligation was insufficient to show compliance with the guidelines, and the trial court erred in failing to follow the guidelines. *Heley v. Heley*, 506 N.W.2d 715 (N.D. 1993); *Langwald v. Langwald*, 2016 ND 81, 878 N.W.2d 71.

#### **Determination of Net Income**

A finding by the trial court of the obligor's net income is now essential because of the advent of the rebuttable presumption that the child support guidelines establish the correct amount of support; where the trial court's findings of fact shed no light on how it computed an obligor's child support obligation and did not indicate that the trial court applied the guidelines, the case was remanded for a specific finding of the obligor's net income, computation of child support under the child support guidelines, and health insurance premium payments. *Foreng v. Foreng*, 509 N.W.2d 38 (N.D. 1993).

With no evidence or findings of an obligor's reasonable earning capacity, or whether obligor's continued unemployment was voluntary or intentional, it was inappropriate for the court to order child support based on previous monthly income. **Schatke** v. **Schatke**, 520 N.W.2d 833 (N.D. 1994).

Where the court did not coherently assemble facts and figures from the evidence to determine net income but, instead, took at face value a figure from obligor's accountant that was not consistent with the guidelines, the trial court erred in adopting the expert's conclusion. *Mahoney v. Mahoney*, 538 N.W.2d 189 (N.D. 1995).

Trial court erred in adopting obligor's calculations of his child support obligation, without question, because his calculations were not correct application of child support guidelines. Guidelines provide method for determining monthly net income for self-employed obligor, and they did not provide for standard deductions and personal exemptions taken by obligor from his five-year average annual self-employment income. *Dalin v. Dalin*, 545 N.W.2d 785 (N.D. 1996).

Parents have a mutual duty to support children; however, child support guidelines contemplate child support payments be made by noncustodial parent to custodial parent. *Richter v. Houser*, 1999 ND 147, 598 N.W.2d 193.

A trial court, in order to award the proper amount of child support, must determine the obligor's net monthly income under the child support guidelines, and make an adequate finding on the issue. *Schleicher v. Schleicher*, 551 N.W.2d 766 (N.D. 1996); *Wolf v. Wolf*, 557 N.W.2d 742 (N.D. 1996); *Berg v. Ullman*, 1998 ND 74, 576 N.W.2d 218.

A court errs as a matter of law when it does not clearly state how an obligor's income is determined in applying the guidelines. *Buchholz v. Buchholz*, 1999 ND 36,

590 N.W.2d 215. *Richter v. Houser*, 1999 ND 147, 598 N.W.2d 193; *Heinz v. Heinz*, 2001 ND 147, 632 N.W.2d 443; *Sonnenberg v. Sonnenberg*, 2010 ND 94, 782 N.W.2d 654; *Krueger v. Krueger*, 2011 ND 134, 800 N.W.2d 296; *Carroll v. Carroll*, 2017 ND 73, 892 N.W.2d 173.

A trial court may not calculate a party's income for child support purposes by simply choosing an amount within the range of evidence presented. **Schweitzer v. Mattingley**, 2016 ND 231, 887 N.W.2d 541.

Even if it is undisputed that an obligor's net monthly income exceeds the monthly net income maximum under the guidelines, the trial court must still determine the obligor's net income before determining the appropriate upward deviation from the presumptive guideline amount. *Martire v. Martire*, 2016 ND 57, 876 N.W.2d 727.

Trial court erred by not making specific findings about how the self-employed obligor's net income was determined when it averaged gross income for three years instead of five without finding that the activity was not operated on a substantially similar scale or that the obligor was underemployed. *Wisnewski v. Wisnewski*, 2020 ND 148, 945 N.W.2d 331.

#### Standard of Review

Child support determinations involve questions of law which are subject to a de novo standard of review, findings of fact which are subject to the clearly erroneous standard of review, and, in some limited areas, may involve matters of discretion subject to the abuse of discretion standard of review. **Buchholz v. Buchholz**, 1999 ND 36, 590 N.W.2d 215; Simon v. Simon, 2006 ND 29, 709 N.W.2d 4; Berge v. Berge, 2006 ND 46, 710 N.W.2d 417; Marchus v. Marchus, 2006 ND 81, 712 N.W.2d 636; Lautt v. Lautt. 2006 ND 161. 718 N.W.2d 563: Clark v. Clark. 2006 ND 182. 721 N.W.2d 6: Dunnuck v. Dunnuck, 2006 ND 247, 724 N.W.2d 124; Cline v. Cline, 2007 ND 85, 732 N.W.2d 385; Wagner v. Wagner, 2007 ND 101, 733 N.W.2d 593; Evenson v. Evenson, 2007 ND 194, 742 N.W.2d 829; Serr v. Serr, 2008 ND 56, 746 N.W.2d 416; Serr v. Serr, 2008 ND 229,758 N.W.2d 739; Doepke v. Doepke, 2009 ND 10, 760 N.W.2d 131; Gunia v. Gunia, 2009 ND 32, 763 N.W.2d 455; Verhey v. McKenzie, 2009 ND 35, 763 N.W.2d 113; State ex rel. K.B. v. Bauer, 2009 ND 45, 763 N.W.2d 462; Machart v. Machart, 2009 ND 208, 776 N.W.2d 795; Heinle v. Heinle, 2010 ND 5, 777 N.W.2d 590; *Halberg v. Halberg*, 2010 ND 20, 777 N.W.2d 872; *Fleck v. Fleck*, 2010 ND 24, 778 N.W.2d 572; **Dupay v. Dupay**, 2010 ND 87, 782 N.W.2d 42; Sonnenberg v. Sonnenberg, 2010 ND 94, 782 N.W.2d 654; Thornton v. Klose, 2010 ND 141, 785 N.W.2d 891; Entzie v. Entzie, 2010 ND 194, 789 N.W.2d 550; Becker v. Becker, 2011 ND 107, 799 N.W.2d 53; Crandall v. Crandall, 2011 ND 136, 799 N.W.2d 388; *Keita v. Keita*, 2012 ND 234, 823 N.W.2d 726; *Hoverson v. Hoverson*, 2013 ND 48, 828 N.W.2d 510; Conzemius v. Conzemius, 2014 ND 5, 841 N.W.2d 716. Schwalk v. Schwalk, 2014 ND 13, 841 N.W.2d 767; Devine v. Hennessee, 2014 ND 122, 848 N.W.2d 679; Shae v. Shae, 2014 ND 149, 849 N.W.2d 173; Wilson v. Wilson, 2014 ND 199, 855 N.W.2d 105; Grossman v. Lerud, 2014 ND 235, 857 N.W.2d 92; Klein v. Klein, 2015 ND 236, 869 N.W.2d 750; Weigel v. Weigel, 2015 ND 270, 871 N.W.2d 810; Bye v. Robinette, 2015 ND 276, 871 N.W.2d 432; Brouillet v. Brouillet, 2016 ND 40, 875 N.W.2d 485; Martire v. Martire, 2016 ND 57, 876 N.W.2d

727; Schurmann v. Schurmann, 2016 ND 69, 877 N.W.2d 20; Langwald v. Langwald, 2016 ND 81, 878 N.W.2d 71; State v. Andres, 2016 ND 90, 879 N.W.2d 464; Raap v. Lenton, 2016 ND 195, 885 N.W.2d 777; Schweitzer v. Mattingley, 2016 ND 231, 887 N.W.2d 541; Jacobs-Raak v. Raak, 2016 ND 240, 888 N.W.2d 770; Rathbun v. Rathbun, 2017 ND 24, 889 N.W.2d 855; Solwey v. Solwey, 2018 ND 82, 908 N.W.2d 690; Ferguson v. Ferguson, 2018 ND 122, 911 N.W.2d 324; Thompson v. Johnson, 2018 ND 142, 912 N.W.2d 315; Knudson v. Knudson, 2018 ND 199, 916 N.W.2d 793.

# **Departure from Guidelines**

#### In General

A child support award is clearly erroneous if it departs from the guidelines and the court does not expressly find that the support amount established under the guidelines has been rebutted by a preponderance of the evidence. **Schatke v. Schatke**, 520 N.W.2d 833 (N.D. 1994).

#### **Not Valid**

Where there were no specific findings to rebut the presumptive child support obligation and thus justify a departure from the guidelines, the trial court's child support award was clearly erroneous and the case was remanded for a redetermination of the father's child support obligation. *Bernhardt v. K.R.S.*, 503 N.W.2d 233 (N.D. 1993).

# **Custodial Parent Contribution**

The guidelines recognize the obligee parent, as custodial parent, makes a substantial monetary and nonmonetary contribution to the child's basic care and needs by virtue of being a custodial parent. *Perala v. Carlson*, 520 N.W.2d 839 (N.D. 1984); *Richter v. Houser*, 1999 ND 147 598 N.W.2d 193.

# Past Circumstances Reliably Indicate Future Income

Implied in the guidelines is the assumption that an obligor with a demonstrated ability to earn income and support his children at a certain level will continue to do so. *Olson v. Olson*, 520 N.W.2d 572 (N.D. 1994); *Schatke v. Schatke*, 520 N.W.2d 833 (N.D. 1994).

Courts, by necessity, must rely on past income information when calculating child support amounts because past income is generally the best predictor of future income. **Shaver v. Kopp**, 545 N.W.2d 170 (N.D. 1996).

An obligor's ability to pay child support is not solely determinable from actual income, and income compatible with an obligor's prior earning history may be imputed in calculating the child support obligation. *Edwards v. Edwards*, 1997 ND 94, 563 N.W.2d 394; *Richter v. Houser*, 1999 ND 147 598 N.W.2d 193.

An obligor's support obligation is usually based on evidence of the obligor's past income which is used as a predictor of future income upon which the support amount is calculated. *In the Interest of E.H.*, 1997 ND 101, 564 N.W.2d 281; *Mahoney v. Mahoney*, 1997 ND 149, 567 N.W.2d 206.

Trial court did not err in refusing to consider obligor's past losses from out-of-state custom combining operation. Since obligor had discontinued that operation, those losses were not accurate predictors of future income. *In the Interest of F.R.S.*, 2002 ND 191, 653 N.W.2d 659.

Where obligor, in addition to income from self-employment venture, had received wage income from a temporary position that had since been eliminated, trial court did not err in refusing to include the wage income for child support purposes. *Evenson v. Evenson*, 2007 ND 194, 742 N.W.2d 829.

Because the guidelines do not and cannot envision every conceivable factual scenario that will arise, subsection 8 affords the district court some measure of discretion to consider the obligor's financial circumstances and fashion an appropriate level of support when prior circumstances are not a reliable indicator of the obligor's future financial circumstances. **State ex rel. K.B. v. Bauer**, 2009 ND 45, 763 N.W.2d 462

Defendant was denied due process when trial court denied her request for evidentiary hearing, thereby depriving her of opportunity to challenge plaintiff's evidence, including whether sale of a building was reliable indicator of future ability to earn income and pay support. *Weigel v. Weigel*, 2015 ND 270, 871 N.W.2d 810.

Trial court did not err in finding that the most recent tax return was not a reliable indicator of obligor's future income when obligor had since changed job locations and in basing the support obligation on obligor's own testimony regarding hourly wage and number of hours worked per week. **Brouillet v. Brouillet**, 2016 ND 40, 875 N.W.2d 485.

If the trial court finds that certain past income is an unreliable indicator of the obligor's future income, the trial court must explain why the income it utilized in determining the child support obligation was appropriate. *Bickel v. Bickel*, 2020 ND 212, 949 N.W.2d 832.

#### **Temporary Reduction in Income**

It is appropriate to delay paying a portion of the support when the obligor's income is temporarily reduced. *Schmidt v. Reamann*, 523 N.W.2d 70 (N.D. 1994); *Henry v. Henry*, 1998 ND 141, 581 N.W.2d 921; *Minar v. Minar*, 2001 ND 74, 625 N.W.2d 518, *Orvedal v. Orvedal*, 2003 ND 145, 669 N.W.2d 89.

# **Effect of One-Time or Temporary Income**

Nonrecurring income justifies an increase in child support obligation for one year to provide obligor's children with a benefit from that income. *Longtine v. Yeado*, 1997 ND 166, 567 N.W.2d 818.

Trial court erred by not including obligor's compensation from temporary teaching position in determining gross income: not only is gross income calculated from income

from any source, the guidelines specifically include wages and salary in the definition of gross income. *Dufner v. Dufner*, 2002 ND 47, 640 N.W.2d 694.

Neither the child support guidelines nor precedents allow nonrecurrent payments to be simply ignored in determining an obligor's child support obligation. *Berge v. Berge*, 2006 ND 46, 710 N.W.2d 417.

When obligor used proceeds from personal injury settlement to pay off debts, buy a home, and make investments, it was not clearly erroneous for trial court to find that the benefits of the obligor's windfall had not ceased. Adopting the obligor's argument that the windfall had ceased because the proceeds were no longer available to him in liquid form would encourage obligors to simply spend settlement proceeds in order to lower their child support obligations. *Dupay v. Dupay*, 2010 ND 87, 782 N.W.2d 42.

#### Information to Document Income

Trial court properly relied on information other than obligor's tax return where obligor had notice of the use of that information. *Reinecke v. Griffeth*, 533 N.W.2d 695 (N.D. 1995).

Generally, though not solely, the income of a self-employed obligor will be documented through tax returns. *Dalin v. Dalin*, 545 N.W.2d 785 (N.D. 1996).

Where the trial court did not cite to specific evidence or consider specific deduction in determining the obligor's gross and monthly net incomes, and such evidence was admitted for the trial court to consider, the court's findings as to gross and monthly net income were not adequate under the child support guidelines. **Wolf v. Wolf**, 557 N.W.2d 742 (N.D. 1996).

Failure of obligor to submit tax return or complete information on earnings led to trial court's mistaken finding that there was no basis to impute income. Each child support order must include a statement of net income of the obligor used to determine the child support obligation and how that net income was determined. *Berg v. Ullman*, 1998 ND 74, 576 N.W.2d 218.

Where an obligor fails to present the information necessary to calculate income, the obligor is precluded from asserting the income calculation based on what little evidence was presented is clearly erroneous. **Schumacher v. Schumacher**, 1999 ND 149, 598 N.W.2d 131.

The child support guidelines do not state that income tax returns prepared after a motion to modify child support cannot be used to determine a child support obligation. **Doepke v. Doepke**, 2009 ND 10, 760 N.W.2d 131.

Trial court's finding that obligor made a reasonable decision to change employment was not sufficient to meet the requirement for a specific finding that the income reflected on the obligor's prior tax return was not a reliable indicator of future income. **Sonnenberg v. Sonnenberg**, 2010 ND 94, 782 N.W.2d 654.

Trial court must make specific findings of fact that an obligor's tax returns do not adequately reflect the obligor's income or are not a reliable indicator of future income before the court can refuse to consider tax return information. *Entzie v. Entzie*, 2010 ND 194, 789 N.W.2d 550.

Trial court erred when, after finding that obligor's tax returns were incomplete, evasive, and inaccurate, it still relied on them to decrease the support obligation. **Schurmann**, 2016 ND 69, 877 N.W.2d 20.

Obligor's sworn affidavit and sworn testimony constituted adequate "other information" to fully apprise the court of all gross income. *Devine v. Hennessee*, 2014 ND 122, 848 N.W.2d 679.

# **Care Provided by Obligor**

District court's refusal to abate father's child support obligation for the summer months when he was allowed visitation for the children, was not clearly erroneous where the district court made no written or specific finding of hardship. *Beals v. Beals*, 517 N.W.2d 413 (N.D. 1994).

Care provided by the obligor when children are with him does not substitute for the child support obligation. *Smith v. Smith*, 538 N.W.2d 222 (N.D. 1995).

Trial court erred in providing an abatement of the obligor's child support obligations for the child's temporary summer visits with him. *Edwards v. Edwards*, 1997 ND 94, 563 N.W.2d 394; *Schumacher v. Schumacher*, 1999 ND 10, 589 N.W.2d 185.

It was not an abuse of discretion for the trial court to deny obligor's request for retroactive modification of child support obligation where visitation was extended but there was no agreement between the parties to an actual change in custody for an extended period of time. *Krizan v. Krizan*, 1998 ND 186, 585 N.W.2d 576.

It was clearly erroneous for the trial court to abate the obligor's support obligation for times the children were temporarily in his custody. *Olson v. Olson*, 1998 ND 190, 585 N.W.2d 134.

It was not error for trial court to require obligor to pay the cost of child care when the child was in obligor's physical custody. There is to be no abatement of support obligation while the child is in the obligor's care. *Harty v. Harty*, 1998 ND 99, 578 N.W.2d 519.

Child support guidelines expressly prohibit abatement of support obligations during temporary period in which the child resides with the noncustodial parent. *Roberson v. Anderson*, 1999 ND 19, 592 N.W.2d 923; *Schumacher v. Schumacher*, 1999 ND 10, 589 N.W.2d 185.

Trial court erred in giving the obligor a two-month credit against his annual child support obligation as a way to account for the obligor's extended visitation with the children and for travel costs. *Cline v. Cline*, 2007 ND 85, 732 N.W.2d 385.

#### Annual Income to be Considered

It is error for trial court to rely on a partial year's income unless appropriate adjustments are made to reflect the proportionate annual effect of deductions occurring unevenly throughout the year. *Mahoney v. Mahoney*, 538 N.W.2d 189 (N.D. 1995); *Helbling v. Helbling*, 541 N.W.2d 443 (N.D. 1995).

Obligor's net annual income is divided by 12 months to get monthly net income from which his monthly child support obligation is determined under the guidelines schedule. *Dalin v. Dalin*, 545 N.W.2d 785 (N.D. 1996); *Edwards v. Edwards*, 1997 ND 94, 563 N.W.2d 394.

# **Extrapolation of Income**

Unless the trial court makes a determination that evidence of an obligor's recent past circumstances is not a reliable indicator of his future circumstances, the trial court must not extrapolate an obligor's income. *Korynta v. Korynta*, 2006 ND 17, 708 N.W.2d 895; *Berge v. Berge*, 2006 ND 46, 710 N.W.2d 417; *Heinle v. Heinle*, 2010 ND 5, 777 N.W.2d 590.

Trial court erred by extrapolating income to obligor, an independent contractor, based on hourly wage earned by her current spouse when there was no evidence to support the assumption that the obligor could find employment in a position identical to the spouse's position. *Fleck v. Fleck*, 2010 ND 24, 778 N.W.2d 572.

#### Income Fluctuation

If an obligor's gross income fluctuates, information sufficient to reveal the likely extent of fluctuation must be provided. There is no deduction from gross income for nonrecurring payments. *Helbling v. Helbling*, 541 N.W.2d 443 (N.D. 1995); *Shaver v. Kopp*, 545 N.W.2d 170 (N.D. 1996).

Children should share in the obligor's good fortune. *Helbling v. Helbling*, 541 N.W.2d 443 (N.D. 1995).

Trial court did not err in basing income calculations on the most recent evidence available. **Shaver v. Kopp**, 545 N.W.2d 170 (N.D. 1996).

Trial court determined that obligor's initial support order was based on fluctuating income. It was not error for trial court to conclude that obligor's decrease in income for one year did not support a reduction in the support obligation. *Withey v. Hager*, 1997 ND 225; 571 N.W.2d 142.

It was not clearly erroneous for trial court to average obligor's fluctuating income over five-year period instead of simply averaging the two years with the highest

earnings. Averaging income over a five-year period provided a more accurate estimate of the obligor's income. *Larson v. Larson*, 1998 ND 156, 582 N.W.2d 657.

Where obligor received "longevity bonus" every three years as compensation for overseas employment, trial court erred by not averaging the bonus over the three years it was intended to cover. **Schiff v. Schiff**, 2000 ND 113, 611 N.W.2d 191.

#### **Guideline Amount is for Current Need**

Custodial parent has a representational right to collect support on behalf of the child. Child support under the guidelines assumes that support will be paid to the custodial parent to use for the child's current expenses. Guidelines contain no provision authorizing a portion of current support to be paid into an annuity for the child's future benefit. **Schleicher v. Schleicher**, 551 N.W.2d 766 (N.D. 1996).

The child support guidelines contain no provision authorizing current support to be placed in a separate account when a custodial parent refuses to cooperate with visitation. Rather, under the guidelines, child support is to be paid to the custodial parent to use for the child's current expenses. *Hendrickson v. Hendrickson*, 1999 ND 37, 590 N.W.2d 220.

#### Interference with Visitation

It would be fundamentally unfair to allow an offset of child support, which belongs to and benefits the child, against amounts owed by the custodial parent for interfering with visitation. **Sweeney** v. **Sweeney**, 2002 ND 206, 654 N.W.2d 407.

# Cost per Child

Guidelines contemplate a greater cost of providing for the first child of the household and do not reflect a pro rata allocation of support for each child. **Steffes v. Steffes**, 1997 ND 49, 560 N.W.2d 888.

#### Children's Benefits

Guidelines expressly provide that benefits, including social security disability dependency benefits, must be credited as a payment toward a child support obligation for the particular months or period the payment was intended to cover but may not be credited for any other month or period. *Tibor v. Bendrick*, 1999 ND 92, 593 N.W.2d 395.

If and to the extent a child support obligation may survive the obligor's death, the Social Security survivors' death benefits must be credited as a payment toward the obligor's child support obligation in the month or other period the payment is intended to cover, but may not be credited as a payment toward the child support obligation for any other month or period. **Nelson v. Nelson**, 2000 ND 118, 617 N.W.2d 131.

In an action brought by the obligor to recover an alleged overpayment of child support after Social Security dependency benefits were credited to his child support obligation, the Supreme Court held that the plain language of the guidelines leaves no room for the application of equitable principles and requires that the obligor be reimbursed for child support he had paid but which was subsequently supplanted by the children's receipt of lump sum Social Security dependency benefits. *Davis v. Davis*, 2010 ND 67, 780 N.W.2d 707.

It is clear from the plain language of the guidelines and case law that an obligor may only receive credit for benefits paid to the children or on the children's behalf when the benefits are attributable to the obligor. *Norberg v. Norberg*, 2014 ND 90, 845 N.W.2d 348.

**75-02-04.1-03.** Determination of child support obligation - Split custody or primary residential responsibility. A child support obligation must be determined and specifically ordered for the child or children for whom each parent has primary residential responsibility pursuant to a court order or, if there is no court order, for whom each parent has primary physical custody. The lesser obligation is then subtracted from the greater. The difference is the child support amount owed by the parent with the greater obligation. The offset of child support obligations in this section is for payment purposes only and must be discontinued for any month in which the rights to support of a child for whom the obligation was determined are assigned to a government agency as a condition of receiving public assistance.

History: Effective February 1, 1991; amended effective August 1, 2003; October 1,

2008; July 1, 2011.

**General Authority:** NDCC 50-06-16, 50-09-25

Law Implemented: NDCC 14-09-09.7, 50-09-02(16); 42 USC 667

\_\_\_\_\_

When custody of children is split between the parents, the income of each parent is computed and the lesser amount subtracted from the greater. *Clutter v. McIntosh*, 484 N.W.2d 846 (N.D. 1992); *Spilovoy v. Spilovoy*, 488 N.W.2d 873 (N.D. 1992).

The amount per month husband was ordered to pay for one child's support was not income to wife, and was not required to be considered in calculating wife's child support obligation for the couple's child in her custodial care; although a custodial parent may have a representational right to collect support on behalf of a child, the right to the support actually belongs to the child. **Spilovoy v. Spilovoy**, 488 N.W.2d 873 (N.D. 1992).

Custody arrangement under which father had custody of child for three months each year, while mother had custody remainder of year, does not meet definition of split custody. Thus, order requiring mother to pay support to the father during that three-month period was remanded because child support guidelines only contemplate that support be paid by noncustodial parent, and mother is custodial parent. *Dalin v. Dalin*, 545 N.W.2d 785 (N.D. 1996).

# **Decisions Under Prior Law**

Shared custody of one child [where each parent was essentially awarded custody of child for one-half of each month] does not constitute split and, consequently,

it is inappropriate to use the split formula in a shared custody situation. *Wetzel v. Wetzel*, 1999 ND 29, 589 N.W.2d 889.

The offset provisions apply to all split custody and equal custody cases, even after one parent assigns the right to receive child support to the State. **Simon v. Simon**, 2006 ND 29, 709 N.W.2d 4.

# 75-02-04.1-04. Minimum support level.

Repealed effective January 1, 2018.

# 75-02-04.1-05. Determination of net income from self-employment.

- 1. Net income from self-employment means total income, for internal revenue service purposes, of the obligor:
  - a. Reduced by that amount, if any, of:
    - (1) That total income that is not the obligor's income or that is otherwise included in gross income; and
    - (2) With respect to a partnership or a small business corporation for which an election under 26 U.S.C. section 1362(a) is in effect and over which the obligor is not able to exercise direct or indirect control to a significant extent, that income of the partnership or small business corporation which is not available, and has not yet been distributed, to the obligor; and
  - b. Increased by that amount, if any, for:
    - (1) Business expenses attributable to the obligor or a member of the obligor's household for employee's or proprietor's benefits, pensions, and profit-sharing plans;
    - (2) Payments made from the obligor's self-employment activity to a member of the obligor's household, other than the obligor, to the extent the payment exceeds the fair market value of the service furnished by the household member; and
    - (3) With respect to a corporation that pays its own tax over which the obligor is able to exercise direct or indirect control to a significant extent, the taxable income of the corporation, less the corporation's federal income tax, multiplied by seventy percent of the obligor's ownership interest in the corporation.

- 2. "Member of the obligor's household" includes any individual who shares the obligor's home a substantial part of the time, without regard to whether that individual maintains another home.
- 3. If the tax returns are not available or do not reasonably reflect the income from self-employment, profit and loss statements which more accurately reflect the current status must be used.
- 4. Self-employment activities may experience significant changes in production and income over time. To the extent that information is reasonably available, the average of the most recent five years of each self-employment activity, if undertaken on a substantially similar scale, must be used to determine self-employment income. When self-employment activity has not been operated on a substantially similar scale for five years, a shorter period may be used.
- 5. When averaging self-employment income pursuant to subsection 4, no amount may be included in income for one year that was previously included in income for any other year during the period being averaged.
- 6. When less than three years were averaged under subsection 4, a loss resulting from the averaging may be used to reduce other income that is not related to the self-employment activity that produced the loss only if the loss is not related to a hobby activity and monthly gross income, reduced by one-twelfth of the average annual self-employment loss, equals or exceeds the greatest of:
  - a. A monthly amount equal to one hundred sixty-seven times the hourly federal minimum wage;
  - b. An amount equal to six-tenths of this state's statewide average earnings for persons with similar work history and occupational qualifications; or
  - c. An amount equal to eighty percent of the obligor's greatest average gross monthly earnings, calculated without using self-employment losses, in any twelve consecutive months included in the current calendar year and the two previous calendar years before commencement of the proceeding before the court.
- 7. When three or more years were averaged under subsection 4, a loss resulting from the averaging may be used to reduce other income that is not related to the self-employment activity that produced the loss only if the loss is not related to a hobby activity, losses were calculated for no more than forty percent of the years averaged, and monthly gross income, reduced by one-twelfth of the average annual self-employment loss, equals or exceeds the greatest of:

- a. A monthly amount equal to one hundred sixty-seven times the hourly federal minimum wage;
- An amount equal to six-tenths of this state's statewide average earnings for persons with similar work history and occupational qualifications; or
- c. An amount equal to ninety percent of the obligor's greatest average gross monthly earnings, calculated without using self-employment losses, in any twelve consecutive months included in the current calendar year and the two previous calendar years before commencement of the proceeding before the court.
- 8. For purposes of subsections 6 and 7, an activity is presumed to be a hobby activity if the result from averaging is a loss. The presumption may be rebutted if the obligor shows that the activity is not done primarily for enjoyment purposes, is a vocation and not an avocation and, in the context of the child support obligation, there is a reasonable expectation that the children will receive long-term benefits.
- 9. Net income from self-employment is an example of gross income and is subject to the deductions from gross income set forth in subsection 6 of section 75-02-04.1-01, to the extent not already deducted when calculating net income from self-employment.

**History:** Effective February 1, 1991; amended effective January 1, 1995; August 1,

1999; August 1, 2003; October 1, 2008; July 1, 2011; September 1, 2015.

General Authority: NDCC 50-06-16, 50-09-25

Law Implemented: NDCC 14-09-09.7, 50-09-02(16); 42 USC 667

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **Income Fluctuation**

When an obligor is self-employed with income subject to fluctuation, the information from several years must be used to arrive at income. *Clutter v. McIntosh*, 484 N.W.2d 846 (N.D. 1992).

Fluctuating farm income is an explanation inadequate to justify departure from guidelines. *Zacher v. Zacher*, 493 N.W.2d 704 (N.D. App. 1992).

The guidelines account for the typical fluctuation in the income of self-employed obligors by permitting the averaging of income over a five-year period. **Schmidt v. Reamann**, 523 N.W.2d 70 (N.D. 1994).

Trial court must make specific findings on why a five-year average of selfemployment income is not reflective of current income before the court can use a shorter period of time. *Entzie v. Entzie*, 2010 ND 194, 789 N.W.2d 550. Trial court must make a finding that financial information is not reasonably available or that the self-employment activity was not being undertaken on a substantially similar scale before using a shorter period of time than a five-year average. *Raap v. Lenton*, 2016 ND 195, 885 N.W.2d 777.

When the parties presented sufficient evidence to calculate a five-year average of the obligor's self-employment income from farming, the trial court erred by using a three-year average for the calculation based on an unclear reference to "current agricultural conditions." *Willprecht v. Willprecht, 2020 ND 77, 941 N.W.2d 556.* 

#### **Depreciation**

Depreciation deductions may not be added back in when determining an obligor's net income from self-employment under the guidelines. *Torgerson v. Torgerson*, 2003 ND 150, 669 N.W.2d 98; *Kobs v. Jacobson*, 2005 ND 222, 707 N.W.2d 803; *Clark v. Clark*, 2006 ND 182, 721 N.W.2d 6.

#### **Business Costs**

New spouse's contribution to the farming operation is not in-kind income to be used to reduce obligor's net income. *Woolridge v. Schmid*, 495 N.W.2d 52 (N.D. 1993).

Mixed business and personal costs are deductible only to the extent that they reflect business costs. *Heley v. Heley*, 506 N.W.2d 715 (N.D. 1993).

As a sanction for obligor's persistent and willful discovery misconduct, trial court did not abuse its discretion in disallowing obligor's farm expenses in calculating his income to determine his child support obligation. *Barth v. Barth*, 1999 ND 91, 593 N.W.2d 359.

# Range of Inquiry to Determine Income

Generally, although not solely, the income of a self-employed obligor will be documented through tax returns. *Dalin v. Dalin*, 545 N.W.2d 785 (N.D. 1996).

In determining income for child support purposes, the district court must consider the entire revenue of the business in self-employment situations, rather than what the obligor chooses his personal income to be. *Quamme v. Bellino*, 540 N.W.2d 142 (N.D. 1995).

Trial court properly relied on information other than obligor's tax return where obligor had notice of the use of that information. *Reinecke v. Griffeth*, 533 N.W.2d 695 (N.D. 1995).

Trial court improperly limited discovery to obligor's income tax returns as those returns do not necessarily reflect the degree of control an obligor has over his spouse's income. **Smith v. Smith**, 538 N.W.2d 222 (N.D. 1995).

Where obligor owns 40.3% of corporation's shares but obligee failed to present evidence regarding obligor's control of distribution of corporation's retained earnings,

trial court did not err in not attributing any of the corporation's retained earnings to obligor in calculating his child support obligation. *Bleth v. Bleth*, 2000 ND 52, 607 N.W.2d 577.

Obligors are cautioned against practices which intentionally distort income in order to reduce child support obligations. Trial courts should not allow self-employed individuals to stray too far in accepting inaccurate tax returns as the basis for computing child support. *Torgerson v. Torgerson*, 2003 ND 150, 669 N.W.2d 98.

The child support guidelines do not state that income tax returns prepared after a motion to modify child support cannot be used to determine a child support obligation. **Doepke v. Doepke**, 2009 ND 10, 760 N.W.2d 131.

Trial court did not err when, after finding that obligor's tax returns were not reliable for purposes of determining income, it used a "Ratios and Indicators" document, which satisfied the definition for a profit and loss statement. *Raap v. Lenton*, 2016 ND 195, 885 N.W.2d 777.

When obligor did not offer profit and loss statements that might more accurately reflect current status of trucking business, it was not error for trial court to use tax returns to determine obligor's average income from self-employment. *Brew v. Brew*, 2017 ND 242, 903 N.W.2d 72.

Trial court erred when, after finding that obligor's tax returns were not an accurate reflection of his income, considered the obligor's personal expenses and monthly budget to determine his income for child support purposes. *Thompson v. Johnson*, 2018 ND 142, 912 N.W.2d 315.

After determining that obligor's tax returns did not accurately reflect his income and that obligor had not provided necessary documentation for preparation of profit and loss statements, it was not error for trial court to rely on obligor's balance sheets and unrefuted testimony of certified public accountant regarding obligor's increased net worth over the years in question. *Minyard v. Lindseth*, 2019 ND 180, 930 N.W.2d 626.

#### **Rental Income**

Trial court did not err in including mineral leasing bonus in determining obligor's net income from self-employment because it was an activity that generated income from the leasing of obligor's property and, therefore, was a form of rental income. *Knudson v. Knudson*, 2018 ND 1999, 916 N.W.2d 793.

# **Hobby is not Self-Employment**

An avocation or hobby is not self-employment when it is done primarily for enjoyment and there is not a reasonable expectation that the children of the obligor will receive a long-term benefit, and losses related to hobby activities may not be used to reduce or offset obligor's other income. *Wilhelm v. Wilhelm*, 543 N.W.2d 488 (N.D. 1996).

# **Offsetting Self-Employment Losses**

Trial court erred as a matter of law by offsetting employment income with self-employment losses from farming operation where obligor incurred self-employment losses in more than 40% of the years being averaged. *Bladow v. Bladow*, 2005 ND 142, 701 N.W.2d 903.

Losses from a self-employed obligor's farming-ranching operation may be used to reduce gains from selling cattle and trading machinery where the income from the gains is related to the farming-ranching operation that produced the loss. *Gerving v. Gerving*, 202 ND 2, 969 N.W.2d 184.

# **Subchapter S Corporation**

Trial court did not err by not accepting obligor's allegedly uncontroverted testimony about his monthly draw from his solely-owned Subchapter S corporation when he failed to provide documented evidence to show the current income and expenses of the corporation. *Gunia v. Gunia*, 2009 ND 32, 763 N.W.2d 455.

W-2 wages obligor paid to himself from business operated as a Subchapter S corporation of which he is president and sole shareholder are not self-employment income and must be included in gross income after net income from self-employment has been calculated. *Wolt v. Wolt*, 2019 ND 155, 930 N.W.2d 589.

# **Start-up Business**

Trial court did not err in recognizing that predicting the future income of a start-up business is "inherently difficult" and making a finding based on assumption that its income for the next several years will be comparable to the past. *Conzemius v. Conzemius*, 2014 ND 5, 841 N.W.2d 716.

#### **Decisions Under Prior Law**

For the purposes of calculating income from farm operations for a child support obligation, obligor's allowable deduction for payment on loans used to purchase depreciable machinery was the net reduction in the principle balance on the machinery loans. *Edwards v. Edwards*, 1997 ND 94, 563 N.W.2d 394.

The trial court has discretion over whether and to what extent to allow or disallow the deduction of business costs paid, but not expensed for Internal Revenue Service purposes, in determining net income from self-employment. *Christl v. Swanson*, 2000 ND 74, 609 N.W.2d 70.

Obligor's self-employment losses from farming operation should have been included in determining net income from self-employment for purposes of determining gross income. **Shae v. Shae**, 2014 ND 149, 849 N.W.2d 173.

Trial court erred as a matter of law by failing to determine if obligor's income from serving on boards was "self-employment income" that could properly be offset by farm losses. *Klein v. Klein*, 2015 ND 236, 869 N.W.2d 750.

**75-02-04.1-06.** Determining the cost of supporting a child living with the obligor. The cost of supporting a child living with the obligor, who is not also a child of the obligee, may be deducted from net income under subsection 4 of section 75-02-04.1-06.1 and is determined by applying the obligor's net income and the total number of children living with the obligor, who are not also children of the obligee, to whom the obligor owes a duty of support, to section 75-02-04.1-10.

History: Effective February 1, 1991; amended effective January 1, 1995; August 1,

1999; August 1, 2003.

**General Authority:** NDCC 50-06-16, 50-09-25

Law Implemented: NDCC 14-09-09.7, 50-09-02(16); 42 USC 667

# Decisions Under Prior Law Hardship

Without specific findings of hardship to the noncustodial parent required by this section, a custodial parent's income is irrelevant to the noncustodial parent's obligation to pay child support. *Reimer v. Reimer*, 502 N.W.2d 231 (N.D. 1993).

The presumptive obligation under the guidelines can only be rebutted if a preponderance of the evidence establishes the existence of factors not considered by the guidelines or the existence of a hardship. *Bernhardt v. K.R.S.*, 503 N.W.2d 233 (N.D. 1993).

The district court's refusal to abate father's child support obligation for the summer months, when he was allowed visitation for the children, was not clearly erroneous where the district court made no written or specific finding of hardship. *Beals v. Beals*, 517 N.W.2d 413 (N.D. 1994).

# Remarriage and Additional Children

The additional living expenses assumed by an obligor who voluntarily had additional children did not constitute "factors not considered by the guidelines" to justify a finding of undue hardship. *Guskjolen v. Guskjolen*, 499 N.W.2d 126 (N.D. 1993); *Houmann v. Houmann*, 499 N.W.2d 593 (N.D. 1993); *Rueckert v. Rueckert*, 499 N.W.2d 863 (N.D. 1993); *Bernhardt v. K.R.S.*, 503 N.W.2d 233 (N.D. 1993). Controllable Living Expenses

Controllable living expenses of the obligor and the obligor's household are not hardships. *Gray v. Gray*, 527 N.W.2d 268 (N.D. 1995); *Scherling v. Scherling*, 529 N.W.2d 879 (N.D. 1995).

# 75-02-04.1-06.1. Determination of support amount in multiple-family cases.

- 1. This section must be used to determine the child support amount presumed to be the correct amount of child support in all cases involving an obligor who:
  - a. Owes duties of support payable to two or more obligees; or
  - b. Owes a duty of support to at least one obligee and also owes a duty of support to a child living with the obligor who is not also the child of that obligee.
- 2. If a court consolidates proceedings involving an obligor and two or more obligees, the court must determine all obligations that may be determined in the consolidated proceeding without regard to whom the initial moving party may be.
- 3. A hypothetical amount that reflects the cost of supporting children living with the obligor, as determined under section 75-02-04.1-06, and a hypothetical amount due to each obligee under this chapter must first be determined for the children living with the obligor and each obligee, whether or not the obligee is a party to the proceeding, assuming for purposes of that determination:
  - a. The obligor has no support obligations except to the obligee in question:
  - b. The guidelines amount is not rebutted; and
  - c. The obligor does not have extended parenting time.
- 4. A hypothetical amount due to each obligee under this chapter must next be determined for each obligee who is a party to the proceeding, assuming for purposes of that determination:
  - a. The obligor's net income is reduced by:
    - (1) The amount of child support due to all other obligees, as determined under subsection 3; and
    - (2) The cost of supporting a child living with the obligor, who is not also the child of that obligee, as determined under section 75-02-04.1-06;
  - b. The guidelines amount is not rebutted;
  - c. Any support amount otherwise determined to be less than one dollar is determined to be one dollar; and

- d. The obligor does not have extended parenting time.
- 5. a. Except as provided in subdivision b, for each obligee before the court, the support obligation presumed to be the correct amount of child support is equal to one-half of the total of the two amounts determined, with respect to that obligee, under subsections 3 and 4.
  - b. Any necessary determination under this section must be made before an adjustment for extended parenting time appropriate under section 75-02-04.1-08.1. The "amount otherwise due under this chapter", for purposes of section 75-02-04.1-08.1, is equal to one-half of the total of the two amounts determined, with respect to that obligation, under subsections 3 and 4.
- 6. The fact, if it is a fact, that the obligor is required to pay, or pays, a different amount than the hypothetical amounts determined under subsections 3 and 4 is not a basis for deviation from the procedure described in this section.
- 7. When determining a support amount under paragraph 1 of subdivision a of subsection 4, consider only children to whom an obligor owes a current monthly support obligation pursuant to a support order and other children under the age of eighteen to whom an obligor owes a duty of support.

History: Effective January 1, 1995; amended effective August 1, 1999; August 1,

2003; July 1, 2011.

General Authority: NDCC 50-06-16, 50-09-25

Law Implemented: NDCC 14-09-09.7, 50-09-02(16); 42 USC 667

\_\_\_\_\_

# **Mandatory Application**

The court committed error by not using the multiple-family calculation when the obligor had children from another relationship. *Hanson v. Hanson*, 2005 ND 82, 695 N.W.2d 205, *Machart v. Machart*, 2009 ND 208, 776 N.W.2d 795; *Sonnenberg v. Sonnenberg*, 2010 ND 94, 782 N.W.2d 654; *Bye v. Robinette*, 2015 ND 276, 871 N.W.2d 432.

# **Purpose**

The formula in this section details the proper method of striking a balance between the needs of the children and the ability of the obligor to pay in cases where the obligor owes a duty of support to more than one obligee. **Shaver v. Kopp**, 545 N.W.2d 170 (N.D. 1996).

This section allows for reductions when the obligor is responsible for the support of another child. *In the Interest of L.D.C.*, 1997 ND 104, 564 N.W.2d 298.

A court must take into account the obligor's responsibility to support the child of a first marriage when computing the obligor's support obligation for children of the second marriage. *Hogue* v. *Hogue*, 1998 ND 26, 574 N.W.2d 579.

#### Adult Children

Obligor's payment of graduate school expenses of adult children of prior marriage was child support, and child support obligation to minor children from a subsequent marriage must be calculated under § 75-02-04.1-06.1. **Zarrett v. Zarrett**, 1998 ND 49, 574 N.W.2d 855.

# Decisions Under Prior Law Multiple Households

The guidelines do not provide adequate guidance when multiple families appear simultaneously before the court. *Bergman v. Bergman*, 486 N.W.2d 243 (N.D. 1992).

The guidelines do not envision deductions from the obligor's income for unordered child support. *State of Minnesota v. Snell*, 493 N.W.2d 656 (N.D. 1992).

#### Other Parent's Income

Court properly gave no consideration to the cost of supporting children living with the obligor where the obligor provided no information about the income of the other parent of those children, who also lived with the obligor. *Hobus v. Hobus*, 540 N.W.2d 158 (N.D. 1995).

# 75-02-04.1-07. Imputing income based on earning capacity.

- 1. For purposes of this section:
  - a. "Earnings" includes in-kind income and amounts received in lieu of actual earnings, such as social security benefits, workers' compensation wage replacement benefits, unemployment insurance benefits, veterans' benefits, and earned income tax credits; and
  - b. An obligor is "underemployed" if the obligor's gross income from earnings is significantly less than this state's statewide average earnings for persons with similar work history and occupational qualifications.
- 2. An obligor is presumed to be underemployed if the obligor's gross income from earnings is less than the greater of:
  - a. Six-tenths of this state's statewide average earnings for persons with similar work history and occupational qualifications; or
  - b. A monthly amount equal to one hundred sixty-seven times the federal hourly minimum wage.

- 3. Except as provided in subsections 4, 5, 6, and 7, gross income based on earning capacity equal to the greatest of subdivisions a through c, less actual gross earnings, must be imputed to an obligor who is unemployed or underemployed.
  - a. A monthly amount equal to one hundred sixty-seven times the hourly federal minimum wage.
  - b. An amount equal to six-tenths of this state's statewide average earnings for persons with similar work history and occupational qualifications.
  - c. An amount equal to ninety percent of the obligor's greatest average gross monthly earnings, in any twelve consecutive months included in the current calendar year and the two previous calendar years before commencement of the proceeding before the court, for which reliable evidence is provided.
- 4. Monthly gross income based on earning capacity may not be imputed under subsection 3 if:
  - a. The reasonable cost of child care equals or exceeds seventy percent of the income which would otherwise be imputed where the care is for the obligor's child:
    - (1) For whom the obligor has primary residential responsibility;
    - (2) Who is under the age of thirteen; and
    - (3) For whom there is no other adult caretaker in the obligor's home available to meet the child's needs during absence due to employment.
  - b. Current medical records confirm the obligor suffers from a disability sufficient in severity to reasonably preclude the obligor from gainful employment that produces average monthly gross earnings equal to at least one hundred sixty-seven times the hourly federal minimum wage.
  - c. The unusual emotional or physical needs of a minor child of the obligor require the obligor's presence in the home for a proportion of the time so great as to preclude the obligor from gainful employment that produces average monthly gross earnings equal to one hundred sixty-seven times the hourly federal minimum wage.
  - d. The obligor has average gross monthly earnings equal to or greater than one hundred sixty-seven times the hourly federal minimum wage and is not underemployed.

- e. The obligor is under eighteen years of age or is under nineteen years of age and enrolled in and attending high school.
- f. The obligor is receiving:
  - (1) Supplemental security income payments;
  - (2) Social security disability payments;
  - (3) Workers' compensation wage replacement benefits;
  - (4) Total and permanent disability benefits paid by the railroad retirement board;
  - (5) Pension benefits, as defined in subsection 9, paid by the veterans benefits administration; or
  - (6) Disability compensation paid by the veterans benefits administration based on an overall disability rating of one hundred percent.
- g. It has been less than one hundred eighty days since the obligor was released from incarceration under a sentence of at least one hundred eighty days.
- h. The obligor is incarcerated under a sentence of one hundred eighty days or longer, excluding credit for time served before sentencing.
- 5. If an unemployed or underemployed obligor shows that employment opportunities, which would provide earnings at least equal to the lesser of the amounts determined under subdivision b or c of subsection 3, are unavailable within one hundred miles [160.93 kilometers] of the obligor's actual place of residence, income must be imputed based on earning capacity equal to the amount determined under subdivision a of subsection 3, less actual gross earnings
- 6. If the obligor fails, upon reasonable request made in any proceeding to establish or review a child support obligation, to furnish reliable information concerning the obligor's gross income from earnings, and if that information cannot be reasonably obtained from sources other than the obligor, income must be imputed based on the greatest of:
  - a. A monthly amount equal to one hundred sixty-seven times the hourly federal minimum wage.
  - b. An amount equal to one hundred percent of this state's statewide average earnings for persons with similar work history and occupational qualifications.

- c. An amount equal to one hundred percent of the obligor's greatest average gross monthly earnings, in any twelve consecutive months included in the current calendar year and the two previous calendar years before commencement of the proceeding before the court, for which reliable evidence is provided.
- 7. Notwithstanding subsections 4, 5, and 6, if an obligor makes a voluntary change in employment resulting in reduction of income, monthly gross income equal to one hundred percent of the obligor's greatest average monthly earnings, in any twelve consecutive months included in the current calendar year and the two previous calendar years before commencement of the proceeding before the court, for which reliable evidence is provided, less actual monthly gross earnings, may be imputed without a showing that the obligor is unemployed or underemployed. For purposes of this subsection, a voluntary change in employment is a change made for the purpose of reducing the obligor's child support obligation and may include becoming unemployed, taking into consideration the obligor's standard of living, work history, education, literacy, health, age, criminal record, barriers to employment, record of seeking employment, stated reason for change in employment, likely employment status if the family before the court were intact, and any other relevant factors. The burden of proof is on the obligor to show that the change in employment was not made for the purpose of reducing the obligor's child support obligation.
- 8. Imputed income based on earning capacity is an example of gross income and is subject to the deductions from gross income set forth in subsection 6 of section 75-02-04.1-01.
- 9. For purposes of paragraph 5 of subdivision f of subsection 4, "pension benefits" means only needs-based payments made by the veterans benefits administration to war-time veterans whose income is below a yearly limit set by Congress and who are age sixty-five or older or have a total and permanent disability.

**History:** Effective February 1, 1991; amended effective January 1, 1995; August 1, 1999; August 1, 2003; October 1, 2008; July 1, 2011; September 1, 2015; January 1, 2018.

General Authority: NDCC 50-06-16, 50-09-25

Law Implemented: NDCC 14-09-09.7, 50-09-02(16); 42 USC 667

\_\_\_\_\_

# **Earning Capacity**

Child support guideline remedy for underemployment was reasonable exercise of the rulemaking authority of the Department of Human Services. (Per Meschke, J., with one justice concurring and two justices concurring in the result.) *Nelson v. Nelson*, 547 N.W.2d 741 (N.D. 1996).

Obligor's ability to pay child support is not solely determinable from actual income, and obligor's earning capacity also can be utilized. (Per Meschke, J., with one justice concurring and two justices concurring in the result.) *Nelson v. Nelson*, 547 N.W.2d 741 (N.D. 1996); *Minar v. Minar*, 2001 ND 74, 625 N.W.2d 518; *T.E.J. v. T.S.*, 2004 ND 120, 681 N.W.2d 444.

Imputing income to underemployed obligor is not unjust, as parent has a duty to support his children to the best of his abilities, not simply to his inclinations. (Per Meschke, J., with one justice concurring and two justices concurring in the result.) **Nelson v. Nelson**, 547 N.W.2d 741 (N.D. 1996).

Child support guidelines definition of "underemployment" is tied to earning capacity, not to the amount of time that obligor works. (Per Meschke, J., with one justice concurring and two justices concurring in the result.) *Id.* 

Adoption of guidelines permitting imputation of income to unemployed or underemployed obligor based on earning capacity did not exceed rulemaking authority granted to Department of Human Services. *Surerus v. Matuska*, 548 N.W.2d 384 (N.D. 1996).

An obligor's ability to pay child support is not solely determinable from actual income, and income compatible with an obligor's prior earning history may be imputed in calculating the child support obligation. *Edwards v. Edwards*, 1997 ND 94, 563 N.W.2d 394.

The trial court's failure to impute the obligor's past earning capacity into calculations for determining the obligor's child support obligation was clearly erroneous. *Richter v. Houser*, 1999 ND 147, 598 N.W.2d 193.

Guidelines recognize that a parent has the duty to support a child to the best of the parent's abilities, not simply to the parent's inclinations. *Otterson v. Otterson*, 1997 ND 232, 571 N.W.2d 648.

Fact that obligor had been employed only part-time at a minimum wage job for two years is a circumstance that alone compelled a finding of underemployment of an able-bodied obligor. *Berg v. Ullman*, 1998 ND 74, 576 N.W.2d 218.

Trial court erred by not clearly explaining how it calculated the income to be imputed to the obligor. *Buchholz v. Buchholz*, 1999 ND 36, 590 N.W.2d 215.

#### Disability

An obligor who resists imputation of income based on earning capacity due to a disability has the burden of showing the disability is sufficient in severity to reasonably preclude the imputed employment earnings. **Bernhardt v. Bernhardt**, 1997 ND 80, 561 N.W.2d 656; **Otterson v. Otterson**, 1997 ND 232, 571 N.W.2d 648.

A trial court misapplies the guidelines and errs as a matter of law by determining that a disability automatically precludes a child support obligation without a hearing. *Oien v. Oien*, 2005 ND 205, 706 N.W.2d 81.

# Lifestyle

Trial court erred by extrapolating unemployed obligor's income based on her "lifestyle" and on the use of her assets to maintain this lifestyle. *Verhey v. McKenzie*, 2009 ND 35, 763 N.W.2d 113.

# Minimum wage

The minimum wage is statutory, the guidelines fix 167 hours per month for imputed full-time employment, and the related tax rates and tables are judicially noticeable public records whenever the obligor fails to provide complete information to correctly calculate child support. *Berg v. Ullman*, 1998 ND 74, 576 N.W.2d 218.

In an era when even a welfare parent with custody must work and earn minimum wages, the courts must expect no less from a noncustodial parent. *Id*.

With federal minimum wage of \$5.15 per hour, any obligor, self-employed or otherwise, is presumed to be underemployed if the obligor makes less than \$860.05 per month. *Kobs v. Jacobson*, 2005 ND 222, 707 N.W.2d 803.

# **Statewide Average Earnings**

The term "statewide average earnings" presumes a North Dakota statewide average. *Grossman v. Lerud*, 2014 ND 235, 857 N.W.2d 92.

The guidelines require using "this state's statewide average earnings" to determine if an obligor is underemployed. Therefore, the obligor's assertion that the trial court should have used information from the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics is without merit. Schrodt v. Schrodt, 2022 ND 64, \_\_ N.W.2d \_\_.

#### **Employment Available**

While availability of employment opportunities may be relevant when seeking to impute income in an amount greater than minimum wage, the guidelines presume minimum wage jobs are available in any community. *Otterson v. Otterson*, 1997 ND 232, 571 N.W.2d 648.

Where obligor's career as a cable lineman historically required him to relocate to places where cable needed to be laid, it would be unrealistic to compute prevailing earnings without looking at job sites beyond the 100-mile community radius. *Richter v. Houser*, 1999 ND 147, 598 N.W.2d 193.

Where the obligor was underemployed and there was evidence in the record of available jobs in the city and surrounding areas where the obligor resided, it was not error for the trial court to impute income based on subsection 3, even though the obligor had been unable to secure a position in the area at the time of the hearing. *Orvedal v. Orvedal*, 2003 ND 145, 669 N.W.2d 89.

# **Employment Unavailable**

Trial court erred by not taking into account obligor's evidence regarding employment opportunities when it is known that the oil industry has changed dramatically and it is unrealistic to conclude job opportunities with similar earnings were available at the time of the hearing on obligor's motion to reduce his child support obligation. *Rathbun v. Rathbun*, 2017 ND 24, 889 N.W.2d 855.

# Underemployed

Trial court has considerable discretion in determining whether an obligor meets the guideline definition of underemployed. *Henry v. Henry*, 1998 ND 141, 581 N.W.2d 921; *Richter v. Houser*, 1999 ND 147, 598 N.W.2d 193.

Trial court misapplied the guidelines and erred as a matter of law by multiplying obligor's hourly wage from his part-time job by 167 hours to reflect a monthly salary as if the obligor were working full-time. *McDowell v. McDowell*, 2001 ND 176, 635 N.W.2d 139.

Self-employed obligors may be underemployed as long as the obligor's income falls within the underemployment provisions in the guidelines. *Entzie v. Entzie*, 2010 ND 194, 789 N.W.2d 550.

Trial court's vague and conclusory findings that obligor was underemployed and that income must be imputed to her necessitated remand because those findings did not explain the type of employment for which obligor was deemed to be qualified, nor provide a source for the amount of earnings that someone with obligor's qualifications could earn. **Bye v. Robinette**, 2015 ND 276, 871 N.W.2d 432.

Determining underemployment is a two-step process: first, the trial court must determine the obligor's earnings and then may determine that the obligor is underemployed if gross income from those earnings is significantly less than statewide average earnings for persons with similar work history and occupational qualifications. *Thompson v. Johnson*, 2019 ND 111, 926 N.W.2d 120.

# Homestead not to be Considered

Equity in an obligor's homestead up to \$80,000 in value may not be considered when calculating an obligor's income. *Reinecke v. Griffeth*, 533 N.W.2d 695 (N.D. 1995); *Whitmire v. Whitmire*, 1999 ND 56, 591 N.W.2d 126.

#### **Documentation of Earnings not Proffered**

In proceeding to establish child support where obligor failed to make a reasonable effort to provide requested income information, income based on earning capacity equal to the greatest of subdivisions a through c of subsection 3 must be imputed. **Boehm v. Boehm**, 2002 ND 144, 651 N.W.2d 672.

In proceeding to modify child support, even though parties' evidentiary presentation was inadequate, it was error for the trial court to use an "arbitrary number" as the basis for computing the obligation. Instead, the guidelines require that income be

imputed in accordance with subsection 8. *Knoll v. Kuleck*, 2004 ND 1999, 688 N.W.2d 370.

## **Voluntary Change in Employment**

Subsection 9 unambiguously authorizes the trial court to impute income based on the obligor's prior earnings history, without a showing the obligor is unemployed or underemployed, if the obligor has voluntarily changed employment resulting in a reduction in income. *Logan v. Bush*, 2000 ND 203, 621 N.W.2d 314.

The trial court may consider the reasons for the obligor's change of employment when exercising its discretion in determining whether to impute income under subsection 9. *Id.* 

Where subsection 9 clearly requires the trial court to calculate imputed income based on an obligor's actual income in a prior 12-month period, it was error to pick the highest nine months and extrapolate that to a 12-month figure. *Id*.

It is an error when a trial court extrapolates from less than a 12-month period to determine imputed income under subsection 9. *Brandner v. Brandner*, 2005 ND 111, 698 N.W.2d 259; *Christofferson v. Giese*, 2005 ND 17, 691 N.W.2d 195.

Imputation based on a voluntary change in employment is not appropriate when the obligor is concededly unemployed. *Minar v. Minar*, 2001 ND 74, 625 N.W.2d 518; *Interest of D.L.M.*, 2004 ND 38, 675 N.W.2d 187; *Verhey v. McKenzie*, 2009 ND 35, 763 N.W.2d 113.

Trial court did not err in finding that obligor made a voluntary change in employment and in denying obligor's motion to reduce his support obligation where obligor failed to present any evidence about motive for the change in employment or about any of the other factors the court is to consider. **Schwalk v. Schwalk**, 2014 ND 13, 841 N.W.2d 767.

Although the trial court had discretion to calculate child support based on the obligor's previous, higher commission-based income, it was not mandatory to do so; the trial court did not err by basing the obligation on the obligor's current salary-based income upon finding that the obligor had voluntarily switched employment to have a guaranteed income source and more time to be with the children. **Pomarleau v. Pomarleau**, 2022 ND 16, 969 N.W.2d 430.

# **Decisions Under Prior Law Imputation of Minimum Wage**

The guidelines do not provide that minimum wage income be imputed to a child support obligor who remains in the home to care for a child of the remarriage. *Spilovoy v. Spilovoy*, 488 N.W.2d 873 (N.D. 1992).

## Wages not Imputed

The North Dakota Child Support Guidelines do not provide for imputing wages to an obligor who remarries and chooses not to work outside the home. *Spilovoy v. Spilovoy*, 488 N.W.2d 873 (N.D. 1992).

## **Rebuttable Presumption**

Presumption that child support obligor is underemployed if he or she is earning less than 60% of the relevant prevailing wage in the community is rebuttable and may be overcome by contrary evidence weighed by the judge. (Per Meschke, J., with one justice concurring and two justices concurring in the result.) **Nelson v. Nelson**, 547 N.W.2d 741 (N.D. 1996).

## Community

Department of Human Services did not act arbitrarily or capriciously in adopting, for purposes of support guideline dealing with underemployment, definition of "community" as any place within 100 miles of obligor's residence. (Per Meschke, J., with one justice concurring and two justices concurring in the result.) **Nelson v. Nelson**, 547 N.W.2d 741 (N.D. 1996).

Trial court's imputation of income to an underemployed obligor was not clearly erroneous when supported by evidence of earnings by others in the community with the same skills and experience as the obligor. *Henry v. Henry*, 1998 ND 141, 581 N.W.2d 921.

## **Prevailing Earnings**

It was not clearly erroneous for court to find that father's 1992 wage and his estimates of what two companies were paying their top door installers in 1995, along with Job Services publication, were insufficient to prove the relevant prevailing wage for his work or that he was underemployed for purposes of support guidelines. (Per Meschke, J., with one justice concurring and two justices concurring in the result.) **Nelson v. Nelson**, 547 N.W.2d 741 (N.D. 1996).

Child support guidelines present an objective standard – prevailing amounts earned in the community by persons with similar work history and occupational qualifications – against which to measure the obligor's gross income from earnings. *Kjos v. Brandenburger*, 552 N.W.2d 63 (N.D. 1996).

Trial court can impute income to a self-employed obligor if the obligor's income is significantly less than prevailing amounts earned in the community by persons with similar work history and occupational qualifications. *Torgerson v. Torgerson*, 2003 ND 150, 669 N.W.2d 98.

#### **Employment Unavailable**

The record did not support district court's determinations of underemployment and imputed income where there was no evidence of available work in the community and the referee did not impute income in accordance with the guidelines. *Kjos v. Brandenburger*, 552 N.W.2d 63 (N.D. 1996).

Imprisoned obligor must be considered as one for whom adequate employment opportunities were unavailable in the community, for purposes of applying child support guidelines permitting imputation of income to unemployed or underemployed obligors, where his earnings in confinement were less than minimum wage, he had no other income, and was apparently ineligible for work release. *Surerus v. Matuska*, 548 N.W.2d 384 (N.D. 1996).

#### Failure to Furnish Reliable Income Information

In action for divorce, where obligor had been sanctioned for refusing to comply with discovery requests, trial court erred when it did not increase the interim obligation, which had been set more than one year earlier, based on presumption that income had increased at rate of ten percent per year. *Allmon v. Allmon*, 2017 ND 122, 894 N.W.2d 869.

## **Voluntary Changes of Employment**

Absent a substantial showing of good faith or cause, a self-induced decline in income does not constitute an exceptional change in circumstances such as to afford the required basis for modifying a child support order. *Mahoney v. Mahoney*, 51 N.W.2d 656 (N.D. App. 1994).

If the obligor's voluntary change in employment and earnings is reasonable under all of the circumstances, including the best interests of the children, then additional income cannot be imputed based on earning capacity, and child support should be computed upon actual net monthly income. If, however, an obligor with an established earnings history voluntarily, without good reason, places himself in a position where he is unable to meet his child support obligations, income compatible with his prior earnings history may be imputed in calculating child support under the quidelines. *Olson v. Olson*, 520 N.W.2d 572 (N.D. 1994).

An obligor with a demonstrated ability to earn income may properly be treated as though he will continue to do so unless he can establish legitimate reasons for a change. **Schatke**, 520 N.W.2d 833 (N.D. 1994).

An unrealistic expectation alone does not conclusively prove misconduct or bad faith in a voluntary change in employment. *Mahoney v. Mahoney*, 538 N.W.2d 189 (N.D. 1995).

Voluntary change of employment resulting in reduction of income does not, by itself, foreclose an obligor from seeking modification of a child support obligation. (Per Meschke, J., with one justice concurring and two justices concurring in the result.) *Nelson v. Nelson*, 547 N.W.2d 741 (N.D. 1996).

Because father was statutorily entitled to periodic review of his child support obligation, he was not absolutely precluded from seeking modification when, due to voluntary change of employment, application of the guidelines to present income would reduce his support obligation. <u>Id</u>.

Child support obligation is subject to increase or decrease regardless of voluntariness or involuntariness of obligor's change of financial circumstances. **Surerus v. Matuska**, 548 N.W.2d 384 (N.D. 1996).

Accumulation of child support arrears was appropriate where the obligor temporarily and voluntarily reduced his income while attending graduate school. *Henry v. Henry*, 1998 ND 141, 581 N.W.2d 921.

## **Disability**

If obligor carries burden of showing a disability sufficient in severity to preclude imputing earnings at the minimum wage, trial court has discretion to impute income in a lesser amount. *Otterson v. Otterson*, 1997 ND 232, 571 N.W.2d 648.

#### Incarceration

Minimum wage should be imputed to an incarcerated obligor who has no other income, who is apparently ineligible for work release, and whose earnings in confinement are less than the minimum wage. *Surerus v. Matuska*, 548 N.W.2d 384 (N.D. 1996); *Ramsey County Social Service Board v. Kamara*, 2002 ND 192, 653 N.W.2d 693; *St. Claire v. St. Claire*, 2004 ND 39, 675 N.W.2d 175; *In the Interest of R.H.*, 2004 ND 170, 686 N.W.2d 107; *In the Interest of A.M.S.*, 2005 ND 64, 694 N.W.2d 8.

Imputing minimum wages to an incarcerated obligor who has no other income appropriately promotes this state's strong public policy of protecting the best interests of children and preserving parents' legal and moral obligations to support their children, while recognizing, but not excusing, the obvious difficulty an incarcerated obligor faces in providing support for his or her children. *Surerus v. Matuska*, 548 N.W.2d 384 (N.D. 1996).

As a matter of law, an incarcerated obligor whose obligation is based on imputing minimum wage cannot reduce the obligation by showing a lack of financial resources due to imprisonment. *In the Interest of A.M.S.*, 2005 ND 64, 694 N.W.2d 8.

## **Greater Prior Earnings**

Trial court properly based obligation on amount in excess of obligor's current earnings based on evidence of greater recent prior earnings. *Iverson v. Iverson*, 535 N.W.2d 739 (N.D. 1995).

**75-02-04.1-08. Income of spouse.** The income and financial circumstances of the spouse of an obligor may not be considered as income for child support purposes unless the spouse's income and financial circumstances are, to a significant extent, subject to control by the obligor as where the obligor is a principal in a business employing the spouse.

**History:** Effective February 1, 1991; amended effective January 1, 1995; January 1, 2019.

General Authority: NDCC 50-06-16, 50-09-25

Law Implemented: NDCC 14-09-09.7, 50-09-02(12); 42 USC 667

## **Business Controlled by Obligor**

Trial court improperly limited discovery to obligor's income tax return as those returns do not necessarily reflect the degree of control an obligor has over his spouse's income. **Smith v. Smith**, 538 N.W.2d 222 (N.D. 1995).

The exclusion of the obligor's spouse's salary from gross income, when that salary is from a corporation controlled by the obligor, is clear error. **Smith v. Smith**, 538 N.W.2d 222 (N.D. 1995); **Quamme v. Bellino**, 540 N.W.2d 142 (N.D. 1995).

Where a spouse's income is subject to control to a significant extent by the obligor, the spouse's income must be included with the obligor's income. *Clutter v. McIntosh*, 484 N.W.2d 846 (N.D. 1992).

## **Decisions Under Prior Law**

Value of in-kind income contributed by the spouse of the obligor must be considered. *Clutter v. McIntosh*, 484 N.W.2d 846 (N.D. 1992).

Trial court erred in subtracting the value of obligor's contribution to the marital enterprise from the in-kind income contributed to her by her spouse. *Spilovoy v. Spilovoy*, 511 N.W.2d 230 (N.D. 1994).

In-kind income contributed by an obligor's spouse includes only basic living expenses, limited to food, shelter, utilities, clothing, health care, and transportation. *Id*.

#### **Unmarried Co-Resident**

An obligor who resides with, but is not married to, a benefactor cannot avail herself of the exclusion of in-kind income of a spouse in calculating her child support obligation. *Cook v. Eggers*, 1999 ND 97, 593 N.W.2d 781.

## 75-02-04.1-08.1. Adjustment for extended parenting time.

- 1. For purposes of this section, "extended parenting time" means parenting time between an obligor and a child living with an obligee scheduled by court order to exceed an annual total of one hundred overnights.
- 2. Notwithstanding any other provision of this chapter and as limited by subsection 3, if a court order provides for extended parenting time between an obligor and a child living with an obligee, the support obligation presumed to be the correct child support amount due on behalf of all children of the obligor living with the obligee must be determined under this subsection.
  - a. Determine the amount otherwise due under this chapter from the obligor for those children.
  - b. Divide the amount determined under subdivision a by the number of those children.

- c. For each child, multiply the number of that child's parenting time overnights times .32 and subtract the resulting amount from three hundred sixty-five.
- d. Divide the result determined under subdivision c by three hundred sixty-five.
- e. Multiply the amount determined under subdivision b times each decimal fraction determined under subdivision d.
- f. Total all amounts determined under subdivision e.
- 3. An adjustment for extended parenting time is not authorized if the parents of a child for whom support is determined have equal residential responsibility according to section 75-02-04.1-08.2.

**History:** Effective August 1, 1999; amended effective July 1, 2011; September 1, 2015;

January 1, 2019.

**General Authority:** NDCC 50-06-16, 50-09-25

Law Implemented: NDCC 14-09-09.7, 50-09-02(12); 42 USC 667

#### **Court-Ordered Visitation**

Extended visitation warranting an adjustment of child support is determined by the amount of visitation ordered, not the amount of visitation actually exercised. **Logan v. Bush**, 2000 ND 203, 621 N.W.2d 314.

Trial court's general statement of "liberal and reasonable" visitation does not satisfy specific requirement of a court order providing for extended visitation. The guidelines contemplate visitation actually ordered, not hypothetical possibilities. *Corbett v. Corbett*, 2001 ND 113, 628 N.W.2d 628.

If there is a custody order with extended visitation, the court must adjust the amount of the obligor's child support obligation to reflect the extended visitation. *Gleich v. Gleich*, 2001 ND 185, 636 N.W.2d 418.

Trial court erred by giving the obligor a two-month credit against his annual child support obligation to account for the obligor's extended visitation with the children and for his travel costs. When an obligor has been awarded extended visitation as defined by the guidelines, the trial court must adjust the amount of child support to reflect that visitation in accordance with the guideline formula for extended visitation. *Cline v. Cline*, 2007 ND 85, 732 N.W.2d 385.

Trial court erred by limiting the obligor's adjustment for extended visitation to the summer months when the visitation actually occurs. Nothing in the plain language of the guidelines restricts the adjustment for extended visitation to the months in which the visitation occurs. On the contrary, language used in the guidelines reflects that the entire year is to be considered. **Pember v. Shapiro**, 2011 ND 31, 794 N.W.2d 435.

Extended visitation applies regardless of whether the divorce decree orders "visitation" or "custody" that exceeds 60 of 90 consecutive nights. **Shaw v. Shaw**, 2002 ND 114, 646 N.W.2d 693.

75-02-04.1-08.2. Equal residential responsibility - Determination of child support obligation. A child support obligation must be determined as described in this section in all cases in which a court orders each parent to have equal residential responsibility for their child or children. Equal residential responsibility means each parent has residential responsibility for the child or children for an equal amount of time as determined by the court. If equal residential responsibility is ordered for all the children, a child support obligation for each parent must be calculated under this chapter, and specifically ordered, assuming the other parent has primary residential responsibility for the child or children subject to the equal residential responsibility order. If equal residential responsibility is not ordered for all the children, a child support obligation must be calculated and specifically ordered for each parent for the children for whom the other parent has primary residential responsibility plus the children for whom the parents have equal residential responsibility. The lesser obligation is then subtracted from the greater. The difference is the child support amount owed by the parent with the greater obligation. Each parent is an obligee to the extent of the other parent's calculated obligation. Each parent is an obligor to the extent of that parent's calculated obligation. The offset of child support obligations in this section is for payment purposes only and must be discontinued for any month in which the rights to support of a child for whom the obligation was determined are assigned to a government agency as a condition of receiving public assistance.

**History:** Effective August 1, 2003; amended effective October 1, 2008; July 1, 2011;

September 1, 2015.

**General Authority:** NDCC 50-06-16, 50-09-25

Law Implemented: NDCC 14-09-09.7, 50-09-02(16); 42 USC 667

In situations where the court order provides for equal physical custody, the court order controls the child support determination, regardless of the actual custodial arrangement exercised by the parties. *Boumont v. Boumont*, 2005 ND 20, 691 N.W.2d 278.

Where the divorce judgment purported to award "joint custody" to the parents as "co-custodial parents" but on its face awarded more physical custody time to the father, the district court erred in applying the equal physical custody provision to determine the parties' child support obligations. **Serr v. Serr**, 2008 ND 56, 746 N.W.2d 416.

Where actual language of the judgment does not give the parties equal physical custody, district court erred in applying the equal physical custody provision for establishing the parties' child support obligations. *Serr v. Serr*, 2008 ND 229, 758 N.W.2d 739.

Judgment provision declaring that, for purposes of calculating child support, parties are considered to have equal physical custody, does not trump an expressly

ordered custody schedule or convert an unequal division of custody into "equal physical custody" under the guidelines. *Thornton v. Klose*, 2010 ND 141, 785 N.W.2d 891.

Trial court erred according to the plain language of the guidelines when it failed to calculate child support for each party for the reason that each party would have equal primary residential responsibility and parenting time. *State v. Andres*, 2016 ND 90, 879 N.W.2d 464.

#### **Decisions Under Prior Law**

Shared custody of one child [where each parent was essentially awarded custody of child for one-half of each month] does not constitute split and, consequently, it is inappropriate to use the split formula in a shared custody situation. *Wetzel v. Wetzel*, 1999 ND 29, 589 N.W.2d 889.

The guidelines do not address support when parents jointly share physical custody of their child for equal amounts of time. When the guidelines do not address a situation, the trial court must enter an order appropriate to the needs of the child and the parents' ability to pay. *Knutson v. Knutson*, 2002 ND 29, 639 N.W.2d 495.

The offset provisions apply to all split custody and equal physical custody cases, even after one parent assigns the right to receive child support to the State. **Simon v. Simon**, 2006 ND 29, 709 N.W.2d 4.

## 75-02-04.1-09. Criteria for rebuttal of guideline amount.

- 1. The child support amount provided for under this chapter, except for subsection 2, is presumed to be the correct amount of child support. No rebuttal of the guidelines may be based upon evidence of factors described or applied in this chapter, except in subsection 2, or upon:
  - Except as provided in subdivision m of subsection 2, the subsistence needs, work expenses, and daily living expenses of the obligor; or
  - b. Except as provided for in subdivision I of subsection 2, the income of the obligee, which is reflected in a substantial monetary and nonmonetary contribution to the child's basic care and needs by virtue of being a parent with primary residential responsibility.
- 2. The presumption that the amount of child support that would result from the application of this chapter, except for this subsection, is the correct amount of child support is rebutted only if a preponderance of the evidence establishes that a deviation from the guidelines is in the best interest of the supported children and:
  - a. The increased need if support for more than six children is sought in the matter before the court;

- b. The increased ability of an obligor, with a monthly net income which exceeds twenty-five thousand dollars, to provide additional child support based on demonstrated needs of the child, including, if applicable, needs arising from activities in which a child participated while the child's family was intact;
- c. The increased need if educational costs have been voluntarily incurred, at private schools, with the prior written concurrence of the obligor;
- d. The increased needs of children with disabling conditions or chronic illness;
- e. The increased needs of children age twelve and older;
- f. The increased needs of children related to the cost of child care, purchased by the obligee, for reasonable purposes related to employment, job search, education, or training;
- g. The increased ability of an obligor, whose net income has been substantially reduced as a result of depreciation and to whom income has been imputed under section 75-02-04.1-07, to provide child support.
- h. The increased ability of an obligor, who is able to secure additional income from assets, to provide child support;
- The increased ability of an obligor, who has engaged in an asset transaction for the purpose of reducing the obligor's income available for payment of child support, to provide child support;
- j. The reduced ability of an obligor who is responsible for all parenting time expenses to provide support due to travel expenses incurred predominantly for the purpose of visiting a child who is the subject of the order taking into consideration the amount of court-ordered parenting time and, when such history is available, actual expenses and practices of the parties;
- k. The reduced ability of the obligor to pay child support due to a situation, over which the obligor has little or no control, which requires the obligor to incur a continued or fixed expense for other than subsistence needs, work expenses, or daily living expenses, and which is not otherwise described in this subsection:
- I. The reduced ability of the obligor to provide support due to the obligor's health care needs, to the extent that the costs of meeting those health care needs:
  - (1) Exceed ten percent of the obligor's gross income;

- (2) Have been incurred and are reasonably certain to continue to be incurred by the obligor;
- (3) Are not subject to payment or reimbursement from any source except the obligor's income; and
- (4) Are necessary to prevent or delay the death of the obligor or to avoid a significant loss of income to the obligor; or
- m. The reduced ability of the obligor to provide support when the obligor is in the military, is on a temporary duty assignment, and must maintain two households as a result of the assignment; or
- n. The reduced needs of the child to support from the obligor in situations where the net income of the obligee is at least three times higher than the net income of the obligor.
- o. The improved convenience to the parents, and negligible impact to the child, of a nominal increase in the child support obligation of the parent with the smaller obligation as determined under section 75-02-04.1-08.2, not to exceed seventy-five dollars per month, in order for the obligation of each parent to be equal prior to application of the payment offset provided in that section and eliminate any net amount being due except during months when the obligation is assigned to a government agency.
- 3. Assets may not be considered under subdivisions h and i of subsection 2, to the extent they:
  - a. Are exempt under North Dakota Century Code section 47-18-01;
  - b. Consist of necessary household goods and furnishings; or
  - c. Include one motor vehicle in which the obligor owns an equity not in excess of twenty thousand dollars.
- 4. For purposes of subdivision i of subsection 2, a transaction is presumed to have been made for the purpose of reducing the obligor's income available for the payment of child support if:
  - a. The transaction occurred after the birth of a child entitled to support;
  - b. The transaction occurred no more than twenty-four months before the commencement of the proceeding that initially established the support order; and

- c. The obligor's income is less than it likely would have been if the transaction had not taken place.
- 5. For purposes of subdivision k of subsection 2, a situation over which the obligor has little or no control does not exist if the situation arises out of spousal support payments, discretionary purchases, or illegal activity.
- 6. For purposes of subdivisions a through f and subdivision o of subsection 2, any adjustment shall be made to the child support amount resulting from application of this chapter. When section 75-02-04.1-03 or 75-02-04.1-08.2 applies, the adjustment must be made to the parent's obligation before the lesser obligation is subtracted from the greater obligation.
- 7. For purposes of subdivisions g through m of subsection 2, any adjustment shall be made to the obligor's net income.
- 8. For purposes of subdivision n of subsection 2, any adjustment shall be made to the child support amount resulting from application of this chapter after taking into consideration the proportion by which the obligee's net income exceeds the obligor's net income. When section 75-02-04.1-03 or 75-02-04.1-08.2 applies, the adjustment must be made to the parent's obligation before the lesser obligation is subtracted from the greater obligation.

**History:** Effective February 1, 1991; amended effective January 1, 1995; August 1, 1999; August 1, 2003; July 1, 2008; April 1, 2010; July 1, 2011; September 1, 2015; January 1, 2019.

**General Authority:** NDCC 50-06-16, 50-09-25

Law Implemented: NDCC 14-09-09.7, 50-09-02(16); 42 USC 667

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **Findings**

Where trial court belatedly stated that it considered visitation in determining child support, it did not make written or specific findings sufficient to enable the court to review the court's deviation from child support guidelines of approximately 41%. *McDonough v. McDonough*, 458 N.W.2d 344 (N.D. App. 1990).

A written finding or a specific finding on the record must be made if the court determines that the presumption has been rebutted. *Spilovoy v. Spilovoy*, 488 N.W.2d 873 (N.D. 1992); *Mahoney v. Mahoney*, 538 N.W.2d 189 (N.D. 1995).

Mere recitation that the guidelines have been considered in arriving at the amount of a child support obligation is insufficient to show compliance with the guidelines. *Heley v. Heley*, 506 N.W.2d 715 (N.D. 1993).

A finding of net income is essential. *Foreng v. Foreng*, 509 N.W.2d 38 (N.D. 1993).

Any deviation from the guidelines requires the court to make a written finding or a specific finding on the record. *Beals v. Beals*, 517 N.W.2d 413 (N.D. 1994); *In the Interest of L.D.C.*, 1997 ND 104, 564 N.W.2d 298; *Schumacher v. Schumacher*, 1999 ND 10, 589 N.W.2d 185; *State of Michigan ex rel., Schneider v. Schneider*, 2008 ND 35, 745 N.W.2d 368.

## **Daily Living Expenses**

Trial court erred in deducting the entire monthly principal payment of a spouse's debt as a business cost in determining the child support obligation because the guidelines take into consideration the subsistence needs, work expenses, and daily living expenses of the obligor and to the extent that any of that payment was applied to construction of the spouse's house, rather than to farm land acquisition, that portion did not qualify as a deductible business cost. *Heley v. Heley*, 506 N.W.2d 725 (N.D. 1993).

Medical bills, home mortgage payments, credit card bills and other household expenses were not hardships, but were subsistence needs, work expenses, and daily living expenses already considered by the guidelines and not deductible. *Hallock v. Mickels*, 507 N.W.2d 541 (N.D. 1993).

Home mortgage payments and other household expenses are considered by the guidelines and cannot be further deducted from child support. *Scherling v. Scherling*, 529 N.W.2d 879 (N.D. 1995).

Child support guidelines did not permit district court to consider obligor's increased cost-of-living expense in determining obligor's child support obligation. The guidelines plainly state that daily living expenses may not be used to rebut base amount of child support obligation under guidelines. *Horner v. Horner*, 549 N.W.2d 669 (N.D. 1996).

Child support guidelines required deduction of business expenses, such as meal expenses according to IRS terminology, to determine adjusted gross income for self-employment. Meal expenses not allowed as a deduction for taxation purposes and not proven as actual business travel expenditures cannot be deducted from adjusted gross income to arrive at self-employment net income. *Hieb v. Hieb*, 1997 ND 171, 568 N.W.2d 598.

Child support guidelines prohibit court from considering an obligor's daily living expenses when setting child support. *Jarvis v. Jarvis*, 1998 ND 163, 584 N.W.2d 84.

## **Rebuttable Presumption**

There is a rebuttable presumption that the amount of child support resulting from an application of the guidelines would be correct. *Wenzel v. Wenzel*, 469 N.W.2d 156 (N.D. 1991); *Zander v. Zander*, 470 N.W.2d 603 (N.D. 1991); *Hallock v. Mickels*, 507 N.W. 541 (N.D. 1993).

After promulgation of child support guidelines, determinations of child support are made by applying the calculations required by the regulations, and these calculations

result in an amount of child support which is presumptively correct. *Montgomery v. Montgomery*, 481 N.W.2d 234 (N.D. 1992).

Evidence of increased needs of a supported child with a handicapping condition may be a basis for rebuttal of the presumption the guidelines amount is correct. *Clutter v. McIntosh*, 484 N.W.2d 846 (N.D. 1992).

The presumption that the correct amount of child support is obtained by applying the child support guidelines may be rebutted by evidence establishing that factors not considered by the guidelines would result in undue hardship to the obligor or supported child. *Spilovoy v. Spilovoy*, 488 N.W.2d 873 (N.D. 1992)

Assuming that plaintiff had correctly computed defendant's support obligation using the guideline formula, the monthly support payment would presumptively be a correct support award. The presumptive support obligation is rebuttable only with a specific written finding by the court that the preponderance of the evidence establishes that factors not considered by the guidelines would result in an undue hardship for defendant to pay that amount. **Zacher v. Zacher**, 493 N.W.2d 704 (N.D. App. 1992).

There is a statutory rebuttable presumption that the amount of child support provided under the child support guidelines is the correct amount. Trial court may deviate from guideline amount only if it finds, by a preponderance of evidence and taking into consideration the best interests of the child, that the presumptive amount is not the correct amount of support required. *Dalin v. Dalin*, 545 N.W.2d 785 (N.D. 1996); *State of Michigan ex rel., Schneider v. Schneider*, 2008 ND 35, 745 N.W.2d 368.

Party urging deviation from presumptively correct child support guidelines amount carries burden of proof. *Id*.

The party urging a deviation from the presumptively correct guideline amount bears the burden of proof. *Schleicher v. Schleicher*, 551 N.W.2d 766 (N.D. 1996).

Trial court may deviate from presumptively correct amount of support only if preponderance of evidence shows the presumptive amount is not the amount required, taking into consideration the best interests of the child. **Schleicher v. Schleicher**, 551 N.W.2d 766 (N.D. 1996); **In the Interest of L.D.C.**, 1997 ND 104, 564 N.W.2d 298.

When a proper finding as to net income of the obligor is made, there is a rebuttable presumption that a child support order made under the guidelines is correct. **Wolf v. Wolf**, 557 N.W.2d 742 (N.D. 1996).

Where the district court did not follow the guidelines in determining the amount of child support owed by the obligor, and did not provide written or specific findings on the record justifying deviation from the guidelines, the district court's award was clearly erroneous. *In the Interest of L.D.C.*, 1997 ND 104, 564 N.W.2d 298.

Clear error exists where trial court recognized the support ordered was not in compliance with the guidelines, but did not make findings to justify the deviation. **Peterson v. Peterson**, 555 N.W.2d 359 (N.D. 1996).

The amount prescribed by the guidelines enjoys a rebuttable presumption of correctness. *Edwards v. Edwards*, 1997 ND 94, 563 N.W.2d 394; *Hieb v. Hieb*, 1997 ND 171, 568 N.W.2d 598.

There is a rebuttable presumption that the amount calculated under the child support guidelines is the correct amount of support. *Harty v. Harty*, 1998 ND 97, 578 N.W.2d 519.

If the court finds that the presumptively correct amount under the guidelines has been rebutted, it must make a specific finding on the record stating the presumptive amount, the criteria rebutting the presumptive correctness of that amount, and the correct amount of support warranted. *Olson v. Olson*, 1998 ND 190, 585 N.W.2d 134.

## **Needs of Older Children**

It was error for the trial court to refuse an upward modification because the child's increased needs exceeded a subsistence level of support. The increased needs of older children are not limited to subsistence needs. *Bernhardt v. Bernhardt*, 1997 ND 80, 561 N.W.2d 656; *Entzie v. Entzie*, 2010 ND 194, 789 N.W.2d 550.

## **High Income Obligor**

The guidelines allow a district court to depart upward from the presumptively correct child support amount in cases that involve an obligor who earns more than \$12,500 per month. *Hanson v. Hanson*, 2005 ND 82, 695 N.W.2d 205.

Supreme Court rejected obligor's argument that the party seeking an upward deviation must justify that deviation by showing the child's appropriate needs in specific amounts, in a line-by-line accounting fashion. *Nuveen v. Nuveen*, 2012 ND 260, 825 N.W.2d 863.

Trial court did not err in ordering "only" a \$900 per month upward deviation because, although obligor's average income was \$164,000 per month, obligee did not present specific evidence of child's appropriate needs, nor did she propose a specific amount for an upward deviation. *Hoverson v. Hoverson*, 2013 ND 48, 828 N.W.2d 510.

Formula or multiplier should not be applied and an upward deviation is not permitted without considering whether facts merit an increase, especially when the obligor's net monthly income is extremely high and use of a straight percentage will frequently result in a support obligation that is unrelated to the children's appropriate needs. **Shae v. Shae**, 849 N.W.2d 173.

Balancing requires consideration of both the upward deviation and the appropriate needs of the child. *Martire v. Martire*, 2016 ND 57, 876 N.W.2d 727.

Even if it is undisputed that an obligor's net monthly income exceeds the monthly net income maximum under the guidelines, the trial court must still determine the obligor's net income before determining the appropriate upward deviation from the presumptive guideline amount. *Martire v. Martire*, 2016 ND 57, 876 N.W.2d 727.

## **Needs of Children with Disabling Conditions or Chronic Illness**

Trial court's finding that child's need for supplemental education programs to enhance reading skills and educational opportunities warranted a deviation from the guideline amount was not clearly erroneous. *Entzie v. Entzie*, 2010 ND 194, 789 N.W.2d 550.

## **Child Care**

The cost of day care may justify an upward deviation from the presumptively correct amount of support required by the guidelines. However, where obligee's mother provided child care for children at rate of \$1.50 per hour, trial court did not err by not granting an upward deviation. *Corbett v. Corbett*, 2001 ND 113, 628 N.W.2d 312.

## **Private School Tuition**

In an action for modification of the child support obligation, the trial court did not abuse its discretion by denying the obligor's request for a deviation where the parties' previous stipulated divorce action included an agreement for the obligor to continue to be responsible for tuition at the child's private school. *Gerving v. Gerving*, 2022 ND 2, 969 N.W.2d 184.

## **Travel Expenses for Visitation**

No error in trial court's determination that obligor furnished insufficient facts to determine his visitation travel expenses. *Hendrickson v. Hendrickson*, 553 N.W.2d 215 (N.D. 1996); *Schwalk v. Schwalk*, 2014 ND 13, 841 N.W.2d 767.

A child support obligation may be adjusted if the ability to provide support is reduced due to visitation-related travel expenses but the travel expenses must be subtracted from the obligor's net income before calculating the support obligation. **State ex rel. K.B. v. Bauer**, 2009 ND 45, 763 N.W.2d 462.

A provision that contains no location limitation on travel for visitation does not affect obligor's stipulated obligation to pay one-half of these travel expenses. *Cook v. Eggers*, 1999 ND 97, 593 N.W.2d 781.

Trial court erred in giving the obligor a two-month credit against his annual child support obligation to account for the obligor's extended visitation with the children and for his travel costs. The guidelines include explicit provisions governing deviations when an obligor has a reduced ability to pay due to visitation travel expenses. Under these provisions, travel expenses are subtracted from the obligor's net income before calculating the support obligation. *Cline v. Cline*, 2007 ND 85, 732 N.W.2d 385.

Trial court did not err in denying the obligor a deviation for visitation travel expenses when, based on the obligor's own calculation of his income and travel expenses and on the fact that the obligee was responsible for costs of transporting the

children to and from their visitation with the obligor, the trial court could reasonably conclude that the obligor's ability to provide support would not be hindered by his travel expenses. **Pember v. Shapiro**, 2011 ND 31, 794 N.W.2d 435.

Trial court erred in denying the obligor a deviation for visitation travel expenses when, although history of actual expenses and visitation practices were not documented through receipts, there was testimony from the obligor that he had incurred costs for airfare, lodging, and car rental to exercise his parenting time. *Keita v. Keita*, 2012 ND 234, 823 N.W.2d 726.

Trial court did not err in basing the deviation for visitation travel expenses on the number of visitations the obligor actually exercised (four) instead of the number allowed under the parenting plan (seven) for the reason that the guidelines authorize the court to take into consideration actual expenses and practices of the parties. **Gooss v. Gooss**, 2020 ND 233, 951 N.W.2d 427

## **Continued or Fixed Expense**

No evidence or finding supports claim that it was in best interests of children that obligor provide less support because of obligor's previous commitment to pay educational expenses of adult children from prior marriage. *Zarrett v. Zarrett*, 1998 ND 49, 574 N.W.2d 855.

Consideration of costs of supporting children of prior marriage is properly governed by § 75-02-04.1-06.1, rather than by § 75-02-04.1-09(2)(j). *Id*.

## **Hardship**

Without the specific findings of hardship to the noncustodial parent required by this section, a custodial parent's income is irrelevant to the noncustodial parent's obligation to pay child support. *Reimer v. Reimer*, 502 N.W.2d 231 (N.D. 1993).

The presumptive obligation under the guidelines can be rebutted only if a preponderance of the evidence establishes the existence of factors not considered by the guidelines or the existence of a hardship. *Bernhardt v. Bernhardt*, 503 N.W.2d 233 (N.D. 1993).

The care and tuition of stepchildren living in a noncustodial parent's home did not qualify as a hardship. *Hallock v. Mickels*, 507 N.W.2d 541 (N.D. 1993).

The district court's refusal to abate father's child support obligation for the summer months when he was allowed visitation with the children was not clearly erroneous where the district court made no written or specific finding of hardship. **Beals v. Beals**, 517 N.W.2d 413 (N.D. 1994).

Even though obligor mother may have had difficulty maintaining her current standard of living and paying child support, this was not different from an ordinary family that must forego a comfortable lifestyle to raise children. Mother's budget, part of the record, contained items which could be reduced to pay for the house. Based on the

guardian ad litem's testimony, she should make cuts in these other areas to maintain the marital home for the child. **Scherling v. Scherling**, 529 N.W.2d 879 (N.D. 1995).

#### Additional Children

The additional living expenses assumed by an obligor who voluntarily remarried and had children from that marriage did not constitute factors not considered by the guidelines to justify a finding of undue hardship. *Houmann v. Houmann*, 499 N.W.2d 593 (N.D. 1993).

The additional living expenses assumed by an obligor who voluntarily had additional children did not constitute factors not considered by the guidelines to justify a finding of undue hardship. *Rueckert v. Rueckert*, 499 N.W.2d 863 (N.D. 1993).

## Remarriage

Trial court's finding of undue hardship was clearly erroneous where child support obligor's financial burdens stemming from her voluntary remarriage and her having children of that marriage did not constitute factors not considered by the guidelines which could justify a finding of undue hardship. *Guskjolen v. Guskjolen*, 499 N.W.2d 126 (N.D. 1993).

Work expenses of the obligor and the additional living expenses assumed by an obligor who voluntarily remarries and has children from that marriage did not constitute factors not considered by the guidelines to justify a finding of undue hardship. **Bernhardt v. K.R.S.**, 503 N.W.2d 233 (N.D. 1993).

## **Controllable Living Expenses**

Controllable living expenses of the obligor and his household are not hardships. *Gray v. Gray*, 527 N.W.2d 268 (N.D. 1996); *Scherling v. Scherling*, 529 N.W.2d 879 (N.D. 1995).

## Illegal Activity

The child support guidelines have determined that, as a matter of law, financial hardship brought about by incarceration is not a situation in which an award of the presumptively correct amount of child support is "unjust or inappropriate." *In the Interest of A.M.S.*, 2005 ND 64, 694 N.W.2d 8.

## Net Income of Obligee at Least Three Times Higher than Net Income of Obligor

Trial court must make a specific finding of the obligee's net income under the guidelines and then determine whether the obligor is entitled to a downward deviation based on the obligee's net income being at least three times higher than the obligor's net income. **Becker v. Becker**, 2011 ND 107, 799 N.W.2d 53.

The downward deviation based on the obligee's net income being at least three times higher than the obligor's net income cannot be applied unless the trial court makes findings on the child's needs. *Martire v. Martire*, 2016 ND 57, 876 N.W.2d 727.

## **Presumptively Correct Amount**

The scheduled amounts under the child support guidelines promulgated by the Department of Human Services have been elevated from a scale of suggested minimum contribution to a presumptively correct amount which trial courts must follow unless that presumption of correctness was rebutted by criteria which took into consideration the best interests of the child. *O'Callaghan v. O'Callaghan*, 515 N.W.2d 821 (N.D. App. 1994).

Parties' stipulation to a child support amount does not rebut the presumption that a correct child support amount results from the application of the guidelines. **Smith v. Smith**, 538 N.W.2d 222 (N.D. 1995).

Trial court's determination that evidence did not support upward deviation from presumptively correct amount was not clearly erroneous. Although obligor bought pickup truck, obligee did not show that purchase was made for purpose of reducing income available for payment of child support. *Dalin v. Dalin*, 545 N.W.2d 785 (N.D. 1996).

## **Presumptive Amount Rebutted**

Even thought the court did not use the magic words, "the guidelines are hereby rebutted," the effect of its finding was the same: the increased child care expense resulting from child's preschool age and wife's full-time employment required an increase in husband's support obligation from the presumptive amount. *Perala v. Carlson*, 520 N.W.2d 839 (N.D. 1994); *Jarvis v. Jarvis*, 1998 ND 163, 584 N.W.2d 84.

Trial court's findings reflect balancing of children's best interests with obligor's ability to pay, and adequately support a determination that the presumptive guidelines amount is not the correct amount of support required and an upward deviation from the guidelines amount. *Reinecke v. Griffeth*, 533 N.W.2d 695 (N.D. 1995).

The initial step in determining whether a deviation from the guidelines amount is appropriate is a finding by the court by a preponderance of the evidence that a deviation is in the best interest of the supported children. *Zarrett v. Zarrett*, 1998 ND 49, 574 N.W.2d 855.

Parties stipulation to a child support obligation greater than that required by the guidelines rebutted the presumption the guidelines amount was correct. *O'Callaghan v. O'Callaghan*, 515 N.W.2d 821 (N.D. App. 1994).

Where district court found that the presumptively correct amount in guidelines had been rebutted and deviation from guidelines was warranted, such findings were specific findings of fact which appellant had duty to challenge if he wanted the findings reviewed. *Wagner v. Wagner*, 1998 ND 117, 579 N.W.2d 207.

Obligor's argument that the child support guidelines create an irrebuttable minimum payment fails since state law (N.D.C.C. § 14-09-09.7) and guidelines themselves provide that the amount resulting from application of the guidelines is

rebuttably presumed to be the correct amount and, further, the guidelines provide criteria for rebuttal. *In the Interest of R.H.*, 2004 ND 170, 686 N.W.2d 107.

## **Departure from Guidelines**

A child support award is clearly erroneous if it departs from the guidelines and the court does not expressly find that the support amount established under the guidelines has been rebutted by a preponderance of the evidence. **Schatke v. Schatke**, 520 N.W.2d 833 (N.D. 1994).

Where there were no specific findings to rebut the presumptive child support obligation and thus justify a departure from the guidelines, the trial court's child support award was clearly erroneous and the case was remanded for a redetermination of the father's child support obligation. **Bernhardt v. K.R.S.**, 503 N.W.2d 233 (N.D. 1993).

Where the trial court did not indicate that it applied the guidelines in computing the obligor's income or child support obligation, and did not make findings to justify such a deviation from the guidelines, the trial court's award of child support was clearly erroneous. *Peterson v. Peterson*, 555 N.W.2d 359 (N.D. 1996).

A change or modification of child support based on an erroneous application of the child support guidelines is clearly erroneous. *Steffes v. Steffes*, 1997 ND 49, 560 N.W.2d 888.

Upward deviation to require obligor to pay for children's medical expenses not covered by insurance is not required where no evidence indicates any criteria for deviation is present. *Dickson v. Dickson*, 1997 ND 167, 568 N.W.2d 284.

To the extent it may be understood to permit proof of uninsured medical expenses to support a deviation, Dickson v. Dickson is overruled. *Jarvis v. Jarvis*, 1998 ND 163 584 N.W.2d 84.

Deviation from the guidelines requires the court to make a written finding or a specific finding on the record. *Beals v. Beals*, 517 N.W.2d 413 (N.D. 1994); *In the Interest of L.D.C.*, 1997 ND 104, 564 N.W.2d 298.

Trial court was not clearly erroneous in refusing to deviate from guidelines amount and allow obligor a credit on her child support obligation for travel expenses incurred solely for exercising visitation rights. *Carver v. Miller*, 1998 ND App. 12, 585 N.W.2d 139.

Under the guidelines, the court can reduce the obligor's child support obligation if the court finds a reduced ability to pay because of travel expenses incurred by the obligor solely for the purpose of visitation. *Schmaltz v. Schmaltz*, 1998 ND 212, 586 N.W.2d 852.

It was clearly erroneous to allow an abatement of obligor's support obligation during summer visitation period without issuing specific findings on the record as to the

basis for allowing such a departure from the guidelines. *Schumacher v. Schumacher*, 1999 ND 10, 589 N.W.2d 185.

Trial court order requiring obligor to obtain and maintain life insurance policy on himself as security for his future support obligations did not constitute an improper upward deviation from the guidelines. Statutes that authorize the trial court to make future provision for the child's care and support or require reasonable security for support payments do not create an extra level of child support. Instead, those statutes create a separate responsibility, over and above the initial duty to provide support in the amount required by the guidelines. **Seay v. Seay**, 2012 ND 179, 820 N.W.2d 705.

In case involving split primary residential responsibility, Supreme Court rejected father's argument that it was an error to determine the deviation after offsetting the parent's presumptive support amounts for the reason that no law specifically requires the court to apply the deviation before the offset. *Nuveen v. Nuveen*, 2012 ND 260, 825 N.W.2d 863.

#### Homestead not to be Considered

Equity in an obligor's homestead up to \$80,000 in value may not be considered when calculating an obligor's income. *Reinecke v. Griffeth*, 533 N.W.2d 695 (N.D. 1995); *Whitmire v. Whitmire*, 1999 ND 56, 591 N.W.2d 126.

#### **Asset Transactions**

Trial court erred as matter of law in determining asset expenditures made by obligor before child was born were presumed to be asset transactions made for the purpose of reducing his income available for child support, warranting an upward deviation in child support. *Christl v. Swanson*, 2000 ND 74, 609 N.W.2d 70.

#### **Listed Factors are Exclusive**

Department of Human Services amended this section, to list the exclusive factors available to rebut the presumptive amount, in response to 1993 amendment to N.D.C.C. § 14-09-09.7 to require the guidelines to establish the available criteria for rebutting the presumptive amount. *Horner v. Horner*, 549 N.W.2d 669 (N.D. 1996).

This section limits the factors available for rebuttal of the presumptive amount to those listed in subsection 2. *Id*.

The list of available criteria for deviation is exclusive. *In the Interest of L.D.C.*, 1997 ND 104, 564 N.W.2d 298.

Medical insurance premiums and uninsured medical expenses (except for needs of children with disabling conditions or chronic illness) are not criteria for rebuttal of guidelines amount. *Jarvis v. Jarvis*, 1998 ND 163, 584 N.W.2d 84.

## **Decisions Under Prior Law**

The trial court shall use the guidelines as a starting point and then it must make its decision by considering the best interests of all the children and by balancing the

needs of the children with the noncustodial parent's ability to pay. **Bergman v. Bergman**, 486 N.W.2d 243 (N.D. 1992).

Child support deviations allowed for visitation travel expenses must be calculated on the basis of court-ordered visitation alone. Travel expense for discretionary visitation is not a valid criterion for rebuttal of the presumptively correct child support guidelines. *Tibor v. Tibor*, 2001 ND 43, 623 N.W.2d 12.

Until the rule is amended to identify the method of deviation, the trial court may use its discretion to determine whether visitation travel expenses may be deducted directly from the child support obligation or from the noncustodial parent's gross monthly income to calculate net income. *Id*.

## Obligee's Income

The guidelines are premised on the obligor's income, not on the obligee's earnings or needs. *Pozarnsky v. Pozarnsky*, 494 N.W.2d 148 (N.D. 1992).

When computing a noncustodial parent's child support obligation, the custodial parent's income is not a proper factor to be considered. *Gabriel v. Gabriel*, 519 N.W.2d 293 (N.D. 1994).

A substantial disparity between the obligor's and the obligee's income is not material for setting child support. *Scherling v. Scherling*, 529 N.W.2d 879 (N.D. 1995).

**75-02-04.1-10.** Child support amount. The amount of child support payable by the obligor is determined by the application of the following schedule to the obligor's monthly net income and the number of children for whom support is being sought in the matter before the court.

Obligor's Monthly Net	One <u>Child</u>	Two <u>Children</u>	Three <u>Children</u>	Four <u>Children</u>	Five <u>Children</u>	Six or More <u>Children</u>
<u>Income</u>	_	_				
800 or	0	0	0	0	0	0
less						
900	90	126	171	198	234	261
1000	140	183	232	265	305	337
1100	190	240	293	332	375	414
1200	240	296	355	399	446	490
1300	290	353	416	466	516	566
1400	316	385	453	508	563	617
1500	342	416	491	550	609	669
1600	368	448	528	592	656	720
1700	384	476	562	630	696	761
1800	400	505	596	668	736	803
1900	416	533	631	706	776	844
2000	431	562	665	744	816	885

2100 2200 2300 2400 2500 2600 2700 2800 2900 3000 3100 3200 3300 3400 3500 3600 3700 3800 4000 4100 4200 4300 4400 4500 4600 4700 4800 4900 5000 5100 5300 5400 5500 5500	447 463 479 495 511 527 542 558 574 590 606 622 637 653 669 685 701 717 733 748 764 780 796 812 828 844 859 875 891 907 923 939 954 970 986 1002	590 619 647 676 704 733 761 789 818 846 875 903 932 960 989 1017 1045 1074 1102 1131 1159 1188 1216 1245 1273 1302 1330 1358 137 1415 1444 1472 1501 1529 1558 1586	699 733 767 802 836 870 904 939 973 1007 1041 1075 1110 1144 1178 1212 1246 1281 1315 1349 1383 1417 1452 1486 1520 1554 1589 1623 1657 1691 1725 1760 1794 1828 1862 1896	781 819 857 895 933 971 1009 1047 1084 1122 1160 1198 1236 1274 1312 1350 1387 1425 1463 1501 1539 1577 1615 1653 1691 1728 1766 1804 1842 1880 1918 1956 1994 2031 2069 2107	856 896 936 976 1017 1057 1097 1137 1177 1217 1257 1297 1337 1377 1417 1457 1497 1537 1577 1617 1658 1698 1738 1778 1818 1858 1978 2018 2018 2018 2018 2018 2018 2018 201	926 968 1009 1050 1091 1133 1174 1215 1257 1298 1339 1380 1422 1463 1504 1545 1587 1628 1669 1710 1752 1793 1834 1876 1917 1958 1999 2041 2082 2123 2164 2206 2247 2288 2330 2371
5400	970	1529	1828	2031	2178	2288
5800	1034	1643	1965	2183	2339	2453
5900	1050	1671	1999	2221	2379	2495
6000	1065	1700	2033	2259	2419	2536
6100	1081	1728	2067	2297	2459	2577
6200	1097	1757	2102	2334	2499	2618
6300	1113	1785	2136	2372	2539	2660
6400	1129	1814	2170	2410	2579	2701
6500	1145	1842	2204	2448	2619	2742
6600	1161	1871	2239	2486	2659	2784
6700	1176	1899	2273	2524	2699	2825
6800	1192	1927	2307	2562	2739	2866

6000	1200	1056	2244	2600	2770	2007
6900 7000	1208 1224	1956 1984	2341 2375	2600 2638	2779 2819	2907 2949
7100	1240	2013	2410	2675		2949
7100			2410		2859	3031
	1256	2041		2713	2899	3072
7300	1271	2070	2478	2751	2939	
7400	1287	2098	2512	2789	2979	3114
7500	1303	2127	2546	2827	3020	3155
7600	1319	2155	2581	2865	3060	3196
7700	1335	2183	2615	2903	3100	3237
7800	1351	2212	2649	2941	3140	3279
7900	1367	2240	2683	2978	3180	3320
8000	1382	2269	2717	3016	3220	3361
8100	1398	2297	2752	3054	3260	3403
8200	1414	2326	2786	3092	3300	3444
8300	1430	2354	2820	3130	3340	3485
8400	1446	2383	2854	3168	3380	3526
8500	1462	2411	2888	3206	3420	3568
8600	1478	2440	2923	3244	3460	3609
8700	1493	2468	2957	3281	3500	3650
8800	1509	2496	2991	3319	3540	3691
8900	1525	2525	3025	3357	3580	3733
9000	1541	2553	3060	3395	3620	3774
9100	1557	2582	3094	3433	3661	3815
9200	1573	2610	3128	3471	3701	3857
9300	1588	2639	3162	3509	3741	3898
9400	1604	2667	3196	3547	3781	3939
9500	1620	2696	3231	3585	3821	3980
9600	1636	2724	3265	3622	3861	4022
9700	1652	2752	3299	3660	3901	4063
9800	1668	2781	3333	3698	3941	4104
9900	1684	2809	3367	3736	3981	4145
10000	1699	2838	3402	3774	4021	4187
10100	1715	2847	3412	3785	4034	4202
10200	1730	2857	3423	3797	4047	4218
10300	1745	2866	3434	3808	4061	4233
10400	1760	2875	3444	3820	4074	4248
10500	1775	2885	3455	3831	4087	4264
10600	1789	2894	3466	3843	4100	4279
10700	1804	2904	3476	3854	4113	4295
10800	1819	2913	3487	3866	4127	4310
10900	1833	2923	3498	3878	4140	4325
11000	1848	2932	3508	3889	4153	4341
11100	1863	2941	3519	3901	4166	4356
11200	1877	2951	3529	3912	4179	4372
11300	1892	2960	3540	3924	4193	4387
11400	1906	2970	3551	3935	4206	4403
11500	1921	2979	3561	3947	4219	4418
11600	1935	2988	3572	3958	4232	4433

11700         1949         2998         3583         3970         4245         4449           11800         1964         3007         3593         3981         4258         4464           12000         1978         3017         3604         3993         4272         4480           12000         1992         3026         3615         4004         4285         4511           12100         2006         3035         3625         4016         4298         4511           12200         2020         3045         3636         4027         4311         4526           12300         2034         3054         3647         4039         4324         4541           12400         2048         3064         3657         4050         4338         4557           12600         2076         3083         3679         4073         4364         4588           12700         2090         3092         3689         4085         4377         4603           12800         2104         3101         3700         4096         4390         4618           12900         2118         3111         3711         4108 <t< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></t<>							
11900         1978         3017         3604         3993         4272         4480           12000         1992         3026         3615         4004         4285         4491           12100         2020         3045         3636         4027         4311         4526           12300         2034         3054         3647         4039         4324         4541           12400         2048         3064         3657         4050         4338         4557           12500         2063         3073         3668         4062         4351         4572           12600         2076         3083         3679         4073         4364         4588           12700         2090         3092         3689         4085         4377         4603           12800         2104         3101         3700         4096         4390         4618           12900         2118         3111         3711         4108         4404         4634           13000         2132         3120         3721         4119         4417         4649           1300         2146         3139         3743         4142 <td< td=""><td>11700</td><td>1949</td><td>2998</td><td>3583</td><td>3970</td><td>4245</td><td>4449</td></td<>	11700	1949	2998	3583	3970	4245	4449
12000         1992         3026         3615         4004         4285         4495           12100         2006         3035         3625         4016         4298         4511           12200         2024         3045         3636         4027         4311         4526           12300         2034         3054         3647         4039         4324         4541           12400         2048         3064         3657         4050         4338         4557           12500         2063         3073         3668         4062         4351         4572           12600         2076         3083         3679         4073         4364         4588           12700         2090         3092         3689         4085         4377         4603           12800         2104         3101         3700         4096         4390         4618           12900         2118         3111         3711         4108         4404         4634           13000         2132         3120         3721         4119         4417         4649           13100         2146         3130         3732         4131 <t< td=""><td>11800</td><td>1964</td><td>3007</td><td>3593</td><td>3981</td><td>4258</td><td>4464</td></t<>	11800	1964	3007	3593	3981	4258	4464
12000         1992         3026         3615         4004         4285         4495           12100         2006         3035         3625         4016         4298         4511           12200         2024         3045         3636         4027         4311         4526           12300         2034         3054         3647         4039         4324         4541           12400         2048         3064         3657         4050         4338         4557           12500         2063         3073         3668         4062         4351         4572           12600         2076         3083         3679         4073         4364         4588           12700         2090         3092         3689         4085         4377         4603           12800         2104         3101         3700         4096         4390         4618           12900         2118         3111         3711         4108         4404         4634           13000         2132         3120         3721         4119         4417         4649           13100         2146         3130         3732         4131 <t< td=""><td>11900</td><td>1978</td><td>3017</td><td>3604</td><td>3993</td><td>4272</td><td>4480</td></t<>	11900	1978	3017	3604	3993	4272	4480
12100         2006         3035         3625         4016         4298         4511           12200         2020         3045         3636         4027         4311         4526           12300         2034         3054         3657         4050         4338         4557           12500         2063         3073         3668         4062         4351         4572           12600         2076         3083         3679         4073         4364         4588           12700         2090         3092         3689         4085         4377         4603           12800         2104         3101         3700         4096         4390         4618           12800         2143         3111         3711         4108         4404         4634           13000         2146         3130         3732         4131         4430         4665           13200         2160         3139         3743         4142         4443         4680           13300         2173         3148         3753         4154         456         4696           13400         2214         3177         3785         4177 <td< td=""><td>12000</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	12000						
12200         2020         3045         3636         4027         4311         4526           12300         2034         3054         3647         4039         4324         4541           12400         2048         3064         3667         4050         4338         4557           12500         2063         3073         3668         4062         4351         4572           12600         2076         3083         3679         4073         4364         4588           12700         2090         3092         3689         4085         4377         4603           12800         2118         3111         3711         4108         4404         4634           13000         2132         3120         3721         4119         4417         4649           13100         2146         3130         3732         4131         4430         4665           13200         2160         3139         3743         4142         4443         4680           13400         2187         3158         3764         4165         4469         4711           13500         2201         3167         3775         4177 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>							
12300         2034         3054         3647         4039         4324         4541           12400         2048         3064         3657         4050         4338         4557           12500         2063         3073         3668         4062         4351         4572           12600         2076         3083         3679         4073         4364         4588           12700         2090         3092         3689         4085         4377         4603           12800         2104         3101         3700         4096         4390         4618           12900         2118         3111         3711         4108         4404         4634           13000         2132         3120         3721         4119         4417         4649           13100         2146         3130         3732         4131         4430         4665           13200         2160         3139         3743         4142         4443         4680           13400         2187         3158         3764         4165         4469         4711           13500         2201         3167         3775         4177 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>_</td></t<>							_
12400         2048         3064         3657         4050         4338         4557           12500         2063         3073         3668         4062         4351         4572           12600         2076         3083         3679         4073         4364         4588           12700         2090         3092         3689         4085         4377         4603           12800         2104         3101         3700         4096         4390         4618           12900         2118         3111         3711         4108         4404         4634           13000         2132         3120         3721         4119         4417         4649           13100         2146         3130         3732         4131         4430         4665           13200         2160         3139         3743         4142         4443         4680           13400         2187         3158         3764         4165         4469         4711           13500         2201         3167         3775         4177         4483         4726           13600         2214         3177         3785         4188 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>							
12500         2063         3073         3668         4062         4351         4572           12600         2076         3083         3679         4073         4364         4588           12700         2090         3092         3689         4085         4377         4603           12800         2104         3101         3700         4096         4390         4618           12900         2118         3111         3711         4108         4404         4634           13000         2132         3120         3721         4119         4417         4649           13100         2146         3130         3732         4131         4430         4665           13200         2160         3139         3743         4142         4443         4680           13400         2187         3158         3753         4154         4456         4696           13400         2287         3158         3765         4165         4469         471           13500         2201         3177         3785         418         4496         4742           13700         2228         3186         3796         4200							
12600         2076         3083         3679         4073         4364         4588           12700         2090         3092         3689         4085         4377         4603           12800         2104         3101         3700         4096         4390         4618           12900         2118         3111         3711         4108         4404         4634           13000         2132         3120         3721         4119         4417         4649           13100         2146         3130         3732         4131         4430         4665           13200         2160         3139         3743         4142         4443         4686           13300         2173         3148         3753         4154         4456         4696           13400         2187         3158         3764         4165         4469         4711           13500         2201         3167         3775         4177         4483         4726           13600         2214         3177         3785         4188         4496         4742           13700         2228         3186         3796         4200 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>							
12700         2090         3092         3689         4085         4377         4603           12800         2104         3101         3700         4096         4390         4618           12900         2118         3111         3711         4108         4404         4634           13000         2146         3130         3732         4131         4430         4665           13200         2160         3139         3743         4142         4443         4680           13300         2173         3148         3753         4154         4456         4696           13400         2187         3158         3764         4165         4469         4711           13500         2201         3167         3775         4177         4483         4726           13600         2214         3177         3785         4188         4496         4742           13700         2228         3186         3796         4200         4509         4753           13800         2241         3196         3806         4211         4522         4773           13900         2255         3205         3817         4223 <t< td=""><td>12500</td><td>2063</td><td>3073</td><td>3668</td><td>4062</td><td>4351</td><td>4572</td></t<>	12500	2063	3073	3668	4062	4351	4572
12800         2104         3101         3700         4096         4390         4618           12900         2118         3111         3711         4108         4404         4634           13000         2132         3120         3721         4119         4417         4649           13100         2146         3130         3732         4131         4430         4665           13200         2160         3139         3743         4142         4443         4680           13300         2173         3148         3753         4154         4456         4696           13400         2187         3158         3764         4165         4469         4711           3500         2201         3167         3775         4177         4483         4726           13600         2214         3177         3785         4188         4496         4742           13700         2228         3186         3796         4200         4509         4757           13800         2241         3196         3806         4211         4522         4773           13900         2255         3205         3817         4223 <td< td=""><td>12600</td><td>2076</td><td>3083</td><td>3679</td><td>4073</td><td>4364</td><td>4588</td></td<>	12600	2076	3083	3679	4073	4364	4588
12900         2118         3111         3711         4108         4404         4634           13000         2132         3120         3721         4119         4417         4649           13100         2146         3130         3732         4131         4430         4665           13200         2160         3139         3743         4142         4443         4680           13300         2173         3148         3753         4154         4456         4696           13400         2187         3158         3764         4165         4469         4711           13500         2201         3167         3775         4177         4483         4726           13600         2214         3177         3785         4188         4496         4742           13700         2228         3186         3796         4200         4509         4757           13800         2241         3196         3806         4211         4522         4773           13900         2255         3205         3817         4223         4535         4788           14000         2288         3214         3828         4234 <t< td=""><td>12700</td><td>2090</td><td>3092</td><td>3689</td><td>4085</td><td>4377</td><td>4603</td></t<>	12700	2090	3092	3689	4085	4377	4603
12900         2118         3111         3711         4108         4404         4634           13000         2132         3120         3721         4119         4417         4649           13100         2146         3130         3732         4131         4430         4665           13200         2160         3139         3743         4142         4443         4680           13300         2173         3148         3753         4154         4456         4696           13400         2187         3158         3764         4165         4469         4711           13500         2201         3167         3775         4177         4483         4726           13600         2214         3177         3785         4188         4496         4742           13700         2228         3186         3796         4200         4509         4757           13800         2241         3196         3806         4211         4522         4773           13900         2255         3205         3817         4223         4535         4788           14000         2288         3214         3828         4234 <t< td=""><td>12800</td><td>2104</td><td>3101</td><td>3700</td><td>4096</td><td>4390</td><td>4618</td></t<>	12800	2104	3101	3700	4096	4390	4618
13000         2132         3120         3721         4119         4417         4649           13100         2146         3130         3732         4131         4430         4665           13200         2160         3139         3743         4142         4443         4680           13300         2173         3148         3753         4154         4456         4696           13400         2187         3158         3764         4165         4469         4711           13500         2201         3167         3775         4177         4483         4726           13600         2214         3177         3785         4188         4496         4742           13700         2228         3186         3796         4200         4509         4757           13800         2241         3196         3806         4211         4522         4773           13900         2255         3205         3817         4223         4535         4788           14000         2281         3224         3838         4246         4562         4819           14200         2295         3233         3849         4257 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>							
13100         2146         3130         3732         4131         4430         4665           13200         2160         3139         3743         4142         4443         4680           13300         2173         3148         3753         4154         4456         4696           13400         2187         3158         3764         4165         4469         4711           13500         2201         3167         3775         4177         4483         4726           13600         2214         3177         3785         4188         4496         4742           13700         2228         3186         3796         4200         4509         4757           13800         2241         3196         3806         4211         4522         4773           13900         2255         3205         3817         4223         4535         4788           14000         2281         3224         3838         4246         4562         4819           14200         2295         3233         3849         4257         4575         4834           14300         2308         3243         3860         4269 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>							
13200         2160         3139         3743         4142         4443         4680           13300         2173         3148         3753         4154         4456         4696           13400         2187         3158         3764         4165         4469         4711           13500         2201         3167         3775         4177         4483         4726           13600         2214         3177         3785         4188         4496         4742           13700         2228         3186         3796         4200         4509         4757           13800         2241         3196         3806         4211         4522         4773           13900         2255         3205         3817         4223         4535         4788           14000         2268         3214         3828         4234         4549         4803           14100         2281         3224         3838         4246         4562         4819           14200         2295         3233         3849         4257         4575         4834           14300         2308         3243         3860         4269 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>							
13300         2173         3148         3753         4154         4456         4696           13400         2187         3158         3764         4165         4469         4711           13500         2201         3167         3775         4177         4483         4726           13600         2214         3177         3785         4188         4496         4742           13700         2228         3186         3796         4200         4509         4757           13800         2241         3196         3806         4211         4522         4773           13900         2255         3205         3817         4223         4535         4788           14000         2268         3214         3828         4234         4549         4803           14100         2281         3224         3838         4246         4562         4819           14200         2295         3233         3849         4257         4575         4834           14300         2308         3243         3860         4269         4588         4850           14400         2321         3252         3870         4280 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>							
13400         2187         3158         3764         4165         4469         4711           13500         2201         3167         3775         4177         4483         4726           13600         2214         3177         3785         4188         4496         4742           13700         2228         3186         3796         4200         4509         4757           13800         2241         3196         3806         4211         4522         4773           13900         2255         3205         3817         4223         4535         4788           14000         2268         3214         3828         4234         4549         4803           14100         2281         3224         3838         4246         4562         4819           14200         2295         3233         3849         4257         4575         4834           14300         2308         3243         3860         4269         4588         4850           14400         2321         3252         3870         4280         4601         4865           14500         2335         3261         3881         4292 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>							
13500         2201         3167         3775         4177         4483         4726           13600         2214         3177         3785         4188         4496         4742           13700         2228         3186         3796         4200         4509         4757           13800         2241         3196         3806         4211         4522         4773           13900         2255         3205         3817         4223         4535         4788           14000         2268         3214         3828         4234         4549         4803           14100         2281         3224         3338         4246         4562         4819           14200         2295         3233         3849         4257         4575         4834           14300         2308         3243         3860         4269         4588         4850           14400         2321         3252         3870         4280         4601         4865           14500         2348         3271         3892         4303         4628         4896           14700         2361         3280         3902         4315 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>							
13600         2214         3177         3785         4188         4496         4742           13700         2228         3186         3796         4200         4509         4757           13800         2241         3196         3806         4211         4522         4773           13900         2255         3205         3817         4223         4535         4788           14000         2268         3214         3828         4234         4549         4803           14100         2281         3224         3838         4246         4562         4819           14200         2295         3233         3849         4257         4575         4834           14200         2295         3233         3860         4269         4588         4850           14400         2321         3252         3870         4280         4601         4865           14500         2335         3261         3881         4292         4615         4881           14600         2348         3271         3892         4303         4628         4896           14700         2361         3280         3902         4315 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>							
13700         2228         3186         3796         4200         4509         4757           13800         2241         3196         3806         4211         4522         4773           13900         2255         3205         3817         4223         4535         4788           14000         2268         3214         3828         4234         4549         4803           14100         2281         3224         3838         4246         4562         4819           14200         2295         3233         3849         4257         4575         4834           14300         2308         3243         3860         4269         4588         4850           14400         2321         3252         3870         4280         4601         4865           14500         2335         3261         3881         4292         4615         4881           14600         2348         3271         3892         4303         4628         4896           14700         2361         3280         3902         4315         4641         4911           14800         2374         3290         3913         4326 <t< td=""><td>13500</td><td>2201</td><td>3167</td><td>3775</td><td>4177</td><td>4483</td><td>4726</td></t<>	13500	2201	3167	3775	4177	4483	4726
13800         2241         3196         3806         4211         4522         4773           13900         2255         3205         3817         4223         4535         4788           14000         2268         3214         3828         4234         4549         4803           14100         2281         3224         3838         4246         4562         4819           14200         2295         3233         3849         4257         4575         4834           14300         2308         3243         3860         4269         4588         4850           14400         2321         3252         3870         4280         4601         4865           14500         2335         3261         3881         4292         4615         4881           14600         2348         3271         3892         4303         4628         4896           14700         2361         3280         3902         4315         4641         4911           14800         2374         3290         3913         4326         4654         4927           14900         2387         3299         3924         4338 <t< td=""><td>13600</td><td>2214</td><td>3177</td><td>3785</td><td>4188</td><td>4496</td><td>4742</td></t<>	13600	2214	3177	3785	4188	4496	4742
13900         2255         3205         3817         4223         4535         4788           14000         2268         3214         3828         4234         4549         4803           14100         2281         3224         3838         4246         4562         4819           14200         2295         3233         3849         4257         4575         4834           14300         2308         3243         3860         4269         4588         4850           14400         2321         3252         3870         4280         4601         4865           14500         2335         3261         3881         4292         4615         4881           14600         2348         3271         3892         4303         4628         4896           14700         2361         3280         3902         4315         4641         4911           14800         2374         3290         3913         4326         4654         4927           14900         2387         3299         3924         4338         4667         4942           15000         2400         3308         3934         4349 <t< td=""><td>13700</td><td>2228</td><td>3186</td><td>3796</td><td>4200</td><td>4509</td><td>4757</td></t<>	13700	2228	3186	3796	4200	4509	4757
14000         2268         3214         3828         4234         4549         4803           14100         2281         3224         3838         4246         4562         4819           14200         2295         3233         3849         4257         4575         4834           14300         2308         3243         3860         4269         4588         4850           14400         2321         3252         3870         4280         4601         4865           14500         2335         3261         3881         4292         4615         4881           14600         2348         3271         3892         4303         4628         4896           14700         2361         3280         3902         4315         4641         4911           14800         2374         3290         3913         4326         4654         4927           14900         2387         3299         3924         4338         4667         4942           15000         2400         3308         3934         4349         4681         4958           15100         2413         3318         3945         4361 <t< td=""><td>13800</td><td>2241</td><td>3196</td><td>3806</td><td>4211</td><td>4522</td><td>4773</td></t<>	13800	2241	3196	3806	4211	4522	4773
14000         2268         3214         3828         4234         4549         4803           14100         2281         3224         3838         4246         4562         4819           14200         2295         3233         3849         4257         4575         4834           14300         2308         3243         3860         4269         4588         4850           14400         2321         3252         3870         4280         4601         4865           14500         2335         3261         3881         4292         4615         4881           14600         2348         3271         3892         4303         4628         4896           14700         2361         3280         3902         4315         4641         4911           14800         2374         3290         3913         4326         4654         4927           14900         2387         3299         3924         4338         4667         4942           15000         2400         3308         3934         4349         4681         4958           15100         2413         3318         3945         4361 <t< td=""><td>13900</td><td>2255</td><td>3205</td><td>3817</td><td>4223</td><td>4535</td><td></td></t<>	13900	2255	3205	3817	4223	4535	
14100       2281       3224       3838       4246       4562       4819         14200       2295       3233       3849       4257       4575       4834         14300       2308       3243       3860       4269       4588       4850         14400       2321       3252       3870       4280       4601       4865         14500       2335       3261       3881       4292       4615       4881         14600       2348       3271       3892       4303       4628       4896         14700       2361       3280       3902       4315       4641       4911         14800       2374       3290       3913       4326       4654       4927         14900       2387       3299       3924       4338       4667       4942         15000       2400       3308       3934       4349       4681       4958         15100       2413       3318       3945       4361       4694       4973         15200       2426       3327       3956       4372       4707       4989         15300       2439       3337       3966       4384							
14200       2295       3233       3849       4257       4575       4834         14300       2308       3243       3860       4269       4588       4850         14400       2321       3252       3870       4280       4601       4865         14500       2335       3261       3881       4292       4615       4881         14600       2348       3271       3892       4303       4628       4896         14700       2361       3280       3902       4315       4641       4911         14800       2374       3290       3913       4326       4654       4927         14900       2387       3299       3924       4338       4667       4942         15000       2400       3308       3934       4349       4681       4958         15100       2413       3318       3945       4361       4694       4973         15200       2426       3327       3956       4372       4707       4989         15300       2439       3337       3966       4384       4720       5004         15400       2452       3346       3977       4395							
14300       2308       3243       3860       4269       4588       4850         14400       2321       3252       3870       4280       4601       4865         14500       2335       3261       3881       4292       4615       4881         14600       2348       3271       3892       4303       4628       4896         14700       2361       3280       3902       4315       4641       4911         14800       2374       3290       3913       4326       4654       4927         14900       2387       3299       3924       4338       4667       4942         15000       2400       3308       3934       4349       4681       4958         15100       2413       3318       3945       4361       4694       4973         15200       2426       3327       3956       4372       4707       4989         15300       2439       3337       3966       4384       4720       5004         15400       2452       3346       3977       4395       4733       5019         15500       2465       3356       3988       4407							
14400       2321       3252       3870       4280       4601       4865         14500       2335       3261       3881       4292       4615       4881         14600       2348       3271       3892       4303       4628       4896         14700       2361       3280       3902       4315       4641       4911         14800       2374       3290       3913       4326       4654       4927         14900       2387       3299       3924       4338       4667       4942         15000       2400       3308       3934       4349       4681       4958         15100       2413       3318       3945       4361       4694       4973         15200       2426       3327       3956       4372       4707       4989         15300       2439       3337       3966       4384       4720       5004         15400       2452       3346       3977       4395       4733       5019         15500       2465       3356       3988       4407       4746       5035         15700       2490       3374       4009       4430							
14500       2335       3261       3881       4292       4615       4881         14600       2348       3271       3892       4303       4628       4896         14700       2361       3280       3902       4315       4641       4911         14800       2374       3290       3913       4326       4654       4927         14900       2387       3299       3924       4338       4667       4942         15000       2400       3308       3934       4349       4681       4958         15100       2413       3318       3945       4361       4694       4973         15200       2426       3327       3956       4372       4707       4989         15300       2439       3337       3966       4384       4720       5004         15400       2452       3346       3977       4395       4733       5019         15500       2465       3356       3988       4407       4746       5035         15600       2477       3365       3998       4418       4760       5050         15700       2490       3374       4009       4443							
14600       2348       3271       3892       4303       4628       4896         14700       2361       3280       3902       4315       4641       4911         14800       2374       3290       3913       4326       4654       4927         14900       2387       3299       3924       4338       4667       4942         15000       2400       3308       3934       4349       4681       4958         15100       2413       3318       3945       4361       4694       4973         15200       2426       3327       3956       4372       4707       4989         15300       2439       3337       3966       4384       4720       5004         15400       2452       3346       3977       4395       4733       5019         15500       2465       3356       3988       4407       4746       5035         15600       2477       3365       3998       4418       4760       5050         15700       2490       3374       4009       4430       4773       5066         15800       2503       3384       4020       4442							
14700       2361       3280       3902       4315       4641       4911         14800       2374       3290       3913       4326       4654       4927         14900       2387       3299       3924       4338       4667       4942         15000       2400       3308       3934       4349       4681       4958         15100       2413       3318       3945       4361       4694       4973         15200       2426       3327       3956       4372       4707       4989         15300       2439       3337       3966       4384       4720       5004         15400       2452       3346       3977       4395       4733       5019         15500       2465       3356       3988       4407       4746       5035         15600       2477       3365       3998       4418       4760       5050         15700       2490       3374       4009       4430       4773       5066         15800       2503       3384       4020       4442       4786       5081         15900       2515       3393       4030       4453							
14800       2374       3290       3913       4326       4654       4927         14900       2387       3299       3924       4338       4667       4942         15000       2400       3308       3934       4349       4681       4958         15100       2413       3318       3945       4361       4694       4973         15200       2426       3327       3956       4372       4707       4989         15300       2439       3337       3966       4384       4720       5004         15400       2452       3346       3977       4395       4733       5019         15500       2465       3356       3988       4407       4746       5035         15600       2477       3365       3998       4418       4760       5050         15700       2490       3374       4009       4430       4773       5066         15800       2503       3384       4020       4442       4786       5081         15900       2515       3393       4030       4453       4799       5096         16000       2528       3403       4041       4465							
14900       2387       3299       3924       4338       4667       4942         15000       2400       3308       3934       4349       4681       4958         15100       2413       3318       3945       4361       4694       4973         15200       2426       3327       3956       4372       4707       4989         15300       2439       3337       3966       4384       4720       5004         15400       2452       3346       3977       4395       4733       5019         15500       2465       3356       3988       4407       4746       5035         15600       2477       3365       3998       4418       4760       5050         15700       2490       3374       4009       4430       4773       5066         15800       2503       3384       4020       4442       4786       5081         15900       2515       3393       4030       4453       4799       5096         16000       2528       3403       4041       4465       4812       5112         16100       2541       3412       4051       4476							
15000       2400       3308       3934       4349       4681       4958         15100       2413       3318       3945       4361       4694       4973         15200       2426       3327       3956       4372       4707       4989         15300       2439       3337       3966       4384       4720       5004         15400       2452       3346       3977       4395       4733       5019         15500       2465       3356       3988       4407       4746       5035         15600       2477       3365       3998       4418       4760       5050         15700       2490       3374       4009       4430       4773       5066         15800       2503       3384       4020       4442       4786       5081         15900       2515       3393       4030       4453       4799       5096         16000       2528       3403       4041       4465       4812       5112         16100       2541       3412       4051       4476       4826       5127         16200       2553       3421       4062       4488	14800					4654	
15100       2413       3318       3945       4361       4694       4973         15200       2426       3327       3956       4372       4707       4989         15300       2439       3337       3966       4384       4720       5004         15400       2452       3346       3977       4395       4733       5019         15500       2465       3356       3988       4407       4746       5035         15600       2477       3365       3998       4418       4760       5050         15700       2490       3374       4009       4430       4773       5066         15800       2503       3384       4020       4442       4786       5081         15900       2515       3393       4030       4453       4799       5096         16000       2528       3403       4041       4465       4812       5112         16200       2553       3421       4062       4488       4839       5143         16300       2566       3431       4073       4499       4852       5158	14900	2387	3299	3924	4338	4667	4942
15200       2426       3327       3956       4372       4707       4989         15300       2439       3337       3966       4384       4720       5004         15400       2452       3346       3977       4395       4733       5019         15500       2465       3356       3988       4407       4746       5035         15600       2477       3365       3998       4418       4760       5050         15700       2490       3374       4009       4430       4773       5066         15800       2503       3384       4020       4442       4786       5081         15900       2515       3393       4030       4453       4799       5096         16000       2528       3403       4041       4465       4812       5112         16100       2541       3412       4051       4476       4826       5127         16200       2553       3421       4062       4488       4839       5143         16300       2566       3431       4073       4499       4852       5158	15000	2400	3308	3934	4349	4681	4958
15300       2439       3337       3966       4384       4720       5004         15400       2452       3346       3977       4395       4733       5019         15500       2465       3356       3988       4407       4746       5035         15600       2477       3365       3998       4418       4760       5050         15700       2490       3374       4009       4430       4773       5066         15800       2503       3384       4020       4442       4786       5081         15900       2515       3393       4030       4453       4799       5096         16000       2528       3403       4041       4465       4812       5112         16100       2541       3412       4051       4476       4826       5127         16200       2553       3421       4062       4488       4839       5143         16300       2566       3431       4073       4499       4852       5158	15100	2413	3318	3945	4361	4694	4973
15300       2439       3337       3966       4384       4720       5004         15400       2452       3346       3977       4395       4733       5019         15500       2465       3356       3988       4407       4746       5035         15600       2477       3365       3998       4418       4760       5050         15700       2490       3374       4009       4430       4773       5066         15800       2503       3384       4020       4442       4786       5081         15900       2515       3393       4030       4453       4799       5096         16000       2528       3403       4041       4465       4812       5112         16100       2541       3412       4051       4476       4826       5127         16200       2553       3421       4062       4488       4839       5143         16300       2566       3431       4073       4499       4852       5158	15200	2426	3327	3956	4372	4707	4989
15400       2452       3346       3977       4395       4733       5019         15500       2465       3356       3988       4407       4746       5035         15600       2477       3365       3998       4418       4760       5050         15700       2490       3374       4009       4430       4773       5066         15800       2503       3384       4020       4442       4786       5081         15900       2515       3393       4030       4453       4799       5096         16000       2528       3403       4041       4465       4812       5112         16100       2541       3412       4051       4476       4826       5127         16200       2553       3421       4062       4488       4839       5143         16300       2566       3431       4073       4499       4852       5158						_	
15500       2465       3356       3988       4407       4746       5035         15600       2477       3365       3998       4418       4760       5050         15700       2490       3374       4009       4430       4773       5066         15800       2503       3384       4020       4442       4786       5081         15900       2515       3393       4030       4453       4799       5096         16000       2528       3403       4041       4465       4812       5112         16100       2541       3412       4051       4476       4826       5127         16200       2553       3421       4062       4488       4839       5143         16300       2566       3431       4073       4499       4852       5158							
15600       2477       3365       3998       4418       4760       5050         15700       2490       3374       4009       4430       4773       5066         15800       2503       3384       4020       4442       4786       5081         15900       2515       3393       4030       4453       4799       5096         16000       2528       3403       4041       4465       4812       5112         16100       2541       3412       4051       4476       4826       5127         16200       2553       3421       4062       4488       4839       5143         16300       2566       3431       4073       4499       4852       5158							
15700       2490       3374       4009       4430       4773       5066         15800       2503       3384       4020       4442       4786       5081         15900       2515       3393       4030       4453       4799       5096         16000       2528       3403       4041       4465       4812       5112         16100       2541       3412       4051       4476       4826       5127         16200       2553       3421       4062       4488       4839       5143         16300       2566       3431       4073       4499       4852       5158							
15800       2503       3384       4020       4442       4786       5081         15900       2515       3393       4030       4453       4799       5096         16000       2528       3403       4041       4465       4812       5112         16100       2541       3412       4051       4476       4826       5127         16200       2553       3421       4062       4488       4839       5143         16300       2566       3431       4073       4499       4852       5158							
15900       2515       3393       4030       4453       4799       5096         16000       2528       3403       4041       4465       4812       5112         16100       2541       3412       4051       4476       4826       5127         16200       2553       3421       4062       4488       4839       5143         16300       2566       3431       4073       4499       4852       5158							
16000       2528       3403       4041       4465       4812       5112         16100       2541       3412       4051       4476       4826       5127         16200       2553       3421       4062       4488       4839       5143         16300       2566       3431       4073       4499       4852       5158							
16100       2541       3412       4051       4476       4826       5127         16200       2553       3421       4062       4488       4839       5143         16300       2566       3431       4073       4499       4852       5158							
16200       2553       3421       4062       4488       4839       5143         16300       2566       3431       4073       4499       4852       5158							
16300 2566 3431 4073 4499 4852 5158							
	16200	2553	3421	4062	4488	4839	5143
16400 2578 3440 4083 4511 4865 5174	16300	2566	3431	4073	4499	4852	5158
	16400	2578	3440	4083	4511	4865	5174

40500 0504 0450 4004 4500 4070	E400
16500 2591 3450 4094 4522 4878	5189
16600 2603 3459 4105 4534 4892	5204
16700 2615 3468 4115 4545 4905	5220
16800 2628 3478 4126 4557 4918	5235
16900 2640 3487 4137 4568 4931	5251
17000 2652 3497 4147 4580 4944	5266
17100 2664 3506 4158 4591 4958	5282
17200 2676 3516 4169 4603 4971	5297
17300 2688 3525 4179 4614 4984	5312
17400 2700 3534 4190 4626 4997	5328
17500 2713 3544 4201 4637 5010	5343
17600 2724 3553 4211 4649 5023	5359
17700 2736 3563 4222 4660 5037	5374
17800 2748 3572 4233 4672 5050	5389
17900 2760 3581 4243 4683 5063	5405
18000 2772 3591 4254 4695 5076	5420
18100 2784 3600 4265 4706 5089	5436
18200 2796 3610 4275 4718 5103	5451
18300 2807 3619 4286 4729 5116	5467
18400 2819 3629 4297 4741 5129	5482
18500     2831     3638     4307     4752     5142       18500     2831     3638     4307     4752     5142	5497
18600 2842 3647 4318 4764 5155	5513
18700 2854 3657 4328 4775 5169	5528
18800 2865 3666 4339 4787 5182	5544
18900 2877 3676 4350 4798 5195	5559
19000 2888 3685 4360 4810 5208	5574
19100 2899 3694 4371 4821 5221	5590
19200 2911 3704 4382 4833 5235	5605
19300 2922 3713 4392 4844 5248	5621
19400 2933 3723 4403 4856 5261	5636
19500 2945 3732 4414 4867 5274	5652
19600 2956 3741 4424 4879 5287	5667
19700 2967 3751 4435 4890 5300	5682
19800 2978 3760 4446 4902 5314	5698
19900 2989 3770 4456 4913 5327	5713
20000 3000 3779 4467 4925 5340	5729
20100 3011 3789 4478 4936 5353	5744
20200 3022 3798 4488 4948 5366	5760
20300 3033 3807 4499 4959 5380	5775
20400 3044 3817 4510 4971 5393	5790
20500 3055 3826 4520 4982 5406	5806
20600 3065 3836 4531 4994 5419	5821
20700 3076 3845 4542 5005 5432	5837
	5852
20900 3097 3864 4563 5029 5459	5867
21000 3108 3873 4574 5040 5472	5883
21100 3119 3883 4584 5052 5485	5898
21200 3129 3892 4595 5063 5498	5914

21300       3140       3902       4605       5075       5512       5929         21400       3150       3911       4616       5086       5525       5945         21500       3161       3920       4627       5098       5538       5960
- Z 1000 - 3 10 1 - 39Z0 - 40Z <i>I</i> - 3090 - 3330 - 3900
21800 3192 3949 4659 5132 5577 6006
21900 3202 3958 4669 5144 5591 6022
22000 3212 3967 4680 5155 5604 6037
22100 3222 3977 4691 5167 5617 6053
22200 3232 3986 4701 5178 5630 6068
22300 3242 3996 4712 5190 5643 6083
22400 3252 4005 4723 5201 5657 6099
22500 3263 4014 4733 5213 5670 6114
22600 3272 4024 4744 5224 5683 6130
22700 3282 4033 4755 5236 5696 6145
22800 3292 4043 4765 5247 5709 6160
22900     3302     4052     4776     5259     5723     6176
23000 3312 4062 4787 5270 5736 6191
23100 3322 4071 4797 5282 5749 6207
23200 3332 4080 4808 5293 5762 6222
23300 3341 4090 4819 5305 5775 6238
23400 3351 4099 4829 5316 5788 6253
23500 3361 4109 4840 5328 5802 6268
23600 3370 4118 4850 5339 5815 6284
23700 3380 4127 4861 5351 5828 6299
23800 3389 4137 4872 5362 5841 6315
23900 3399 4146 4882 5374 5854 6330
24000 3408 4156 4893 5385 5868 6345
24100 3417 4165 4904 5397 5881 6361
24200 3427 4174 4914 5408 5894 6376
24300 3436 4184 4925 5420 5907 6392
24400 3445 4193 4936 5431 5920 6407
24500 3455 4203 4946 5443 5934 6423
24600 3464 4212 4957 5454 5947 6438
24700 3473 4222 4968 5466 5960 6453
24800 3482 4231 4978 5477 5973 6469
24900 3491 4240 4989 5489 5986 6484
25000 or
more 3500 4250 5000 5500 6000 6500

**History:** Effective February 1, 1991; amended effective January 1, 1995; August 1, 2003; July 1, 2011; September 1, 2015; January 1, 2018 January 1, 2019.

General Authority: NDCC 50-06-16, 50-09-25

Law Implemented: NDCC 14-09-09.7, 50-09-02(16); 42 USC 667

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **Presumptive Amount**

The presumptive amount of child support is a scheduled amount based on the obligor's monthly net income and the number of children for whom support is being sought. **Shipley v. Shipley**, 509 N.W.2d 49 (N.D. 1993).

To apply the child support guidelines, a trial court must determine the obligor's net income. Where trial court determined that obligor's net income for child support purposes was between \$2,000 and \$3,000 per month, and evidence was not adequate for court to make a more precise determination, such determination was not clearly erroneous. *Monson v. Monson*, 1998 ND App. 9, 583 N.W.2d 825.

## **Factors to be Considered**

When there are sufficient resources, children of a divorce are entitled to enjoy a standard of living, post-divorce, comparable to that enjoyed while the family was intact. **Bagan v. Bagan**, 382 N.W.2d 645 (N.D. 1986); **Heggen v. Heggen**, 452 N.W.2d 96 (N.D. 1990); **Bernhardt v. Bernhardt**, 1997 ND 80, 561 N.W.2d 656.

Children should be able to enjoy more than the subsistence level of support if the parents can afford greater amounts. *Wolf v. Wolf*, 474 N.W.2d 257 (N.D. 1991).

The court should factor into its equation the principle that proportionally less funds are required for each succeeding child in a household. *Bergman v. Bergman*, 486 N.W.2d 243 (N.D. 1992).

A child's appropriate needs are not limited to a subsistence level of support, but can also be based on the child's standard of living. *Perala v. Carlson*, 520 N.W.2d 839 (N.D. 1994).

## **Step-down of Child Support Obligation**

The trial court erred by ordering the child support amount would not decrease as each child reached the age of majority. *Willprecht v. Willprecht*, 2020 ND 77, 941 N.W.2d 556.

#### **Decisions Under Prior Law**

The guidelines do not establish a presumptively correct amount of child support due from a noncustodial parent with a monthly net income exceeding \$10,000. *Montgomery v. Montgomery*, 481 N.W.2d 234 (N.D. 1992).

In cases where the obligor's monthly net income exceeds \$10,000, a trial court must make a further inquiry to determine an amount appropriate to the needs of the children and the ability of the parent to pay. *Id*.

75-02-04.1-11. Parental responsibility for children in foster care or guardianship care. It is important that parents maintain a tie to and responsibility for their child when that child is in foster care. Financial responsibility for the support of that child is one component of the maintenance of the relationship of parent and child. Parents of a child subject to a guardianship order under North

Dakota Century Code chapter 27-20 or 30.1-27 remain financially responsible for the support of that child.

- In order to determine monthly net income, it is first necessary to identify the parent or parents who have financial responsibility for any child entering foster care or guardianship care, and to determine the net income of those financially responsible parents. If the parents of a child in foster care or guardianship care reside together, and neither parent has a duty to support any child who is not also a child of the other parent, the income of the parents must be combined and treated as the income of the obligor. In all other cases, each parent is treated as an obligor, and each parent's support obligation must be separately determined.
- 2. Unless subsection 3 applies to the obligor, the net income and the total number of children are applied to section 75-02-04.1-10 to determine the child support amount. That child support amount is then divided by the total number of children to determine the child support obligation for each child in foster care or guardianship care. For purposes of this subsection, the "total number of children" means:
  - a. If a child entering foster care or guardianship care resides in the obligor's home, the total number of children residing in the obligor's home to whom the obligor owes a duty of support, including the child or children entering foster care or guardianship care, plus any other full siblings of the child or children entering foster care or guardianship care to whom the obligor owes a duty of support who are not residing in the obligor's home; or
  - b. If no child entering foster care or guardianship care resides in the obligor's home, the child or children entering foster care or guardianship care plus the full siblings of the child or children entering foster care or guardianship care to whom the obligor owes a duty of support.
- 3. If an obligor owes a duty of support to any child other than the child or children described in subdivision a or b of subsection 2, as applicable to that obligor, the support obligation must be determined through application of section 75-02-04.1-06.1 such that:
  - a. The total number of children, as described in subdivision a or b of subsection 2, as applicable to that obligor, are treated as one obligee; and
  - b. The amount resulting from the application of section 75-02-04.1-06.1 for the children described in subdivision a or b of subsection 2, as applicable to that obligor, is divided by the total number of such children to determine the child support obligation for each child in foster care or guardianship care.

4. For purposes of subsection 2, a full sibling of the child or children entering foster care or guardianship care is a brother or sister who has both parents in common with the child or children entering foster care or guardianship care.

History: Effective February 1, 1991; amended effective January 1, 1995; August 1,

1999; August 1, 2003; July 1, 2011.

General Authority: NDCC 50-06-16, 50-09-25

Law Implemented: NDCC 14-09-09.7, 50-09-02(16); 42 USC 667

## **Authority to Establish Guidelines**

State law provides ample authority for the Department to include children in foster care within the child support guidelines. *In the Interest of K.G.*, 551 N.W.2d 554 (N.D. 1996).

## **Parental Support Responsibility**

A parent remains financially responsible for support of a child in foster care. *In the Interest of K.G.*, 551 N.W.2d 554 (N.D. 1996); *In the Interest of L.D.C.*, 1997 ND 104, 564 N.W.2d 298; *Berger v. Holt*, 2003 ND 34, 657 N.W.2d 273.

Obligor had no legal basis to protest paying support for his son while in foster care despite obligor's dissatisfaction with the services Social Services provided for the child. **Berger v. Holt**, 2003 ND 34, 657 N.W.2d 273.

#### **Foster Care Guideline Harmonized with Juvenile Court Act**

The child support guidelines can be harmonized with Juvenile Court Act in cases involving foster care because the implementation of the child support guidelines contemplates a parent's financial ability to pay and permits an individualized examination of the presumption established by the guidelines as required by the latter. *In the Interest of K.G.*, 551 N.W.2d 554 (N.D. 1996).

#### **Uniformity in Child Support Amounts**

Legislature encouraged uniformity in the calculation of child support amounts. By defining "obligee" to include a state or political subdivision to which a duty of support is owed, the legislature reflected its objective of uniformity. *In the Interest of K.G.*, 551 N.W.2d 554 (N.D. 1996).

**75-02-04.1-12. Uncontested proceedings.** In a proceeding where the obligor appears, but does not resist the child support amount sought by the obligee, and in proceedings where the parties agree or stipulate to a child support amount, credible evidence describing the obligor's income and financial circumstances, which demonstrates that the uncontested or agreed amount of child support conforms to the requirements of this chapter, must be presented.

**History:** Effective February 1, 1991.

General Authority: NDCC 50-06-16, 50-09-25

Law Implemented: NDCC 14-09-09.7, 50-09-02(12); 42 USC 667

\_\_\_\_\_

## **Parties' Agreement to Greater Amount**

Public policy does not preclude the parties from agreeing to an amount of child support greater than the minimum contributions required by the guidelines. **O'Callaghan v. O'Callaghan**, 515 N.W.2d 821 (N.D. 1994).

## **Parties' Agreement to Lesser Amount**

Stipulations by parents to pay an amount of child support less than that required by the guidelines violate public policy and will not be enforced. *Heinz v. Heinz*, 2001 ND 147, 632 N.W.2d 443, *Lee v. Lee*, 2005 ND 129, 699 N.W.2d 842.

**75-02-04.1-13. Application.** The child support guideline schedule amount is rebuttably presumed to be the correct amount of child support in all child support determinations, including both temporary and permanent determinations, and including determinations necessitated by actions for the support of children of married persons, actions seeking domestic violence protection orders, actions arising out of divorce, actions arising out of paternity determinations, actions based upon a claim for necessaries, actions arising out of juvenile court proceedings, interstate actions for the support of children in which a court of this state has the authority to establish or modify a support order, and actions to modify orders for the support of children. The fact that two or more such actions may be consolidated for trial or otherwise joined for convenient consideration of facts does not prevent the application of this chapter to those actions.

History: Effective February 1, 1991; amended effective January 1, 1995; October 1,

2008.

**General Authority:** NDCC 50-06-16, 50-09-25

Law Implemented: NDCC 14-09-09.7, 50-09-02(12); 42 USC 667

#### **Reason for Guidelines**

Use of formal guidelines is designed to remedy the often inadequate, inconsistent, and ineffective results of random judicial action. *Clutter v. McIntosh*, 484 N.W.2d 846 (N.D. 1992).

Legislature encouraged uniformity in the calculation of child support amounts. By defining "obligee" to include a state or political subdivision to whom a duty of support is owed, the Legislature reflected its objective of uniformity. *In the Interest of K.G.*, 551 N.W.2d 554 (N.D. 1996).

Child support is presumed to benefit the children, not the custodial parent, and should not be used as a wedge or club to force compliance with the court's orders. *Hendrickson v. Hendrickson*, 1999 ND 37, 590 N.W.2d 220.

Plain language of the guidelines does not authorize the court to order a custodial parent to pay child support to a noncustodial parent. *Crandall v. Crandall*, 2011 ND 136, 799 N.W.2d 388.

#### Use of Guidelines in Effect at Time of Decision

Trial court properly applied the guidelines as they existed at time of decision. *McDonough v. Murphy*, 539 N.W.2d 313 (N.D. 1995); *Shaver v. Kopp*, 545 N.W.2d 170 (N.D. 1996); *Martire v. Martire*, 2016 ND 57, 876 N.W.2d 727.

#### **Establishment of Guidelines**

State law (N.D.C.C. § 14-09-09.7) provides clear statutory authority for Human Services to establish child support guidelines and it does not preclude child support guidelines based on the obligor model. *Eklund v. Eklund*, 538 N.W.2d 182 (N.D. 1995).

State law provides ample authority for the Department to include children in foster care within the child support guidelines. *In the Interest of K.G.*, 551 N.W.2d 554 (N.D. 1996).

## **Agreement of Parties**

Parental stipulations regarding child support are legitimate incidents of parental authority and control and are entitled to serious consideration by a court; however, notwithstanding a parental agreement, a trial court has continuing jurisdiction to modify child support. **Smith v. Smith**, 538 N.W.2d 222 (N.D. 1995).

To the extent the stipulation of the parents purports to mechanically restrict the father's child support obligation to half of the children's reasonable and necessary expenses, it violates public policy expressed in the child support guidelines by limiting the power of the court to modify future child support. <u>Id</u>.

Parental agreements that prohibit or limit the power of a court to modify future child support are invalid. *Rueckert v. Rueckert*, 499 N.W.2d 863 (N.D. 1993); *Reimer v. Reimer*, 502 N.W.2d 231 (N.D. 1993); *Zarrett v. Zarrett*, 1998 ND 49, 574 N.W.2d 855.

Stipulation to pay greater amount of child support than required by guidelines, unlike stipulation to pay lesser amount, does not violate public policy. *O'Callaghan v. O'Callaghan*, 515 N.W.2d 821 (N.D. App. 1994).

Best interest of the children and public policy are satisfied by parental stipulations that require an obligor to pay more child support than required by the guidelines. **Smith v. Smith**, 538 N.W.2d 222 (N.D. 1995).

Public policy is not offended by the consensual suspension of child support when the parties are living in the same residence with the children, both parties are reasonably supporting the children, and the children's needs are being met. *Nieuwenhuis v. Nieuwenhuis*, 2014 ND 145, 851 N.W.2d 130.

## **Paternity Agreement**

A court has continuing jurisdiction to modify an award based upon a stipulated agreement between the parents wherein the father has agreed to drop his contest of paternity in exchange for reduced child support payments. **Bernhardt v. K.R.S.**, 503 N.W.2d 233 (N.D. 1993).

#### Modification

Even where the parties stipulate as to child support, public policy dictates that the noncustodial parent's obligation is subject to modification under the continuing jurisdiction of the court and the guidelines. *Steffes v. Steffes*, 1997 ND 49, 560 N.W.2d 888.

## **Material Change of Circumstances**

It is unnecessary to show a material change of circumstances as a prerequisite to modification of an original decree that provides for no child support. *Sullivan v. Quist*, 506 N.W.2d 394 (N.D. 1993).

Guidelines have not altered the substance of case law that a change in circumstances is one that is neither contemplated nor foreseen. **Schmidt v. Reamann**, 523 N.W.2d 70 (N.D. 1994).

Where a material change in circumstances must be shown, a trial court's decision not to modify an obligor's support obligation because there has been no material change in circumstances is a finding of fact that will not be reversed unless it is clearly erroneous. *Id*.

State law (N.D.C.C. § 14-09-08.4(3)) excuses a party from showing a material change of circumstances prior to obtaining an amendment to a child support amount, which is not consistent with the guidelines, when the order was entered at least one year before the motion to modify was filed. *O'Callaghan v. O'Callaghan*, 515 N.W.2d 821 (N.D. App. 1994); *Reinecke v. Griffeth*, 533 N.W.2d 695 (N.D. 1995).

Obligor only has to demonstrate material change in circumstances to seek modification of a child support order within one year after its entry. If obligor properly seeks to modify order after one year, trial court is required to modify the obligation to conform the amount of child support payment to that required under the child support guidelines. (Per Meschke, J., with one justice concurring and two justices concurring in the result.) *Nelson v. Nelson*, 547 N.W.2d 741 (N.D. 1996).

A material change of circumstances is required for motions to modify child support filed within one year of entry of the order which the movant seeks to modify. *O'Callaghan v. O'Callaghan*, 515 N.W.2d 821 (N.D. App. 1994); *Withey v. Hager*, 1997 ND 225, 571 N.W.2d 142; *Lauer v. Lauer*, 2000 ND 82, 609 N.W.2d 450; *Harger v. Harger*, 2002 ND 76, 644 N.W.2d 182; *Gunia v. Gunia*, 2009 ND 32, 763 N.W.2d 455.

The moving party has the burden of presenting sufficient evidence to justify modification under the child support guidelines. *Harger v. Harger*, 2002 ND 76, 644 N.W.2d 182; *Gunia v. Gunia*, 2009 ND 32, 763 N.W.2d 455.

Where order containing child support provisions had been amended, even though the child support provisions were left unchanged at that time, trial court did not err in finding that moving party had to show a material change of circumstances when pursuing a motion to amend the child support provision within one year of entry of the amended order: allowing party to parse various facets of a child support obligation through numerous challenges without regard to the one-year period under N.D.C.C. § 14-09-08.4 would defeat the limited finality feature of the law, which is intended to restrain the frequency of changing child support orders. *Dunnuck v. Dunnuck*, 2006 ND 47, 724 N.W.2d 124.

Where material change of circumstances must be shown, a change in financial circumstances by itself is not sufficient to modify child support without further inquiry about the cause of the change. *Id*.

Where judgment contemplated how child support would be calculated as minor children reached majority and provided a mechanism for dealing with that event, that event does not satisfy the requirement for a material change of circumstances. *Gunia v. Gunia*, 2009 ND 32, 763 N.W.2d 455.

Trial court did not err in modifying obligation based on obligor's increased income even though there was no motion for modification by obligee because the child support issue had been reserved while custody proceedings were pending and both parties were on notice that it could be reconsidered. *Frey v. Frey, 2013 ND 100, 831 N.W.2d* 753.

Trial court erred in reducing the obligor's child support obligation when the obligor had a self-induced reduction in income after he was fired from his job for performance issues. *Koffler v. Koffler*, 2020 ND 184, 947 N.W.2d 896.

## **Prior Period Support**

Trial court did not err in considering guideline amount when determining reasonable reimbursement under N.D.C.C. § 14-08.1-01. *Krug v. Carlson*, 2000 ND 157, 615 N.W.2d 564.

In determining prior period support for 1999 – 2001, trial court did not abuse its discretion by using "common sense approach" which included consideration of obligor's actual income from all sources without deducting self-employment losses for the reason that the losses did not accurately reflect the obligor's earning capability. *T.E.J. v. T.S.*, 2004 ND 120, 681 N.W.2d 444.

Section 14-08.1-01, N.D.C.C., has been construed to authorize an award of pastdue child support and to specifically authorize an award of past-due child support in a paternity action as reimbursement for governmental assistance provided to the child before the paternity action was commenced. *Interest of S.L.W.*, 2010 ND 172, 788 N.W.2d 328.

Trial court's decision whether to award past child support is discretionary and will not be overturned on appeal unless the court has abused its discretion. *Hagel v. Hagel*, 2006 ND 181, 721 N.W.2d 1; *Hammeren v. Hammeren*, 2012 ND 225, 823 N.W.2d 482; *Deyle v. Deyle*, 2012 ND 248, 825 N.W.2d 245; *Frey v. Frey*, 2013 ND 100,831 N.W.2d 753; *Rebel v. Rebel*, 2013 ND 116, 833 N.W.2d 442; *Wilson v. Wilson*, 2014 ND 199, 855 N.W.2d 155; *Frey v. Frey*, 2014 ND 229, 856 N.W.2d 781; *Jacobs-Raak v. Raak*, 2016 ND 240, 888 N.W.2d 770.

Trial court did not err in not awarding retroactive support for time of parties' separation where obligor presented documentation showing that he had made payments to the child as well as payments for additional expenses on behalf of the child and those contributions exceeded the amount sought by obligee as retroactive support. *Rebel v. Rebel*, 2013 ND 116, 833 N.W.2d 442.

Trial court did not err in declining to award "back interim child support" to the father for the reason that to do so would negatively impact the mother's ability to care for the children who are now in her home. *Frey v. Frey*, 2014 ND 229, 856 N.W.2d 781.

Trial court abused its discretion by backdating obligor's child support obligation when previous interim order specified that child support would not begin until the month following entry of judgment. **Zuo v. Wang**, 2019 ND 211, 932 N.W.2d 360.

## **Effective Date of Commencement of Obligation**

Trial court is not bound by parties' agreement and has discretion to set the date of commencement of a child support obligation. *Jacobs-Raak v. Raak*, 2016 ND 240, 888 N.W.2d 770.

#### **Effective Date of Modification**

In order to effectuate the public policy underlying the guidelines, a modification of child support generally should be made effective from the date of the motion to modify, absent a good reason to set some other date. The trial court retains discretion to set some later effective date, but its reasons for doing so should be apparent or explained. *Geinert v. Geinert*, 2002 ND 135, 649 N.W.2d 237; *McDowell v. McDowell*, 2003 ND 174, 670 N.W.2d 876; *Wigginton v. Wigginton*, 2005 ND 31, 692 N.W.2d 108; *Marchus v. Marchus*, 2006 ND 81, 712 N.W.2d 636; *Lautt v. Lautt*, 2006 ND 161, 718 N.W.2d 563; *Solwey v. Solwey*, 2018 ND 82, 908 N.W.2d 690; *Bickel v. Bickel*, 2020 ND 212, 949 .W.2d 832.

Where modification resulted in a reduced child support obligation, the trial court did not err in setting a later effective date for the reasons that the obligor's failure to participate in the original proceeding resulted in an incorrect calculation and that retroactively imposing the reduced obligation or requiring reimbursement was not in the child's best interests. *Wagner v. Wagner*, 2007 ND 101, 733 N.W.2d 593.

Where parents informally agreed to an actual change in primary residential responsibility for an extended period of time and obligor sought relief from the child support judgment by filing a 3.2 motion instead of a 60(b) motion, the trial court erred by ordering retroactive modification of child support. **Sonnenberg v. Sonnenberg**, 2010 ND 94, 782 N.W.2d 654.

Case law addressing modification of an existing child support obligation does not apply in an original action brought to set an initial child support obligation. *Interest of S.L.W.*, 2010 ND 172, 788 N.W.2d 328.

## **Termination of Parental Rights**

Termination of parental rights is a change in circumstances that ends the obligation of the person whose parental rights were terminated to pay support for that child. *Gabriel v. Gabriel*, 519 N.W.2d 293 (N.D. 1994).

## **Determination of Child Support Versus Determination of Spousal Support**

Child support is for the care and maintenance of the minor child, not for equitably balancing the burden of divorce. **Stock v. Stock**, 2016 ND 1, 873 N.W.2d 38.

A party's earning ability for purposes of spousal support is not necessarily the same as the party's net income for purposes of determining child support under the guidelines. **Schmuck v. Schmuck**, 2016 ND 87, 882 N.W.2d 918.

## Decisions Under Prior Law Use of Guidelines

Scale of suggested minimum contributions established pursuant to this section is merely a set of guidelines and a trial court is required to consider guidelines but is not required to award child support within suggested scale. *Burrell v. Burrell*, 359 N.W.2d 381 (N.D. 1985).

In its discretion, the trial court may award child support exceeding the published guideline amounts. *Olson v. Olson*, 445 N.W.2d 1 (N.D. 1989).

Before N.D.C.C. § 14-09-09.7 was amended, the child support guidelines were not binding upon the trial court; however, the trial court was required to consider them. *Heggen v. Heggen*, 452 N.W.2d 96 (N.D. 1990).

Trial court's use of invalid guidelines was harmless error. *Wenzel v. Wenzel*, 469 N.W.2d 156 (N.D. 1991); *Wolf v. Wolf*, 474 N.W.2d 257 (N.D. 1991).

Supreme Court will not automatically reverse decisions in which the trial court applied invalid guidelines. *Zander v. Zander*, 470 N.W.2d 603 (N.D. 1991).

The court cannot rely on the guidelines in determining the support obligation, except as a preliminary starting point. *Bergman v. Bergman*, 486 N.W.2d 243 (N.D. 1992).

When the guidelines do not establish the amount of child support, the trial court must decide the amount by striking a balance between the needs of the children and the

ability of the noncustodial parent to pay, but the guidelines should still inform the court's inquiry. *Id*.

## 1989 Amendment

Although the 1989 amendment to N.D.C.C. § 14-09-09.7 establishing presumptive child support obligations may not be retroactively applied to child support accruing before the effective date (July 12, 1989), an obligor's child support obligation may be modified prospectively. *McDonough v. McDonough*, 458 N.W.2d 344 (N.D. App. 1990).

## **Improper Considerations**

The child support guidelines, which are a statutorily authorized schedule for court-awarded child support, constitute a substantive rule which must be promulgated in accordance with N.D.C.C. ch. 28-32 to have validity. Therefore, where mother failed to demonstrate that the child support guidelines were validly promulgated under chapter 28-32, or that they were otherwise binding upon the trial court, the trial court did not err in ordering child support which deviated from the guidelines. *Huber v. Jahner*, 460 N.W.2d 717 (N.D. App. 1990).

The child support guidelines established by the Department of Human Services are invalid because the Department failed to promulgate them in compliance with N.D.C.C. ch. 28-32. *Illies v. Illies*, 462 N.W.2d 878 (N.D. 1990).

Decision that child support guidelines were not properly promulgated is prospective only.  $\underline{\textit{Id}}$ .

Court is not required to follow invalid guidelines upon remand. *Puklich v. Puklich*, 463 N.W.2d 651 (N.D. 1990).

In a child support case, it was error for the district court to consider the effect of the disparity of payments between the guidelines and the original order in determining whether or not there was a material change in circumstances. **State ex rel. Younger v. Bryant**, 465 N.W.2d 155 (N.D. 1991).

Where child support guidelines were not adopted pursuant to N.D.C.C. ch. 28-32, it was improper to consider them as a material change in circumstances justifying a change in the child support payments. *Id*.

## **Change of Circumstances**

Trial court must find a material change of circumstances before it can apply the guidelines. Only after the trial court determines that a material change of circumstances has occurred, without reference to the guidelines, can it proceed to modify the child support. *Garbe v. Garbe*, 467 N.W.2d 740 (N.D. 1991); *State ex rel. Younger v. Bryant*, 465 N.W.2d 155 (N.D. 1991); *Clutter v. McIntosh*, 484 N.W.2d 846 (N.D. 1992); *Spilovoy v. Spilovoy*, 488 N.W.2d 873 (N.D. 1992); *State of Minnesota v. Snell*, 493 N.W.2d 656 (N.D. 1992).

Not every cost of living increase or birthday of a child constitutes a material change of circumstances. *Garbe v. Garbe*, 467 N.W.2d 740 (N.D. 1991).

A significant factor in a proceeding to modify child support is evidence of a change in the financial circumstances of either party to the divorce. **Spilovoy v. Spilovoy**, 488 N.W.2d 873 (N.D. 1992).

Findings must enable reviewing court to understand the rationale behind the trial court's determination regarding a material change of circumstances. *Garbe v. Garbe*, 467 N.W.2d 740 (N.D. 1991); *Sweeney v. Hoff*, 478 N.W.2d 9 (N.D. 1991).

#### Increase in Income

Obligor's obtaining a job when she had virtually none at and after divorce was a change of circumstance significant enough to impose child support obligation. *Reimer v. Reimer*, 502 N.W.2d 231 (N.D. 1993).

Where the obligor's income had more than doubled since the time he was first ordered to pay support, an increase in child support because a material change of circumstances had occurred was affirmed. *Hallock v. Mickels*, 507 N.W.2d 541 (N.D. 1993).

## **Interim Orders**

District court must award child support where an interim custody award is made. *Ackerman v. Ackerman*, 1999 ND. 135, 596 N.W.2d 332; *Johnson v. Johnson*, 2002 ND 151, 652 N.W.2d 315.

#### **Effective Date of Modification**

The effective date for modification of child support depends on the facts of each case. Trial court may make its order modifying child support effective on the date the motion was filed, any date the motion was pending, or some later date. **Schleicher v. Schleicher**, 551 N.W.2d 766 (N.D. 1996).

# TABLE OF CASES (Cases Annotated Through April 15, 2022)

Ackerman v. Ackerman, 1999 ND 135, 596 N.W.2d 332

Allmon v. Allmon, 2017 ND 122, 894 N.W.2d 869

Bagan v. Bagan, 382 N.W.2d 645 (N.D. 1986)

Barth v. Barth, 1999 ND 91, 593 N.W.2d 359

Beals v. Beals, 517 N.W.2d 413 (N.D. 1994)

Becker v. Becker, 2011 ND 107, 799 N.W.2d 799

Berg v. Ullman ex rel. Ullman, 1998 ND 74, 576 N.W.2d 218

Berge v. Berge, 2006 ND 46, 710 N.W.2d 417

Berger v. Holt, 2003 ND 34, 657 N.W.2d 273

Bergman v. Bergman, 486 N.W.2d 243 (N.D. 1992)

Bernhardt v. Bernhardt, 1997 ND 80, 561 N.W.2d 656

Bernhardt v. K.R.S., 503 N.W.2d 233 (N.D. 1993)

Bickel v. Bickel, 2020 ND 212, 949 N.W.2d 832

Bladow v. Bladow, 2005 ND 142, 701 N.W.2d 903

Bleth v. Bleth, 2000 ND 52, 607 N.W.2d 577

Boehm v. Boehm, 2002 ND 144, 651 N.W.2d 672

Boldt v. Boldt, 2021 ND 213, 966 N.W.2d 897

Boumont v. Boumont, 2005 ND 20, 691 N.W.2d 278

Brandner v. Brandner, 2005 ND 111, 698 N.W.2d 259

Brew v. Brew, 2017 ND 242, 903 N.W.2d 72

Brouillet v. Brouillet, 2016 ND 40, 875 N.W.2d 485

Buchholz v. Buchholz, 1999 ND 36, 590 N.W.2d 215

Burrell v. Burrell. 359 N.W.2d 381 (N.D. 1985)

Bye v. Robinette, 2015 ND 276, 871 N.W.2d 432

Carroll v. Carroll. 2017 ND 73, 892 N.W.2d 173

Carver v. Miller, 1998 ND App 12, 585 N.W.2d 139

Christoffersen v. Giese, 2005 ND 17, 691 N.W.2d 195

Clark v. Clark, 2006 ND 182, 721 N.W.2d 6

Cline v. Cline, 2007 ND 85, 732 N.W.2d 385

Clutter v. McIntosh, 484 N.W.2d 846 (N.D. 1992)

Conzemius v. Conzemius, 2014 ND 5, 841 N.W.2d 716

Cook v. Eggers, 1999 ND 97, 593 N.W.2d 781

Corbett v. Corbett, 2001 ND 113, 628 N.W.2d 312

Crandall v. Crandall, 2011 ND 136, 799 N.W.2d 388

Dalin v. Dalin, 545 N.W.2d 785 (N.D. 1996)

Davis v. Davis, 2010 ND 67, 780 N.W.2d 707

Devine v. Hennessee, 2014 ND 122, 848 N.W.2d 679

Deyle v. Deyle, 2012 ND 248, 825 N.W.2d 245

Doepke v. Doepke, 2009 ND 10, 760 N.W.2d 131

Dickson v. Dickson, 1997 ND 167, 568 N.W.2d 284

Donarski v. Donarski, 1998 ND 128, 581 N.W.2d 130

Dufner v. Dufner, 2002 ND 47, 640 N.W.2d 694

Dunnuck v. Dunnuck, 2006 ND 247, 724 N.W.2d 124

Dupay v. Dupay, 2010 ND 87, 782 N.W.2d 42

Edwards v. Edwards, 1997 ND 94, 563 N.W.2d 394

Eklund v. Eklund, 538 N.W.2d 182 (N.D. 1995)

Entzie v. Entzie, 2010 ND 194, 789 N.W.2d 550

Eubanks v. Fisketjon, 2021 ND 124, 962 N.W.2d 427

Evenson v. Evenson, 2007 ND 194, 742 N.W.2d 829

Ferguson v. Ferguson, 2018 ND 122, 911 N.W.2d 324

Fleck v. Fleck, 2010 ND 24, 778 N.W.2d 572

Foreng v. Foreng, 509 N.W.2d 38 (N.D. 1993)

Frey v. Frey, 2013 ND 100, 831 N.W.2d 753

Frey v. Frey, 2014 ND 229, 856 N.W.2d 781

Gabriel v. Gabriel, 519 N.W.2d 293 (N.D. 1994)

Garbe v. Garbe, 467 N.W.2d 740 (N.D. 1991)

Geinert v. Geinert, 2002 ND 135, 649 N.W.2d 237

Gerving v. Gerving, 2022 ND 2, 969 N.W.2d 184

Gleich v. Gleich, 2001 ND 185, 636 N.W.2d 418

Gray v. Gray, 527 N.W.2d 268 (N.D. 1995)

Grossman v. Lerud, 2014 ND 235, 857 N.W.2d 92

Gunia v. Gunia, 2009 ND 32, 763 N.W.2d 455

Guskjolen v. Guskjolen, 499 N.W.2d 126 (N.D. 1993)

Hagel v. Hagel, 2006 ND 181, 721 N.W.2d 1

Halberg v. Halberg, 2010 ND 20, 777 N.W.2d 872

Hallock v. Mickels, 507 N.W.2d 541 (N.D. 1993)

Hammeren v. Hammeren, 2012 ND 225, 823 N.W.2d 482

Hanson v. Hanson, 2005 ND 82, 695 N.W.2d 205

Harger v. Harger, 2002 ND 76, 644 N.W.2d 182

Harty v. Harty, 1998 ND 97, 578 N.W.2d 519

Heggen v. Heggen, 452 N.W.2d 96 (N.D. 1990)

Heinz v. Heinz, 2001 ND 147, 632 N.W.2d 443

Heinle v. Heinle, 2010 ND 5, 777 N.W.2d 590

Helbling v. Helbling, 541 N.W.2d 443 (N.D. 1995)

Heley v. Heley, 506 N.W.2d 715 (N.D. 1993)

Hendrickson v. Hendrickson, 1999 ND 37, 590 N.W.2d 220

Hendrickson v. Hendrickson, 553 N.W.2d 215 (N.D. 1996)

Henry v. Henry, 1998 ND 141, 581 N.W.2d 921

Hieb v. Hieb, 1997 ND 171, 568 N.W.2d 598

Hobus v. Hobus, 540 N.W.2d 158 (N.D. 1995)

Hogue v. Hogue, 1998 ND 26, 574 N.W.2d 579

Houmann v. Houmann, 499 N.W.2d 593 (N.D. 1993)

Hoverson v. Hoverson, 2001 ND 124, 629 N.W.2d 573

Hoverson v. Hoverson, 2013 ND 48, 828 N.W.2d 510

Huber v. Jahner, 460 N.W.2d 717 (N.D. App. 1990)

Illies v. Illies, 462 N.W.2d 878 (N.D. 1990)

In the Interest of E.H., 1997 ND 101, 564 N.W.2d 281

In the Interest of K.G., 551 N.W.2d 554 (N.D. 1996)

In the Interest of L.D.C., 1997 ND 104, 564 N.W.2d 298

Interest of A.M.S., 2005 ND 64, 694 N.W.2d 8

Interest of D.L.M., 2004 ND 38, 675 N.W.2d 187

Interest of F.R.S., 2002 ND 191, 653 N.W.2d 659

Interest of R.H., 2004 ND 170, 686 N.W.2d 107

Interest of S.L.W., 2010 ND 172, 788 N.W.2d 328 Iverson v. Iverson, 535 N.W.2d 739 (N.D. 1995) Jacobs-Raak v. Raak, 2016 ND 240, 888 N.W.2d 770 Jarvis v. Jarvis, 1998 ND 163, 584 N.W.2d 84 Johnson v. Johnson, 2000 ND 170, 617 N.W.2d 97 Johnson v. Johnson, 2002 ND 151, 652 N.W.2d 315 Keita v. Keita, 2012 ND 234, 823 N.W.2d 726 Kjos v. Brandenburger, 552 N.W.2d 63 (N.D. 1996) Klein v. Klein, 2015 ND 236, 869 N.W.2d 750 Knoll v. Kuleck, 2004 ND 199, 688 N.W.2d 370 Knudson v. Knudson, 2018 ND 199, 916 N.W.2d 793 Knutson v. Knutson, 2002 ND 29, 639 N.W.2d 495 Kobs v. Jacobson. 2005 ND 222. 707 N.W.2d 803 Koffler v. Koffler, 2020 ND 184, 947 N.W.2d 896 Korynta v. Korynta, 2006 ND 17, 708 N.W.2d 895 Krizan v. Krizan, 1998 ND. 186, 585 N.W. 2d 576 Krug v. Carlson, 2000 ND 157, 615 N.W.2d 564 Krueger v. Krueger, 2011 ND 134, 800 N.W.2d 296 Langwald v. Langwald, 2016 ND 81, 878 N.W.2d 71 Larson v. Larson, 1998 ND 156, 582 N.W.2d 657 Lautt v. Lautt, 2006 ND 161, 718 N.W.2d 563 Lawrence v. Delkamp, 1998 ND 178, 584 N.W.2d 515 Lee v. Lee, 2005 ND 129, 699 N.W.2d 842 Logan v. Bush, 2000 ND 203, 621 N.W.2d 314 Lohstreter v. Lohstreter, 2001 ND 45, 623 N.W.2d 350 Longtine v. Yeado, 1997 ND 166, 567 N.W.2d 819 Machart v. Machart, 2009 ND 208, 776 N.W.2d 795 Mahoney v. Mahoney, 1997 ND 149, 567 N.W.2d 206 Mahoney v. Mahoney, 516 N.W.2d 656 (N.D. 1994) Mahoney v. Mahoney, 538 N.W.2d 189 (N.D. 1995) Marchus v. Marchus, 2006 ND 81, 712 N.W.2d 636 Martire v. Martire, 2016 ND 57, 876 N.W.2d 727 McDonough v. McDonough, 458 N.W.2d 344 (N.D. App. 1990) McDonough v. Murphy, 539 N.W.2d 313 (N.D. 1995) McDowell v. McDowell, 2001 ND 176, 635 N.W.2d 139 McDowell v. McDowell. 2003 ND 174, 670 N.W.2d 876 Minar v. Minar, 2001 ND 74, 625 N.W.2d 518 Minvard v. Lindseth. 2019 ND 180, 930 N.W.2d 626 Monson v. Monson, 1998 ND App. 9, 583 N.W.2d 825 Montgomery v. Montgomery, 481 N.W.2d 234 (N.D. 1992) Nelson v. Nelson, 2000 ND 118, 617 N.W.2d 131 Nelson v. Nelson, 547 N.W.2d 741 (N.D. 1996) Nieuwenhuis v. Nieuwenhuis, 2014 ND 145, 851 N.W.2d 130 Norberg v. Norberg, 2014 ND 90, 845 N.W.2d 348 Nuveen v. Nuveen, 2012 ND 260, 825 N.W.2d 863 O'Callaghan v. O'Callaghan, 515 N.W.2d 821 (N.D. 1994) Oien v. Oien, 2005 ND 205, 706 N.W.2d 81 Oldham v. Oldham, 2004 ND 62, 677 N.W.2d 196

Olson v. Olson, 1998 ND 190, 585 N.W.2d 134

Olson v. Olson, 2002 ND 30, 639 N.W.2d 701

Olson v. Olson, 445 N.W.2d 1 (N.D. 1989)

Olson v. Olson, 520 N.W.2d 572 (N.D. 1994)

Orvedal v. Orvedal, 2003 ND 145, 669 N.W.2d 89

Otterson v. Otterson, 1997 ND 232, 571 N.W.2d 648

Pember v. Shapiro, 2011 ND 31, 794 N.W.2d 435

Perala v. Carlson, 520 N.W.2d 839 (N.D. 1994)

Peterson v. Peterson, 555 N.W.2d 359 (N.D. 1996)

Pomarleau v. Pomarleau, 2022 ND 16, 969 N.W.2d 430

Pozarnsky v. Pozarnsky, 494 N.W.2d 148 (N.D. 1992)

Puklich v. Puklich, 463 N.W.2d 651 (N.D. 1990)

Quamme v. Bellino, 540 N.W.2d 142 (N.D. 1995)

Quamme v. Quamme, 2021 ND 208, 967 N.W.2d 452

Raap v. Lenton, 2016 ND 195, 885 N.W.2d 777

Ramsey County Social Service Board v. Kamara, 2002 ND 192, 653 N.W.2d 693

Rath v. Rath, 2017 ND 138, 895 N.W.2d 315

Rathbun v. Rathbun, 2017 ND 24, 889 N.W.2d 855

Rebel v. Rebel, 2013 ND 116, 833 N.W.2d 442

Reimer v. Reimer, 502 N.W.2d 231 (N.D. 1993)

Reinecke v. Griffeth, 533 N.W.2d 695 (N.D. 1995)

Richter v. Houser, 1999 ND 147, 598 N.W.2d 193

Roberson v. Anderson, 1999 ND 19, 592 N.W.2d 923

Rueckert v. Rueckert, 499 N.W.2d 863 (N.D. 1993)

Scherling v. Scherling, 529 N.W.2d 879 (N.D. 1995)

Schiele v. Schiele. 2015 ND 169. 865 N.W.2d 433

Schiff v. Schiff, 2000 ND 113, 611 N.W.2d 191

Schleicher v. Schleicher, 551 N.W.2d 766 (N.D. 1996)

Schmaltz v. Schmaltz, 1998 ND 212, 586 N.W.2d 852

Schmidt v. Reamann, 523 N.W.2d 70 (N.D. 1994)

Schmuck v. Schmuck, 2016 ND 87, 882 N.W.2d 918

Schrodt v. Schrodt, 2022 ND 64, N.W.2d

Schumacher v. Schumacher, 1999 ND 10, 589 N.W.2d 185

Schurmann v. Schurmann, 2016 ND 69, 877 N.W.2d 20

Schwalk v. Schwalk, 2014 ND 13, 841 N.W.2d 767

Schweitzer v. Mattingley, 2016 ND 231, 887 N.W.2d 541

Seay v. Seay, 2012 ND 179, 820 N.W.2d 705

Serr v. Serr, 2008 ND 56, 746 N.W.2d 416

Serr v. Serr, 2008 ND 229, 758 N.W.2d 739

Shae v. Shae, 2014 ND 149, 849 N.W.2d 173

Shatke v. Shatke, 520 N.W. 2d 833 (N.D. 1994)

Shaver v. Kopp, 545 N.W.2d 170 (N.D. 1996)

Shaw v. Shaw, 2002 ND 114, 646 N.W.2d 693

Shipley v. Shipley, 509 N.W.2d 49 (N.D. 1993)

Simon v. Simon, 2006 ND 29, 709 N.W.2d 4

Smith v. Smith, 538 N.W.2d 222 (N.D. 1995)

Solwey v. Solwey, 2018 ND 82, 908 N.W.2d 690

Sonnenberg v. Sonnenberg, 2010 ND 94, 782 N.W.2d 654

Spilovoy v. Spilovoy, 488 N.W.2d 873 (N.D. 1992)

Spilovoy v. Spilovoy, 511 N.W.2d 230 (N.D. 1994)

St. Claire v. St. Claire, 2004 ND 39, 675 N.W.2d 175

State v. Andres, 2016 ND 90, 879 N.W.2d 464

State ex rel. K.B. v. Bauer, 2009 ND 45, 763 N.W.2d 462

State ex rel. Younger v. Bryant, 465 N.W.2d 155 (N.D. 1991)

State of Michigan ex rel., Schneider v. Schneider, 2008 ND 35, 745 N.W.2d 368

State of Minnesota v. Snell, 493 N.W.2d 656 (N.D. 1992)

Steffes v. Steffes, 1997 ND 49, 560 N.W.2d 888

Stock v. Stock, 2016 ND 1, 873 N.W.2d 38

Sullivan v. Quist, 506 N.W.2d 394 (N.D. 1993)

Surerus v. Matuska, 548 N.W.2d 384, 387 (N.D. 1996)

Sweeney v. Hoff, 478 N.W.2d 9 (N.D. 1991)

Sweeney v. Sweeney, 2002 ND 206, 654 N.W.2d 407

T.E.J. v. T.S., 2004 ND 120, 681 N.W.2d 444

Thompson v. Johnson, 2018 ND 142, 912 N.W.2d 315

Thompson v. Johnson, 2019 ND 111, 926 N.W.2d 120

Thornton v. Klose, 2010 ND 141, 785 N.W.2d 891

Tibor v. Bendrick, 1999 ND 92, 593 N.W.2d 395

Tibor v. Tibor, 2001 ND 43, 623 N.W.2d 12

Torgerson v. Torgerson, 2003 ND 150, 669 N.W.2d 98

Verhey v. McKenzie, 2009 ND 35, 763 N.W.2d 113

Wagner v. Wagner, 1998 ND 117, 579 N.W.2d 207

Wagner v. Wagner, 2007 ND 101, 733 N.W.2d 593

Weigel v. Weigel, 2015 ND 270, 871 N.W.2d 810

Wenzel v. Wenzel, 469 N.W.2d 156 (N.D. 1991)

Wetzel v. Wetzel, 1999 ND 29, 589 N.W.2d 889

Whitmire v. Whitmire, 1999 ND 56, 591 N.W.2d 126

Wigginton v. Wigginton, 2005 ND 31, 692 N.W.2d 108

Wilhelm v. Wilhelm, 543 N.W.2d 488 (N.D. 1996)\

Willprecht v. Willprecht, 2020 ND 77, 941 N.W.2d 556

Wisnewski v. Wisnewski, 2020 ND 148, 945 N.W.2d 331

Withey v. Hager, 1997 ND 225, 571 N.W.2d 142

Wilson v. Wilson, 2014 ND 1999, 855 N.W.2d 105

Wolf v. Wolf, 474 N.W.2d 257 (N.D. 1991)

Wolf v. Wolf, 557 N.W.2d 742 (N.D. 1996)

Wolt v. Wolt, 2019 ND 155, 930 N.W.2d 589

Woolridge v. Schmid, 495 N.W.2d 52 (N.D. 1993)

Zacher v. Zacher, 493 N.W.2d 704 (N.D. App. 1992)

Zander v. Zander, 470 N.W.2d 603 (N.D. 1991)

Zarrett v. Zarrett, 1998 ND 49, 574 N.W.2d 855

Zuo v. Wang, 2019 ND 211, 932 N.W.2d 360